## RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question No.	Solicitation Section	Page No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
1	N/A	N/A	Please describe how the current iLottery vendor and all third-party content suppliers are compensated, including rates. How much was each vendor compensated in 2022?	The current iLottery vendor contract includes a 6% commission on gross sales of draw tickets and 17.4-18.4% percent commission for all instant ticket net gaming (wagers - wins - promos). Third-party game providers are paid a 4.5% commission of instant net gaming for the games they provide. A data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" is posted at <a href="https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001">https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001</a> and includes data regarding vendor compensation for 2022.
2	N/A	N/A	What is the current size of your player database? Could you please provide the number of Unique Monthly Depositors for each month since the launch of the iLottery program? What is the anticipated quarterly growth in unique monthly depositors?	As of August 2023, the player database is approximately 13TB. MSL has not calculated anticipated quarterly growth in unique monthly depositors. Historical data regarding unique monthly depositors is in the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
3	N/A	N/A	Please specify what consumer research has been conducted for MSL in the past year.	In the past year, MSL has conducted a variety of consumer research including, post launch game surveys, product specific focus groups, loyalty program theme surveys, in-game control focus groups for online instants, online game persona research, ongoing website customer satisfaction surveys, various A/B testing, various concept testing, and compilation of feedback through the player support centers and app comments.
4	N/A	N/A	How much did the Lottery spend in 2022 on player acquisition and player retention, respectively? What input, if any, does the vendor have in shaping the Lottery's acquisition and retention strategy?	MSL spent approximately \$3.5 million on acquisition and awareness, which includes digital advertising (\$2.4 million), affiliate payments (\$1 million), and promotional offers (\$71,000). MSL sets strategy and makes all final decisions after considering all input, including input from vendors. Bidders are welcome to consider acquisition and retention strategy support as part of a bid and indicate any impact within the pricing proposals. MSL spent approximately \$28 million on retention through digital advertising (\$715,623) and bonuses (\$27.2 million).
5	N/A	N/A	Can you please provide a schedule of historical elnstant game launches? How many elnstant game launches does the Lottery plan in an average year going forward?	For data regarding the historical online instant game launches, please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001. In an average year going forward, MSL estimates launching at least 48 online instant games per year.
6	N/A	N/A	What restrictions or regulatory parameters does MSL have with	A comprehensive list of restrictions or regulatory parameters regarding game content is not available. Generally, games are evaluated on an individual basis. At a minimum, MSL must

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	•	
			regards to einstant game content and/or wagering? For example, are there any restrictions on payouts or return-to-player [RTP]? Are all games required to be depleting pools or is the use of RNG permitted? Are there any restrictions on features such as autoplay or round times? Are there any compulsory systemic Responsible Gaming limits on deposits, wagering, loss, or session times? Please provide any other known restrictions or regulatory parameters along these lines.	comply with all State and federal law, including, but not limited to the Michigan Constitution, the Michigan Lottery Act, and the administrative rules promulgated by the Michigan Lottery. RTP is an MSL decision made on a per-game basis, with most games around 87% to 88%. Online instant games are expected to be depleting pools with the exception of draw style games, which are RNG based. Autoplay is not used by MSL. Round times are an MSL decision and made on a per-game basis. MSL has a current default deposit limit of \$505 dollars per week. MSL requires an in-game clock that displays local time and serves a session check-in every two hours after login to ask players if they wish to continue playing. Other Responsible Gaming Tools are explained at https://www.michiganlottery.com/responsible-gaming.
7	N/A	N/A	Can you please provide a breakdown of performance by game in 2022?	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
8	N/A	N/A	Please provide the following quarterly, monthly, and annual data:  # of elnstant Games over time  # of eDraw Games over time  Performance across lineup of elnstant Games over time  Performance across lineup of eDraw Games over time  Player Churn	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
9	N/A	N/A	Pricing for outbound messaging channels is often dependent upon the volume of sends. Please provide guidance for anticipated send volumes for the messaging channels listed in the RFP (email	MSL has not calculated anticipated send volumes for the messaging channels listed in the RFP. Historical data regarding send volume is in the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			and web inbox) over the life of the	
			contract.	
10	N/A	N/A	Pricing for mobile messaging channels (such as In-App Inbox & Push Notifications) are often dependent upon active user volumes. Please provide the anticipated Monthly Active Users of the mobile app and website (distinctly, not combined) over the life of the contract. Please provide your definition of MAUs.  SMS/Text  Push Notifications  Pop Ups  In-App messages	MSL has not calculated anticipated monthly active users of the mobile app and website over the life of the contract. Historical data regarding monthly active users of the app and website is in the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
11	N/A	N/A	Can you please provide inbound	Total Inbound Call Volume – 68,304
			call, chat and email volume	Total Chat Volume – 113,377
			statistics for 2022?	Total Email Volume – 38,868
12	N/A	N/A	Can you please provide the top ten	Average handle time for phone is approximately 8 minutes.
			most common issues received by	Average handle time for chat is approximately 12 minutes.
			player support? What is the Average Handle Time?	Average handle time for email is approximately 18 hours.
				1. Site and Technical Issues
				2. Pending Cashout Inquires
				3. Site and Game information
				4. Deposit/Purchase Issue
				5. Bonus Issue
				6. Bonus Questions (What bonuses are available? How does X bonus work? Etc.)
				7. Deposit Limits
				8. Game technical Issues
				9. Large Prize Winners
				10. Forgot Password

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Bluder Question	Lottery Response
13	N/A	N/A	Are you prohibited from releasing	No.
15	N/A	IN/A	elnstant progressive jackpot	NO.
			content?	
14	N/A	N/A	For the period January 2018	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
14	N/A	IN/A	through June 2023, please	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			provide (in Excel format) the	https://www.inicingan.gov/insi/2025001.
			following data in relation to e-	
			instants, preferably by week, but	
			at most by month: Total Gross	
			Sales, Total Prize cost, Total Bonus	
			cost	
15	N/A	N/A	For the period January 2018	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
	,	,	through June 2023 please provide	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			(in Excel format) the following	
			data for each draw game sold	
			online (ie Mega Millions,	
			Powerball, Fantasy 5, Lucky for	
			Life, and Lotto 47), preferably by	
			week, but at most by month:	
			Total Gross Sales, Total Prize cost,	
			Total Bonus cost	
16	N/A	N/A	For each month of 2023 (i.e.	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
			January, February, March, April,	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			May and June) please provide the	
			NGR generated by each individual	
			e-instant (in excel format),	
			showing Gross Sales, Prize cost	
			and Bonuses.	
17	N/A	N/A	For each month (or week if	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
			easier) since January 2018, please	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			provide the number of: i) unique	
			e-instant players (i.e. those	
			players who only played e-	
			instants), ii) unique DBG players	
			(i.e. those players who only	
			played DBGs), and iii) unique	

## RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			players who play both e-instants	
			and DBGs (i.e. those players who	
			played both e-instants and DBGs)	
18	N/A	N/A	For each month (or week if	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
			easier) since January 2018, please	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			provide the number of players	
			who have played in the last 30	
			days (or similar timeframe)	
19	N/A	N/A	Please provide the number of e-	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
			instant games on sale by month	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			since January 2019	
20	N/A	N/A	Not all DBGs are sold online (eg	No.
			Daily 3, Daily 4). Does MSL plan	
			to sell any of the current retail-	
			only games online in future? If	
			so, please provide a timeframe.	
21	N/A	N/A	Please clarify if the Contractor	The Contractor will not be required build a new website or mobile application and will not be
			must build and provide a new	required to provide a new CMS. The contractor will be required to provide APIs or UI functions
			MSL website and MSL mobile	through an i-frame or other means to meet the requirements in the RFP to facilitate login,
			app, using new UI components	account functions, sales of tickets, registration, etc.
			and a new CMS system.	
22	N/A	N/A	The RFP asks for a number of	Business Intelligence may be hosted in the cloud.
			business intelligence reporting,	
			analytical, personalization and	
			promotional services to be	
			provided. Our data services are	
			distinct to our iLottery services.	
			We would propose these services	
			are hosted in the cloud, is that	
			acceptable and does the	
			Michigan location constraint	
22	NI / A	NI /A	apply to cloud?	For games cold only online, the Contractor's system would be alled you asked the contractor
23	N/A	N/A	How will the draw scheduling,	For games sold only online, the Contractor's system would handle draw scheduling, processing,
			processing and winner selection	and winner selection. The Contractor's system does not handle draw scheduling, processing,
			take place? Is there an existing	and winner selection for games sold at both retail and online. There is not a host system with
			host system that Contractor is	which the Contractor will have to integrate.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Diade: Question	Lotter y Nesponse
			expected to integrate into? Or is Contractor responsible for providing such system? Also is such system responsible for both retail and online drawings?	
24	N/A	N/A	On the RFP web address, www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001, the landing page states the following: To respond, use the appropriate subject line and the email address below RFP Email Subject Lines: For Questions: RFP No. 2023-001 Proposal Questions For Proposals: RFP No. 2023-001 Proposal Submission RFP Email Address: MSL-RFP@michigan.gov Would MSL please clarify if Bidders are required to email a copy of their proposal to the above address? If so,	Bidders should provide digital files on a flash drive that is sent along with the physical copies. Pricing must be in a separate and clearly marked folder on the flash drive.
25	N/A	N/A	Would MSL please consider adding a second round of questions and answers so that Bidders have the opportunity to ask additional questions or follow-up questions to the answers that MSL provides?	No.
26	N/A	N/A	What are the current constraints that prevent MSL from delivering an optimized player experience through the current App?	MSL does not have current constraints. Optimizing experiences is a continual process.
27	N/A	N/A	What are the current constraints that prevent MSL from delivering an optimized player experience through the overall solution?	MSL does not have current constraints. Optimizing experiences is a continual process.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation		Pidder Question	Lottery Personse
		Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	If the all attacks Manual and accept with	The Head was the search and the search and s
28	N/A	N/A	If the iLottery Vendor must rely	The iLottery system does not rely on the central gaming system for draw based game sales.
			on the retail central gaming system vendor for draw-based	
			games sales, how does MSL	
			_	
			envision that integration and the	
			sharing of operational	
			responsibilities between the	
			vendors, including implications	
	21/2	21/2	on service level agreements?	
29	N/A	N/A	What is the timeframe that the	There is one round of questions.
			Lottery will allocate for a second	
	2		round of questions?	
30	2	3	Will MSL please specify a proposal	The due date is 90 days after the publication of answers to vendor questions. The proposal due
24	2		due date?	date will be posted on the website at: https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001
31	2	3	Will MSL please allow for a second	No.
	_		round of questions and answers?	
32	2	3	Would the MSL please consider	No.
			allowing for additional rounds of	
			Q&A between the first round of	
			answers received and the	
			proposal due date?	
33	2	3	Would the MSL inform Bidders on	Yes. The proposal due date will be posted on the website at:
			the day answers are released as	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001
			to the exact date and time	
			proposals are due to the Lottery	
			so there is no confusion or	
		_	misunderstanding?	
34	3	6	Would the Lottery please confirm	The physical format must be submitted as two separate binders. The first binder must contain
			the number of hard copies	responses to all RFP sections except pricing. The second binder must only contain proposed
			required for each binder?	pricing. MSL prefers to receive five hard copies of each binder, but it is not required.
35	3	6	Would the Lottery consider	No.
			proposal submission to be in	
			digital format only (i.e., no	
			physical copies required)?	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



	t Sales Platform and Related Services				
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response	
No.	Section	No.			
36	3	6	Will the Lottery please clarify whether or not bidders are permitted to submit information marked as confidential or proprietary in their proposal(s)?	Bidders are cautioned to not submit any information believed to be proprietary, trade secret, or otherwise confidential. Bidders should assume that no part of any proposal(s) will be exempted from disclosure under the Michigan Freedom of Information Act.	
37	3	6	Digital submission clarification - separate files or one file containing the entire proposal including pricing?	Pricing must be separated digitally, such as a separate folder on a single media or separate media entirely.	
38	3	6	Would MSL please confirm one hard copy of the physical format is required for submission, separated into two binders?	The physical format must be submitted as two separate binders. The first binder must contain responses to all RFP sections except pricing. The second binder must only contain proposed pricing. MSL prefers to receive five hard copies of each binder, but it is not required.	
39	3	6	Would MSL please confirm if a Report Cover is acceptable for the proposed pricing, rather than a binder?	The physical format must be submitted as two separate binders. The first binder must contain responses to all RFP sections except pricing. The second binder must only contain proposed pricing. MSL prefers to receive five hard copies of each binder, but it is not required.	
40	3	6	Would MSL please confirm if the 600-page maximum includes the proposed pricing?	Yes, the 600-page limit includes all content combined between the two required binders.	
41	3	6	Would MSL confirm that Bidders are allowed to use tabs in the binder, as well as corresponding pages to separate the "Parts" in the digital file, without these items being included in the 600-page limit?	Tabs and dividers will not be counted against the 600-page limit.	
42	3	6	Would the MSL please confirm the 600-page limit for the proposal includes the 102 pages of the Request for Proposal, or if the limit applies in addition to the 102 pages?	The RFP is not included in the 600-page limit.	
43	3	6	Since proposals will be technologically specific, would the MSL consider permitting	Bidders are cautioned to not submit any information believed to be proprietary, trade secret, or otherwise confidential. Bidders should assume that no part of any proposal(s) will be exempted from disclosure under the Michigan Freedom of Information Act.	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			Plated Services	I NUUNLI
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			Bidders to provide a redacted	
			copy of their proposal that	
			includes any information deemed	
			proprietary, trade secrets, or	
			otherwise confidential by the	
			Bidder?	
44	3	8	Would the lottery be willing to	No. MSL has a mature program with more than two million registered accounts and cannot
			consider bidders who have	accept the risks associated with a system that has not been proven to provide similar services
			provided services of similar size	within North American markets, regulations, code bases, payment systems, infrastructure, etc.
			and scope as those outlined in	
			this RFP using the same iLottery	
			system proposed by bidder, for a t	
			least 6 months, in at least three	
			(3) government-operated lotteries	
			in Europa that are members of	
			the WLA (but not NASPL)?	
45	3	8	Could the Michigan Lottery	The sale of online Lottery products, including draw games and online instant games, using a
			provide more detail on the	system containing a PAM, player wallet, payment services, and online prize payments. The
			meaning of the services of similar	services need not have been with a Lottery serving the same size population or have the same
			size and scope, e.g. services to a	number of games in a games portfolio.
			similar size lottery, services to a	
			lottery with a similar game	
			portfolio etc.?	
46	3	8	With reference to Requirement	Yes, provided the system being proposed has been providing those services in North America.
			45.b, would the MSL please	
			confirm if a Bidder can satisfy the	
			minimum requirements of the	
			RFP if the Bidder owns the	
			software that forms the core	
			functionality of the iLottery	
			system being proposed and the	
			Bidder has provided, through a	
			subsidiary or a joint venture in	
			which the Bidder owns joint	
			interest, services of similar size	
			and scope of those outlined in	
<u> </u>		1	t	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	I NOGUNLI
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			this RFP using the same iLottery	
			system.	
47	3	8	Would the MSL please confirm	Questions and answers will be posted without identifying the source of the question.
			that requests to clarify responses	
			in a proposal that will be posted	
			on the Bid Page will be general	
			questions and not bidder	
			specific? If not, would the MSL	
			confirm that the Bidder's name	
			will remain confidential at the	
			time of posting on the Bid Page?	
48	3	8	No other U.S. lottery has had as	No. MSL has a mature program with more than two million registered accounts and cannot
			strict of an experience	accept the risks associated with a system that has not been proven to provide similar services
			requirement where only vendors	within North American markets, regulations, code bases, payment systems, infrastructure, etc.
			who have operated the same	
			iLottery system as the one	
			proposed for the Michigan	
			Lottery for a North American	
			lottery of comparable size and	
			scope will be considered. The	
			Michigan Lottery itself did not	
			apply such a stringent experience	
			threshold when it first	
			established iLottery in 2014, and	
			as a result chose an innovative	
			vendor which contributed to the	
			Michigan Lottery establishing	
			itself as the most successful	
			iLottery operation in the country.	
			a.Would the Lottery	
			consider amending	
			Section 45.b. (and	
			related Section 4	
			Questionnaire 15, (p.15))	
			to evaluate a bidder's	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	FRUGUNEI
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			overall iLottery	
			experience to include	
			World Lottery	
			Association lotteries and	
			not just lotteries in	
			North America?	
			b.Would the Lottery	
			consider amending	
			Section 45. b. (and	
			related Section 4	
			Questionnaire 15, (page	
			15)) to NOT require the	
			use of the same iLottery	
			system used to support	
			the Bidder's experience	
			as the proposed system	
			for the Michigan	
			Lottery?	
			Amending both of these	
			requirements would allow the	
			Michigan Lottery to evaluate	
			innovative proposals that may	
			offer a more modern technology	
			platform than is currently	
			employed in any North American	
			lottery markets.	
49	3	9	The RFP states that determining	MSL will evaluate each proposal based on the same factors, which are outlined in the RFP.
			the Best Value is determined by	Bidders are encouraged to address all requirements and fully describe capabilities and
			relevant factors based on the sole	limitations to maximize potential point assignments.
			discretion of the Evaluation	
			Committee. Will the Evaluation	
			Committee be evaluating each	
			proposal based on the same	
			factors and will the Evaluation	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	FRUGUNE
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			Committee be providing any	
			guidance on determining the	
			appropriate factors. Beyond the	
			list provided in section 53, is the	
			Michigan Lottery able to provide	
			a complete list of factors that will	
			determine what proposal is the	
			best overall proposal for MSL and	
			the State of Michigan?	
50	3	9	Section 56 states that the	Bidders having no past performance with MSL will not be penalized or disadvantaged.
			Evaluation Committee may	
			consider prior performance with	
			MSL in determining Best Value.	
			Will proposals from Bidders with	
			no past performance be penalized	
			or disadvantaged as compared to	
			Bidders with an existing or prior	
			experience with MSL?	
51	3	10	Section 58 provides that the	Bidders are welcome to offer a plan to minimize costs for any requirements of the RFP. Actual
			Evaluation Committee may	pricing must be presented only within the pricing proposals.
			consider total-cost-of-operation	
			factors (transition and training	
			costs) when determining Best	
			Value. Is it advantageous for new	
			Bidders to offer a plan to	
			minimize the total-cost-of-	
			operation factors, e.g. minimize	
			transition and training costs to	
			MSL, to minimize any advantage	
			to the existing contractor?	
52	3	11	Section 70 states that Bidder may	Any items within those sections may be revised provided that the Bidder properly reserves the
			revise standard contract terms,	proposed revision. All factors may be considered as part of best value.
			service levels or liquidated	,
			damages only if it properly	
			reserves the proposed revision in	
			its proposal. Are there any terms	
	1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Bluder Question	Lotterly Response
INU.	Section	NO.	that are not subject to revision?	
			that are not subject to revision?	
			Will a Bidder's proposed revisions	
			to standard contract terms,	
			services levels or liquidated	
			damages factor into the	
	_		determination of Best Value?	
53	3	12	If MSL finds a Bidder's proposed	No.
			revisions to contract terms too	
			extensive that the Evaluation	
			Committee deems the Proposal	
			unresponsive, will the Bidder	
			have the opportunity to withdraw	
			proposed revisions to contract	
			terms?	
54	3	25	The definition of trade secrets in	Bidders are cautioned to not submit any information believed to be proprietary, trade secret, or
			the Michigan Trade Secrets Act	otherwise confidential. Bidders should assume that no part of any proposal(s) will be exempted
			includes the requirement that it	from disclosure under the Michigan Freedom of Information Act.
			be "the subject of efforts that are	
			reasonable under the	
			circumstances to maintain its	
			secrecy". How does MSL propose	
			that we share information	
			relevant to the solution that is a	
			trade secret and needs to be	
			protected given the removal of	
			the exemption in this section of	
			the RFP?	
55	4	15	Would the MSL be willing to	No. MSL has a mature program with more than two million registered accounts and cannot
			amend Question 15 (and its	accept the risks associated with a system that has not been proven to provide similar services
			requirements generally) to read:	within North American markets, regulations, code bases, payment systems, infrastructure, etc.
			For at least six months, have you	
			provided services of similar size	
			and scope as those described in	
			this RFP to at least one WLA	
			member government-operated	
			_	
			member government-operated lottery using the same iLottery	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



	1		elated Services	FRUGURE
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			system described in your answer	
			to the question above? If so,	
			provide the name, contact person,	
			contact information, and contract	
			number for each lottery. If not,	
			your proposal may be disqualified.	
56	4	15	For at least six months, have you	No. MSL has a mature program with more than two million registered accounts and cannot
			provided services of similar size	accept the risks associated with a system that has not been proven to provide similar services
			and scope as those described in	within North American markets, regulations, code bases, payment systems, infrastructure, etc.
			this RFP to at least one	
			government-operated lottery in	
			North America using the same	
			iLottery system described in your	
			answer to the question above? If	
			so, provide the name, contact	
			person, contact information, and	
			contract number for each lottery.	
			If not, your proposal may be	
			disqualified'	
			EveryMatrix has not, yet,	
			provided its products to a North	
			American Lottery. However, we	
			strongly believe that our	
			platforms, having been developed	
			to compete in the intensely	
			competitive commercial Igaming	
			markets, will fully answer all the	
			requirements in the RFP if not	
			exceed them. We are providing	
			technology platforms to the	
			following WLA lotteries	
			1.Norsk Tipping – State Monopoly	
		1	of Norway Ranked #2 in the	
Ì			world by the WLA for FY2021	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	PRUGURE
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			Digital GGR of \$700 Million,	
			representing 70% of total GGR of	
			which 85% came from the mobile	
			channel	
			2 Veiklaus Ou Stata Managalu	
			2.Veikkaus Oy – State Monopoly of Finland Ranked #3 in the world	
			by the WLA for FY2021 Digital	
			GGR of \$700 Million, representing	
			51.4% of total GGR	
			3.OPAP – State licensed Operator	
			in Greece	
			4.National Lottery of Malta –	
			State Licensed operator in Malta	
			State Licensed operator in Marta	
			5.National Video Lottery of	
			Macedonia – State Monopoly	
			of Macedonia	
			o. maccao.ma	
			6.La Marocaine Des Jeux et	
			des Sports (MDJS) State	
			Monopoly of Morocco	
			We are also currently	
			providing content to WynnBet	
			in the USA and are certified for	
			games in New Jersey and	
			progressing certification in	
			Michigan, Connecticut,	
			Pennsylvania and West	
			Virginia.	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	blader Question	Lotter y response
-			Our question is this: Would the MSL be willing to accept a proposal from our company without automatically disqualifying?	
57	6	23	Term 8 states that the minimum limit is \$1,000,000 Employee Theft per loss with a maximum deductible of \$50,000. In today's marketplace, a deductible this low is not easily obtainable; therefore, we respectfully request that this deductible requirement be deleted.	MSL will consider a policy with a higher deductible. Upon award of the RFP, this can be discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are welcome to discuss the impact of this within the pricing proposals.
58	0	23	The Privacy and Security Liability (Cyber Liability) Insurance states: "Vendor shall have their policy cover information security and privacy liability, privacy notification costs, regulatory defense and penalties, and website media content liability." To clarify the above, we respectfully request that the following wording be added to the end of the sentence: "arising from the Vendor's provision of professional services"	Upon award of the RFP, this can be discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are welcome to discuss the impact of this within the pricing proposals.
59	6	25	Would the MSL please define "Subcontractor"?	An individual or business contracting to perform part or all of another's contract.
60	6	25	Could you please clarify the term "subcontractors"? Are you referring to third party gaming suppliers or outsourced service	An individual or business contracting to perform part or all of another's contract.  MSL does not view third-party game suppliers as subcontractors.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	I NOCONLI
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			providers vis-à-vis technical	
			operations (or both)?	
61	6	30	Section 24 requires the	At a minimum, the current Contractor is required to cooperate fully and in good faith in the
			Contractor to provide reasonable	transition. Cooperation may include, but not be limited to, sharing of data files, procedures,
			transition assistance for a period	protocols, parameter settings, and authority reference files.
			not exceeding 90 Calendar Days.	
			What are the transition assistance	
			requirements for the current	
			contractor providing the services	
			described in this RFP?	
62	6	30	Would the MSL please confirm if	License requirements continue for 180 days.
			license requirements would	
			continue for 90 days or 180 days	
			after Contract has expired or	
		20	terminated?	
63	6	30	Reference is made to the fact that	Bidders should assume that MSL will not indemnify the vendor or any other party regarding the
			MSL will not indemnify the	provision of services described in this RFP. Bidders are welcome to describe any potential
			Vendor or any other party unless	impacts within the pricing proposals.
			the Michigan Legislature appropriates funds specifically for	
			the purpose of such	
			indemnification. Could you please	
			shed some light on how this	
			process vis-à-vis appropriation of	
			funds works?	
64	6	31	Are we right in assuming, that	This question is unclear. Upon award of the RFP, the terms and conditions of this contract can
		-	with regards to intellectual	be discussed and clarified with the successful bidder. Bidders are welcome to discuss the
			property of third parties, such as	impact of this within the pricing proposals.
			for example standard software,	harry and the Charles
			the terms and conditions of the	
			third party shall apply exclusively?	
65	6	35	Would the MSL please clarify	Notifications to individuals affected by compromised data or data loss must not be used in any
			what is meant by the phrase "not	way to sell products or services to the affected individuals.
			be tangentially used for any	
			solicitation purposes"?	

## RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



internet Sa		,	elated Services	FRUGUNE
Question No.	Solicitation Section	Page No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
66	6	37	Can MSL please make the referenced policies and standards available to all bidders?	Bidders are encouraged to describe their security practices and principles in the bid. MSL's operating principles are based on industry best practices like NIST 800-53 rev5, MUSL etc. Additional information can be found on https://www.michigan.gov/dtmb/policies/it-policies. MSL expects contractor to adapt and adjust to MSL's security practices changes.
67	6	37	Do the industry standard information security and security management related audits and certifications, such as ISO27001 and SOC2, suffice for the requirements in this section or are additional bespoke security	An independent third party must perform the SOC 2 Type II Audit or ISO 27001 certification, who has been authorized or approved by the respective accreditation body.  The SOC 2 Type II Audit or ISO 27001 certification shall be performed annually.  A copy of each of the resulting audit reports shall be delivered to the Lottery no less than 45
			audit/assessments required?	days following the conclusion of the SOC 2  Type II Audit or ISO 27001 certification.
68	6	37	At what stage of the RFP process will the applicable MSL policies and standards referred to under section 6 (clause 33) be provided please?	Bidders are encouraged to describe their security practices and principles in the bid. MSL's operating principles are based on industry best practices like NIST 800-53 rev5, MUSL etc. Additional information can be found on https://www.michigan.gov/dtmb/policies/it-policies. MSL expects contractor to adapt and adjust to MSL's security practices changes.
69	6	37	Vendor Audit. No less than annually, Vendor shall conduct a comprehensive independent third-party audit of its data privacy and information security program and provide such audit findings to MSL.  Q1 Please provide information on the type of audit (is it like the iGaming requirements of the MGCB in the Annual Security Assessment Q2. Please confirm whether such audit is mandatory prior to go live or within a limited interval after go-live (90 days or other timeline).	An independent third party must perform the SOC 2 Type II Audit or ISO 27001 certification, who has been authorized or approved by the respective accreditation body.  The SOC 2 Type II Audit or ISO 27001 certification shall be performed annually.  A copy of each of the resulting audit reports shall be delivered to the Lottery no less than 45 days following the conclusion of the SOC 2  Type II Audit or ISO 27001 certification.  Yes, Assessment is required before go-live.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	FRUGURE
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			Q.3 Please provide list of independent laboratories authorized to perform such assessments (or a confirmation if they are the same as for Internet Gambling Operators/Suppliers in	
			line with MGCB requirements).	
70	6	40	Based on the above ADA language, is there a MI policy and guideline that can be provided detailing the ADA requirement compliance (other than what is listed on page 63)?	No. Vendors are expected to comply with all applicable State and Federal laws.
71	7	45	We understand that the MSL's central system is operated by IGT. Is the expectation that the Internet Sales Platform ("ISP") passes wagers to the IGT system for draw processing? Or is it the intention that the ISP itself processes wagers and conducts draw processing.	No, the ISP will not pass wagers to the IGT system for draw processing. The ISP will process wagers and conduct draw processing for games sold only online.
72	7	45	Industry Standards. Commitment to update all major hardware, software, and other components to be equivalent to or better than current industry standards, including without limitation all transaction processing, payment processing, networking, testing, diagnostics, programming formats, servers, and methods of integration. Additionally, confirm all equipment has been or will be inspected for safety, approved by	MSL does not accredit testing laboratories. The successful bidder should use a well-established, US-based, accredited testing laboratory. Upon award of the RFP, this can be discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are encouraged to the capabilities and limitations related to meeting the requirements in the RFP. Bidders are welcome to describe any potential impacts within the pricing proposals.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Blader Question	Lottery nesponse
	Section		a reputable testing laboratory, and is in compliance with applicable regulations (e.g., FCC, CE, TUEV, etc.). This requirement will apply to new components and Vendor may be required to provide documented proof of certification, inspection, or other documentation deemed appropriate by MSL  Please give more details about the testing laboratories that must approve the equipment. Are these accredited companies by MSL for this purpose or another US authority?	
73	7	46	Please provide examples of the areas of the website that are expected to align with Michigan local time considering MI covers two time zones.	In general, user-facing elements that have a clock displaying real time will show local time, such as in-game clocks or on the main website. Elements from the player database that are shown through the account menu are in Eastern Time, such as transaction history. Bidders are encouraged to describe the capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system.
74	7	46	Would MSL consider additional hosting locations for components that are not directly related to wager determination to enable the use of Cloud technologies, which would permit the Vendor to supply MSL with modern infrastructure solutions to meet its goals?	Upon award of the RFP, this can be discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are encouraged to ensure the bids meet the requirements in the RFP. Bidders are welcome to propose alternatives and are welcome to describe any potential impacts within the pricing proposals.
75	7	46	Time Zones All MSL-facing and customer-facing functionality in the system and related support from the Vendor must be aligned	MSL-facing functionality should be Eastern Time (local time for Lansing, Michigan).

## RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



	1		elated Services	FRUCURE
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			with Michigan local time.	
			Michigan covers two time zones.	
			We understand the customer	
			facing functionality must support	
			local time of the player. However,	
			about the MSL-facing	
			functionality, should the back	
			office user see timestamps	
			adapted to the time zone where	
			the back office user is located?	
			Can you please elaborate on this	
			request for the MSL-facing	
			functionality?	
76	7	46	Primary Data Center. The primary	Q1. Bidders are encouraged to provide the Data Center capabilities and its details where the
			data center must conform to all	application will be hosted. MSL follows standard Federal and State practices like: PCI, TIA, ISO,
			federal and state regulatory	NFPA, NIST800-53 etc.
			requirements, and must be	
			located in Michigan. The primary	Q2. Bidders are encouraged to provide their data center details in the bid. MSL will review the
			data center must also conform to	Data Center hosting and security capabilities. SOC 2 Type II Audit or ISO 27001 certification is
			any relevant PCI requirements	required.
			and all security requirements as	
			directed by MSL and any	Q3. Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
			associations (e.g., MUSL) that	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			provide game oversight.	
			Q1: Please specify the name of	
			the federal and state regulatory	
			requirements documents that	
			must be taken into consideration	
			when selecting the Data Centers.	
			Q2: Should the Data Center must	
			be a licensed by MSL or another	
			Michigan authority?	
Ĺ				

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Internet Sa	nternet Sales Platform and Related Services						
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response			
No.	Section	No.					
			Q3: To size the environments				
			correctly, please provide details				
			about the current volume of				
			activity and the estimated growth				
			up to and including the go-live				
			year:				
			I. number of sell transactions per minute				
			at peak,				
			II. number of concurrent				
			users at peak, number of				
			payment transactions				
			per month etc or similar				
			KPIs				
			N 15				
77	7	47	Since the primary and secondary	Requirements of 100% system uptime should be met by the vendor. Bidders should clarify how			
			data center of the hardware must	they are going to meet this requirement.			
			be in state, it could prove difficult				
			to also guarantee that they are				
			not on the same power grid. Data				
			centers are generally equipped				
			with temporary emergency				
			power sources to safeguard				
			against any power outages. We				
			would therefore prefer to have a				
			clearer definition of what is				
			entailed with power grid in this				
			paragraph?				
78	7	47	The Michigan State government's	MSL expects the iLottery system to be running (hosted) in Michigan.			
			MiCloud initiative recognizes the				
			advantages and future-proofing				
			nature of cloud technology,				
			including elasticity, scalability,				
			and automated provisioning. A				
			cloud-based solution would also				

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
INU.	Section	NO.	offer significant benefits to the	
			Lottery, including scalability	
			during jackpot runs. As such, can	
			MSL confirm it would accept a	
			cloud-based solution that meets	
			or exceeds all the domicile,	
			security, and operational	
			requirements of a traditional on-	
			prem data center configuration?	
79	7	48	Can MSL please make the	Bidders are encouraged to describe their security practices and principles in the bid. MSL's
	,	.0	referenced operating principals	operating principles are based on industry best practices like NIST 800-53 rev5, MUSL etc.
			available to all bidders?	Additional information can be found on https://www.michigan.gov/dtmb/policies/it-policies.
				MSL expects contractor to adapt and adjust to MSL's security practices changes.
80	7	48	Authentication, Authorization and	Authentication, Authorization, and Access control functionality should be built into the
			Access Controls – Is our	application and is vendor's responsibility.
			assumption correct, that MSL will	
			provide something like an active	
			directory to enable centralized	
			user access management which	
			needs to be connected by the	
			vendors system or is this in full	
			response of the vendor?	
81	7	48	Compliance with Security	Bidders are encouraged to describe their security practices and principles in the bid. MSL's
			Requirements. The System must	operating principles are based on industry best practices like NIST 800-53 rev5, MUSL etc.
			be compliant with all	Additional information can be found on https://www.michigan.gov/dtmb/policies/it-policies.
			requirements accepted as	MSL expects contractor to adapt and adjust to MSL's security practices changes.
			operating principles by MSL.	
			Please provide a list of	
			requirements pertaining to	
			system security. We assume	
			ISO27000, WLA SCS:2020 but	
02	7	40	there may be more.	Didden should conver that all data much he have fourth all for a fall and a second of the
82	7	49	Can MSL please provide a	Bidders should assume that all data must be kept for the life of the contract and returned to
			retention policy (as this could	MSL upon expiration or termination of the contract. Upon award of the RFP, this can be further
			impact system sizing)?	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	bidder Question	Lotter y nesponse
140.	Section	140.		discussed for specific data types. Bidders are welcome to describe how data retention will impact pricing within the pricing proposal.
83	7	51	Please elaborate more on the system's ability to time travel? What would be the system's expected behavior when time is moved forwards or backwards?	The Lottery should have the ability to move the system ahead in time or place the system in a time loop.  i. When moving the system ahead in time, using a backend platform, a user can change the system to select a specific time. The backend and player facing platforms will automatically change to the selected time. The system shall behave normally no matter the time at which the system is set.  ii. When placing the system in a time loop, using a backend platform, a user can select a begin time and an end time. Once the end time arrives, the system will revert to the begin time. This cycle will continue until the user selects to remove the time loop, at which point, the clock will run normally. The system shall behave normally no matter the time at which the system is set.  iii. A user, using a backend platform, should be able to pause time. When time is paused, the system time will not move until the user removes the pause. The system shall behave normally no matter the time at which the system is set.
84	7	51	Does the quality assurance environment need to be like for like in terms of allocated systems resources or is it acceptable to be functionally identical?	The Quality Assurance environment (QA, not UAT), is acceptable to be functionally identical.
85	7	51	Would the MSL please confirm that the uptime and downtime requirements of the Lottery Testing Environment and Quality Assurance Environment are unique and should be treated independently?	Yes, the uptime and downtime requirements of the Lottery Testing Environment and Quality Assurance Environment are unique and should be treated independently.
86	7	51	How does the Lottery propose unused hours will be reconciled at the end of the contract?	As stated in 7.A.4.k., MSL may elect to take a credit against unused hours/points at any time.
87	7	52	Production Testing - Could you please explain the reason behind this requirement? In general quality insurance processes based on different testing systems should fully cover these needs.	MSL expects production testing to be available to check the operation of the iLottery platform, websites, and mobile applications. Bidders are encouraged to be creative in the proposals. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system. If a bidder cannot provide the functionality described in 7.A.5.c, as an alternative, the bidder must describe an alternative approach to ensure that prizes inadvertently won or tickets purchased during production testing can be reversed.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question No.     Solicitation Section     Page No.     Bidder Question     Lottery Response       No.     Furthermore, does this requirement cover all types of games: draw games, instant games, and raffles? If this covers draw games, could you please describe related provisions on central-system side?     Bidders should be prepared to export all data as directed by MSL, consist state and federal laws.       88     7     52     Player Database Integration-Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.     Bidders should be prepared to export all data as directed by MSL, consist state and federal laws.       89     7     52     How are the top 10 popular devices decided each quarter?     MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	tent with applicable
Furthermore, does this requirement cover all types of games: draw games, instant games, and raffles? If this covers draw games, could you please describe related provisions on central-system side?  88 7 52 Player Database Integration—Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	tent with applicable
requirement cover all types of games: draw games, instant games, and raffles? If this covers draw games, could you please describe related provisions on central-system side?  88 7 52 Player Database Integration—Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	tent with applicable
games: draw games, instant games, and raffles? If this covers draw games, could you please describe related provisions on central-system side?  88 7 52 Player Database Integration—Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	tent with applicable
games, and raffles? If this covers draw games, could you please describe related provisions on central-system side?  88 7 52 Player Database Integration-Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	tent with applicable
draw games, could you please describe related provisions on central-system side?  88 7 52 Player Database Integration- Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular  MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	tent with applicable
describe related provisions on central-system side?  88 7 52 Player Database Integration-Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	stent with applicable
88 7 52 Player Database Integration- Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	itent with applicable
88 7 52 Player Database Integration- Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular  Bidders should be prepared to export all data as directed by MSL, consist state and federal laws.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular  MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	stent with applicable
Could you elaborate on the objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  Solution of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	stent with applicable
objective of this requirement, since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	
since export of personal player data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	
data is limited by law.  89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	
89 7 52 How are the top 10 popular MSL compiles the list of devices using appropriate means.	
What means are used to	
determine the most popular	
devices ie. Google Analytics, sales	
data, etc.	
90 7 52 7 MSL will provide the list of devices and which devices will be procured by	v Contractor
A, 5e Currently, top devices include iPhone (ranging from 11 to 14 Pro Max) an	•
Lottery Testing Devices (ranging from S20 through S22 Ultra).	na Samsung Galaxy
This section specifies that Vendor	
must furnish two (2) of each test	
device to MSL, updated on a	
quarterly basis, that reflect the	
top ten (10) devices that are	
currently used on MSL's platforms	
(website and mobile apps) as	
specified by MSL> Please	
provide data/analytics to allow	
Bidder to check which device	
models are currently supported.	
Also, does Bidder need to procure	
these devices?	
91 7 52 As an alternative way to ensure MSL will consider this alternative. The bidder should describe their solut	tion for this alternative
compliance, would MSL consider as well as their response to the requirement as it's described in the RFP.	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question No.	Solicitation Section	Page No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
-			an external mobile testing lab to test and keep up to date on the latest mobile operating software and devices available in the market?	
92	7	52	What is the required export format and target system specifics?	Export format is typically CSV or TXT and is handled through SFTP.
93	7	52	What are the legal obligations for compliance and what level of encryption and PII (Personally Identifiable Information) data suppression is required?	The vendor is expected to comply with all applicable laws and regulations, and to comply with industry practices regarding encryption and PII security. Upon award of the RFP, this can be discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are welcome to propose alternatives and are welcome to describe any potential impacts within the pricing proposals.
94	7	53	Retail Integrations: withdraw vouchers: Would like to elaborate on what is needed to support this. connecting to retailer shopping carts: Need to elaborate on how retailer shopping carts works. Are these iLottery purchases tied to a retailer account?	MSL's current withdraw voucher uses an API between the Lottery retail terminal and the iLottery system to validate the voucher. iLottery purchases are not currently made through online retailer shopping carts and the technical requirements would be defined at the time of integration. Bidders are encouraged to consider all possible retail sales support when submitting a bid. Bidders are encouraged to describe any capabilities restrictions. Bidders are welcome to describe how different methods of retail support would affect pricing within the price proposals.
95	7	53	Retail Sales Support– Could MSL please further explain the objective for cooperative usages of brick and mortar sales in respect of this iLottery-System? Are there any direct relations between these kinds of players, since retail customers are in general anonymous for the iLottery system	Players who purchase online and at retail are the most valuable players. MSL wants to support retail and online sales crossover opportunities whenever possible. About half of daily logins through the iLottery PAM are retail players who scan tickets on MSL's mobile app or participate in promotions.
96	7	53	Please provide a list of third-party systems and APIs that need to be integrated.	Bidders are encouraged refer to the technical requirements for possible needed APIs. Bidders are welcome to describe how providing different types or amounts of APIs would affect pricing within the pricing proposals.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
97	7	53	The RFP states: "The System must have the ability to track and report on all available data related to retail support programs. Bidder must describe how retail sales and cross-promotional strategies will be supported". Does MSL expect that the data solution will be integrated to the retail host? For example, will sales data for both promotions recipients and control groups be required to measure efficacy of promotions?	MSL expects the iLottery system to be able to track and report on all data in the system. That data does not need to be integrated into the retail host. Bidders are encouraged to be creative and consider all possibilities when submitting a bid.
98	7	53	Please provide examples of "cross promotional strategies." Specifically, please elaborate on where coupons are generated, mastered, and redeemed. Please detail examples of what happens at the retail terminal, the host, the iLottery back end and the player facing UX.	Example: An iLottery registration or deposit promo code might be printed on a retail ticket that gives the player a bonus for registering or depositing online, which may in turn award the same player a coupon to receive a discount on a purchase at retail.  Currently, coupons are procured in a process similar to purchasing physical instant tickets. MSL is setting forth requirements for the iLottery vendor to provide a new process to create coupons. In the proposed scenario, the coupon would be created within the iLottery system, scanned at the retail terminal, the retail central system would call the iLottery system to validate the coupon, the iLottery system would mark the coupon as redeemed within the backend system, then display the status of the coupon to the player in the player's account.
99	7	53	Will MSL please confirm if the affiliate program is for both retail and for online? The affiliation requirement currently appears to be only located in the retail section.	The affiliate program provides a payment to other businesses who drive new players to the iLottery platform. Currently, affiliates include other websites. There is interest to expand the program to include brick and mortar locations that drive new players to the iLottery platform.
100	7	53	Would the Lottery please describe each system that needs to be integrated and what data is required?	MSL cannot provide an exhaustive list of all third-party systems that may need to be integrated over the life of the contract. Bidders are encouraged to refer to the technical requirements for system integrations that may be required, including, but not limited to, payment systems, geolocation systems, third-party game provider systems, KYC systems, etc. Bidders are welcome to describe how providing different types or amounts of integrations would affect pricing within the pricing proposals.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	bidder Question	Lotter y Nesponse
101	7	53	Does the Lottery intend to own	At present, an MSL-owned UI/UX and web analytics solution is not anticipated.
			and operate their own UI/UX and	
			web analytics solution or does it	
			require the Bidder to own and	
			operate the solution?	
102	7	54	Please provide examples of the	The affiliate program provides a payment to other businesses who drive new players to the
			retail and iLottery affiliate	iLottery platform. The affiliate is paid either a single payment or an ongoing share of revenue
			programs. Specifically, please elaborate on what the affiliate is	after a new player makes a deposit into the system. Currently, payments are tracked through
			rewarded for, what metrics are	the Affiliate third-party, Income Access. Bidders are encouraged to be creative in the proposed solutions.
			tracked and whether this source	Solutions.
			data is dependent on a host	
			integration. If so, please specify	
			the host integration to be used.	
103	7	54	Does the requirement specified in	This section covers any data that is within the iLottery system or other integrated systems to
			this section cover all sales	the extent the integrations allow and as designated by MSL. Bidders are welcome to describe
			channels including retail? If so	how the items within this section will impact pricing within the pricing proposal.
			please specify the host	
			integration to be used.	
104	7	54	Please elaborate on the scope of	MSL must not be restricted from updating the customization of reports for any data that is
			what unlimited customization will	within the iLottery system or other integrated systems to the extent the integration allows and
			cover. For example, would MSL	as designated by MSL. Bidders are welcome to describe how the items within this section will
			expect new data integrations,	impact pricing within the pricing proposal.
			which may be required for a	
105	7	F 4	report to be included?  Please list and describe the	Diddens about decreases that as most of the content in the NCI was dispetable to the content in the
105	/	54	reports that are required to be	Bidders should assume that as part of the customization, MSL may direct that any report listed in the RFP may be automated and be produced at any recurring frequency. Bidders are
			automated and scheduled on a	welcome to describe how the items within this section will impact pricing within the pricing
			recurring basis and what is the	proposal.
			recurring basis and what is the	proposal.
106	7	55	Expired Prizes Reporting - Could	Prizes that are more than \$600 must be claimed by players and are not automatically awarded
	,		you please explain the situation	into player wallets. Expired prizes are prizes that have not been claimed by a player after a
			of expired prizes in regards to	period of time defined by MSL.
			iLottery sales? As required the	,
			prizes will immediately be	
			transferred to the player / the	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	I NOUNL
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			player's wallet by the system.	
			Under which circumstances are	
			the prizes expiring regarding the	
	_		iLottery system?	
107	7	55	Would MSL solely be managing	MSL will direct the iLottery vendor regarding which funds to report and transfer (with the
			the expired player wallet	accompanying Player information) to the Michigan Department of Treasury.
			balances with regard to State	
			compliance obligations and reporting?	
108	7	56	To ensure equitable costing from	MSL currently uses 17 seats, but has an unlimited number available. Bidders are encouraged to
100	,	30	all vendors, will the Lottery please	describe capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system. Bidders are welcome to discuss
			indicate the number of user seat	impacts to pricing within the pricing proposals.
			licenses required in the base for	impacts to pricing warm the pricing proposals.
			proposed BI solutions?	
109	7	58	What does the current two-factor	Email or SMS are currently used. Bidders are encouraged to propose creative solutions to meet
			authentication use to verify	the technical requirements and are not required to follow the current solution.
			players? ie SMS, phone call,	·
			email, third party authenticator	
			etc.	
110	7	58	Can the secure upload center that	MSL has not evaluated Kiteworks. Bidders are encouraged to be creative with proposed
			allows certain qualified failed	solutions. MSL will consider the use of a third party if a third party meets the requirements of
			registrations to upload and	IT security and other MSL compliance requirements. Bidders are welcome to describe how the
			transfer documents to MSL/CSC	use of a third party would affect price within the price proposals.
			live in a third party platform like	
444	_		kiteworks?	
111	7	58	Secure Upload Center. System	Players may need to submit additional identification, proof of bank account ownership, or
			must provide capabilities for	other documents to pass KYC during registration, make deposits, or make withdrawals. The
			registered players, and certain qualified failed registrations, to	iLottery system may perform these functions automatically or through manual review initiated as part of the compliance, AML, and/or payment duties of the Contractor.
			upload and transfer documents in	as part of the compliance, AML, and/or payment duties of the contractor.
			a secure manner to MSL.	
			Can we get more information on	
			the how a failed registration gets	
			qualified, and what kind of	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	I NOUNLI
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			documents should a player with	
	_		failed registration upload?	
112	7	59	Can the Lottery please clarify the	Bidders should assume that all data must be kept for the life of the contract and returned to
			time period in which "all data"	MSL upon expiration or termination of the contract. Upon award of the RFP, this can be further
			must be kept? Is there a finite time	discussed for specific data types. Bidders are welcome to describe how data retention will
			period, or is this for the life of the	impact pricing within the pricing proposal.
			program? Also, is there any limit to	
			the scope of this data over time?	
			For example, is all transactional	
			data meant to be kept for 2 years,	
			where all tax data is meant to be	
			kept for 7 years?	
113	7	59	Would MSL please confirm that a	MSL expects that the system will have configurable limits and amounts will be set by MSL.
			\$2K deposit pocket limit (per	
			player) is acceptable?	
114	7	59	Player Wallets. The System must	Currently, only winnings and certain bonuses can be withdrawn. Some bonuses can be
			provide capabilities to fund	withdrawn if specifically selected during setup by MSL to not require a playthrough. Winnings
			player- specific deposit accounts	above the MSL set threshold can be withdrawn. Any single prize of \$600 or less goes directly
			("Player Wallets") that can be	into the player wallet. Prizes more than \$600 must be claimed by the player. In certain cases, it
			used for player transactions. The	will be necessary for a player to be credited back an amount of money. Each player has only
			Player Wallets must have full	one wallet and currently money cannot be transferred between wallets. Bidders are
			featured (i.e., no limitations)	encouraged to be creative when proposing solutions.
			availability for third-party	
			integrators, including game	
			library providers, as specified by	
			MSL. Player Wallets must use	
			logical separation of deposit,	
			promotion, credits, and winnings	
			("Balance Classifications") with	
			the ability to strictly control	
			which Balance Classifications are	
			available for withdrawal by the	
			player. Additionally, the various	
			Balance Classifications must be	
			available for display to players	
			and MSL back-office users	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



	1		elated Services	I NOCONLI
Question		Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			through user interface ("UI")	
			components.	
			Q1: Can you please confirm our	
			understanding of how the "Player	
			Wallets" should behave?	
			<ul> <li>Deposit – money that</li> </ul>	
			the player put in his account	
			using different payment methods.	
			This balance can be used to	
			purchase tickets, but is it also	
			available for withdrawal by the	
			player?	
			<ul> <li>Promotion – money that</li> </ul>	
			the player received as a gift, a	
			"bonus". This balance can be	
			used to purchase tickets, has	
			wagering requirements. This	
			balance is not available for	
			withdrawal by the player?	
			Winnings – money that	
			the player receives as prize	
			money. Is this balance available	
			for withdrawal? Must the prized	
			be claimed by the player first,	
			before they reach this wallet?	
			Credits – not clear what	
			this is? Can you please elaborate?	
			Are these manual corrections?	
			Q2: Can money be transferred	
			between these wallets?	
115	7	60	Responsible Gaming Standards.	Bidders are encouraged to review resources found at: https://www.ncpgambling.org/programs-
			Vendor must meet the Internet	resources/responsible-gambling/icap/. Bidders are welcome to propose options and are
			Responsible Gambling Standards	welcome to describe any potential impacts within the pricing proposals.
			and the Internet Compliance	71 1 2 2 7 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
			Assessment Program (iCap) as	
	l	l	1	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	FRUGURE
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			directed by MSL. Vendor must	
			meet the North American	
			Association of State and	
			Provincial Lotteries and National	
			Council on Problem Gambling	
			(NASPL/NCPG) Responsible	
			Gambling Verification Program as	
			designated by MSL. Vendor must	
			meet any other industry	
			standards as directed by MSL.	
			Is there any specific	
			documentation MSL could direct	
			us to?	
			Could MSL please provide a	
			complete list of rules and	
			regulations/license conditions	
			applicable to MSL for our review.	
116	7	60	Self-Exclusion. The System must	MSL expects both to be possible. The back-office user may be part of the player support center
			allow players to define periods of	staff provided as part of this RFP. Bidders are welcome to describe how the items within this
			exclusion from accessing the	and any other section will impact pricing within the pricing proposal.
			System from twelve (12) hours to	hand a gradual design of the control
			a lifetime with player selected	
			increments as designated by MSL.	
			The System must ensure during	
			the exclusion period, the player	
			will not be able to access any	
			online games. The System must	
			ensure that any Balance	
			Classifications eligible for	
			withdrawal can be issued back to	
			the player prior to and during	
			exclusion lockout. Players must be	
			able to access account info and	
			w2-g form after exclusion is in	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



	Solicitation		Pidder Question	Lottory Posnonso
Question No.	Section	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
INO.	Section	No.	place Additionally the system	
			place. Additionally, the system must update all communication	
			I =	
			systems (including any third-party	
			systems) in real-time of a player	
			exclusion event and provide a	
			subsequent update when that	
			exclusion period has expired. The	
			System must not automatically	
			notify the player when the self-	
			exclusion has expired if	
			designated by MSL.	
			When you say The System must	
			ensure that any Balance	
			Classifications eligible for	
			withdrawal can be issued back to	
			the player prior to and during	
			exclusion lockout do you mean:	
			•A MSL back-office user	
			can issue the balance	
			back to the player's bank	
			account without any	
			intervention	
			<ul><li>The player can login to</li></ul>	
			his account, see his	
			account info (will not be	
			allowed to gamble) and	
			withdraw his	
			withdrawable balance	
			into his registered bank	
			account	
			<ul> <li>Both scenarios above</li> </ul>	
117	7	61	The Lottery states that "SDKs must	Bidders are welcome to propose options and describe the impacts to pricing within the pricing
			be provided to allow seamless	proposal.
			integration of the system's UI	
			components into MSL's Mobile	
			Apps". Is MSL open to other	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Blader Question	Lowery Response
1101	00000011	1101	solutions of accepting the iLottery	
			system UI components, such as	
			receiving the code and API's of	
			native iOS and Android modules to	
			be integrated with your native	
			apps?	
118	7	61	What are examples of other MSL	Current examples include our retail second chance sites found at:
			maintained or third-party sites	https://www.michiganlottery.com/secondchance.
			that utilize the same username	
			and password as the MSL iLottery	
			account?	
119	7	61	Please describe an example of	That UI is handled by MSL's website and app developer, Gambyt, and is not currently provided
			"retail gaming" listed under UI	through the iLottery platform. However, bidders are encouraged to remember retail sales
			Components?	support and related UI in creative proposed solutions.
120	7	61	It is mentioned that the UI	Yes, existing MSL sites and app and any future site and apps. Bidders are welcome to describe
			components should be integrated	how the items within this and any other section will impact pricing within the pricing proposal.
			with MSL's sites and mobile apps	
			- are these references to the	
			existing MSL site and mobile	
			apps? If not, please provide more	
			details on these systems?	
121	7	61	Would MSL please clarify if a	Yes, a bidder can propose its own portal and mobile solutions as part of the Optional Services,
			Bidder can propose its own portal	but should be separated within the pricing proposals.
			and mobile solutions as part of its	
			proposal?	
122	7	61	Reinstatement after Exclusion.	Players must complete a multi-step reinstatement process online that players read and confirm
			The System must not allow a	through a set of messages. Bidders are encouraged to be creative with proposed solutions.
			player to reopen their account	Bidders are welcome to describe how the items within this and any other section will impact
			until the player completes an	pricing within the pricing proposal.
			established reinstatement	
			process.	
			Could you please elaborate on	
			what is the reinstatement	
			process, and if it happens in the	
			background, I.e., the player	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			Pidder Overtice	Lottom Personal
Question No.	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
NO.	Section	No.		
			contacts customer support and	
			must provide some documents,	
			answer some questions OR it can	
			happen directly in the website,	
			without contact from customer	
			support.	
123	7	61	Responsible Gaming Integration.	https://www.michiganlottery.com/responsible-gaming
			RG tools and exclusion must be	
			capable of integration into any	
			game platform or third-party	
			service or function as designated	
			by MSL. The system must be	
			capable of integrating into an	
			external self-exclusion verification	
			system or gambling blocking	
			software should such system be	
			available	
			Could you please provide some	
			examples of RG tools that you	
			currently use or that are of	
			interest?	
124	7	62	Please describe the games	https://www.michiganlottery.com/games?WHERE TO PLAY=ONLINE&GAME TYPE=DRAW
			available to be added to the	
			shopping cart functionality within	<u>and</u>
			the website/app.	
				https://www.michiganlottery.com/games?WHERE_TO_PLAY=ONLINE&GAME_TYPE=RAFFLE
125	7	62	This section mentions that the UI	MSL seeks the ability to create custom UI designs which can call APIs to perform functions such
			should be available as an API	as "Buy Now" and call other account functions. Bidders are encouraged to fully describe the
			service - please provide more	capabilities and limitations to providing APIs for UI components of an iLottery system. Bidders
			technical details around this	are welcome to describe how the items within this and any other section will impact pricing
			requirement. Does MSL actually	within the pricing proposal.
			refer to loading the UI	
			components via HTTP requests	
			that provide HTML response with	
			the actual UI component?	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	bidder Question	Lowery Nesponse
126	7	62	Please elaborate more on the requirement that APIs must support shopping cart so that all draw games can be sold through a third party or parties shopping cart.  Is it expected for third parties to load the iLottery shopping cart? If so, would SSO be also required?  How will the third parties actually purchase the shopping cart contents? Will that be by API requests to the iLottery platform?	Bidders are encouraged to propose solutions for both scenarios to accommodate a wide range of integrations. SSO or linking of accounts would likely be needed.
127	7	62	Does this section reference a two- way communication with the ability for players to respond OR just a one-way system (i.e., system sends informational messages to the player)?	Two-way communication is not a requirement.
128	7	62	Please provide a few examples of personalized experiences.	Personalized experiences may include, but not be limited to, individualized bonuses, rearranged page content, banner changes, presenting of specific messaging, adjusted game recommendations, reinstating the previous session state, etc.
129	7	63	What is the current WCAG compliance level for the website and apps? i.e. WCAG 2.1 A compliance? When was it last certified?	MSL endeavors to reach the WCAG 2.1 - Level AA standard. A third-party certification is not conducted.
130	7	63	Screen Reader Accessibility Please clarify who is able to designate the major operating systems used for screen readers. "accessibility features available	This should read, "accessibility features available on major operating systems as designated by MSL."

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			erateu Services	I NOOONL
Question No.	Solicitation Section	Page No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
			on major operating systems as designated by n."	
131	7	63	Can the Lottery provide additional detail on the MSL-approved UI and content testing systems?	This is functionality that allows MSL to display UI or content differently to different target audiences for the purposes of evaluating player preferences or the effectiveness of the UI or content.
132	7	64	How many third-party game providers does MSL contract with currently? How are third-party game providers selected, e.g. MSL RFP process, and what is the platform provider's role in this selection?	MSL has third-party contracts with IWG, IGT, EQL, and Allwyn (Camelot). NeoGames provides games as part of the current iLottery platform contract. MSL directs the choice of third-party game providers. The platform provider does not direct the choice, but is free to make recommendations.
133	7	64	With respect to the fees payable to third-party game providers, we understand that Vendor is required to pay the third-parties. Does Vendor then submit these third-party invoices to the MSL for reimbursement? Or is the expectation that Vendor pays these costs from the contract revenues it receives?	The vendor pays the third-party fees directly to the game provider and charges MSL the cost for reimbursement of the fees as a no-mark-up pass-through billing.
134	7	64	Please elaborate further on what type of integration needs to be supported. Is Contractor expected to integrate the system to other third party RGS systems directly or through a content aggregation platform that should be provided?	The Contractor is expected to integrate the system directly with the third-party RGS as directed by MSL. A content aggregation solution may also be proposed by Bidders as an optional alternative solution. If proposed as an optional alternate solution, Bidders should describe the capabilities and limitations of such a system and indicate any impact to pricing within the price proposals.
135	7	64	Can the Lottery confirm that the 90 days refers to the RGS integration only and that adding and testing individual games after	The initial game or set of games chosen for an RGS integration should be testable within the MSL testing environment by the end of the 90 days. Any following games added after the initial integration will have a separate timeline.

### RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	<b>4</b>	,,
			integration will be separate from	
			the 90 days?	
136	7	64	Can the Lottery outline how the gross sales calculation will be applied as it related to third party content being delivered through the platform?	Bidders are encouraged to propose gross sales calculation in the pricing proposals. Bidders are welcome to propose alternative options within the pricing proposals.
137	7	64	Can the Lottery list any existing third-party content agreements with terms that exceed the commencement of this contract, along with their expiration dates?	MSL has third-party contracts with IWG, IGT, EQL, and Allwyn (Camelot). All contracts are currently set to terminate on July 17, 2026.
138	7	64	General Games Services: Vendor must support the ability to sell draw and instant games through the system. The description must address the following minimum requirements  Could the MSL please advise which regulatory controls (if any) apply to the games themselves. For example:  I. Maximum Prize multiple. II. Can the outcomes be generated solely by an RNG or must they be from a depleting pool of results?  III. Maximum RTP IV. Volatility, High, medium or Low?	MSL does not have a maximum prize multiple. Prize multiples are evaluated by game as part of the overall RTP and prize structure. MSL expects online instant games to draw from a limited series (depleting pool). Some instant draw-style games are RNG based, such as Instant Keno and Quick 6. Lottery does not have a maximum RTP, but generally sets RTP between 87 and 90 percent. Volatility is a per game decision. Upon award of the bid, these items can be discussed with the successful bidder.
139	7	64	Game Integration Documentation	The developer kit is intended to be a standard kit, delivered to third parties from the iLottery
			and Developer Kit. The System	vendor to facilitate smooth integrations with third parties. Bidders are encouraged to outline

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			must be able to integrate draw, instant games, and other online games from remote game servers. Bidder must describe, in detail, its methodology to support third-party game integrations. Bidder must provide developer kit with associated documentation and anything else necessary to support third party draw and instant games integrations. Bidder must provide all materials necessary for game integrations to the designated game developer within thirty (30) calendar days.	the capabilities to provide developer kits, along with which libraries, APIs, testing tools, and documentation can be made available. If there is an impact to pricing, bidders should indicate the impact within the pricing proposals.
			Regarding the Developer Kit, some clarification is required. What libraries, APIs, testing tools, and documentation are expected?	
			We can provide full documentation and support for any vendor wishing to integrate their games to our platform, both draw based games as well as einstant games.	
			Please confirm (or otherwise) that the 'Developer kit' relates to integrations	
140	7	65	What does the 15 minute cut-off refer to in terms of games? iLottery only? Instant Games?	MSL expects to be able to urgently shut off sales of any game sold through the iLottery platform in case of a critical issue.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question No.	Solicitation Section	Page No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
			Draw games? Retail games?	
141	7	65	Please provide the current draw breaks for each game available for purchase online.	Answers to this question can be found on the individual game pages found at: <a href="https://www.michiganlottery.com/games?GAME">https://www.michiganlottery.com/games?GAME</a> TYPE=DRAW&WHERE TO PLAY=ONLINE
142	7	65	Please elaborate more on the reference to the necessary 3rd party integration for draw based games sales? Is the Host platform expected to handle DBG sales, draw processing and winner's selection for both digital and retail channels?	The iLottery platform is expected to handle DBG sales. The iLottery platform is expected to handle draw processing and winner selection for games sold only online. The iLottery system does not handle draw processing and winner selection for games sold both online and at retail.
143	7	65	Could you please elaborate and clarify further what is needed in terms of Draw Games Sales system? What is the definition and purpose of such system?	A draw games sales system sells draw games, typically in a shopping cart interface. This system must handle the purchase of tickets, communications with all other systems related to the game (ICS, MSL Production Control, etc.), tracking of the tickets and results, awarding of prizes, etc.
144	7	65	Would the MSL please confirm that should the Lottery use a draw games system that is not from the successful Vendor, that the Vendor will only be responsible for assuming the successful Vendor's own integration costs, and not any integration/other costs of third-parties chosen by the MSL?	Yes. This can be further discussed with the successful bidder after the award of the RFP.
145	7	65	Can MSL provide its current standards and compliance requirements for elnstant games, or games not governed by MUSL rules?	A comprehensive list of standards and compliance requirements is not available. Generally, each game is evaluated individually. Upon award of the RFP, this will be discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are encouraged to ensure the bids meet the requirements in the RFP. Bidders are welcome to propose alternatives and are welcome to describe any potential impacts within the pricing proposals.
146	7	66	Can MSL clarify whether or not elnstants require an ICS? If yes, who is the current vendor?	Yes, eInstants require an ICS. The current iLottery vendor contracts the ICS provider.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
147	7	66	It is mentioned that the system	Bidders are encouraged to describe the capabilities and options available in the bidder's system
147	,	00	should support automatic	to handle this scenario. MSL's current system puts the purchase on hold until the card is
			purchase without player	updated.
			intervention, provided that	apatica.
			payment card information on file	
			for the player is valid. Is it	
			expected that if the player does	
			not have sufficient funds in the	
			wallet, the system will	
			automatically top-up the wallet	
			prior to purchasing the	
			subscription ticket?	
148	7	66	Would the MSL please confirm	The current iLottery vendor contracts the ICS provider. Upon award of the RFP, this can be
			who the existing ICS vendor is,	discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are encouraged to ensure the bids meet the
			and what version of the system	requirements in the RFP. Bidders are welcome to propose alternatives and are welcome to
			the successful Vendor would	describe any potential impacts within the pricing proposals.
			need to integrate with?	
149	7	67	Would MSL please advise as to	Bidders are encouraged to describe capabilities and limitations related to securing bank
			MSL's requirements for vendor	accounts for the purposes of running an ILottery system in North America.
			banking services bank account?	
150	7	67	Would MSL please clarify its	Bidders should be prepared to provide reconciliations daily, weekly, and/or monthly. Bidders
			requirements with regard to the	are encouraged to describe capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system.
			frequency of reconciliation, e.g.,	
			monthly, weekly, daily?	
151	7	67	Would MSL please confirm if the	Yes. This will be further discussed upon award of the RFP. Bidders are welcome to discuss
			Vendor will be responsible for	options and impact to pricing within the pricing proposals.
			"Vendor Banking Services bank	
			account to System"	
			reconciliation, i.e., bank to	
			Payment Service Provider to	
152	7	67	wallet? Compliance and Risk	The vendor will name the reporting officer after approval from MSL.
132	/	0/	Requirements. Vendor will be	The vehicor will harrie the reporting officer after approval from MSL.
			responsible for ensuring	
			compliance with all state, federal,	
			anti-money laundering ("AML"),	
			and-money laundering ( Alvic ),	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



0	Callainaria	D	Diddon Corretter	I attenti Permana
*	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			and payment card industry	
			("PCI") imposed regulations	
			associated with the acceptance of	
			payments and external transfer of	
			funds. Vendor must be solely	
			liable for all risk, such as	
			chargebacks, fraud, etc. related to	
			payment activities. Vendor is	
			responsible for creating,	
			maintaining, balancing, and	
			reporting for all payment systems.	
			Which side will appoint the	
			Money Laundering Reporting	
			Officer (MLRO)? The vendor or	
			MSL?	
153	7	67	Responsibility of Payment Fees.	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
			Vendor must be responsible for	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			all fees, processing or otherwise,	
			associated with the acceptance	
			and transfer of payments to and	
			from players, including fees	
			associated with the	
			implementation of any future	
			payment methods added to the	
			Online Play system.	
			, ,	
			Could you please provide	
			information on volumes for	
			transactions with split per	
			transaction type and payment	
			method name? It is important to	
			understand the average value	
			transaction for deposits,	
			withdrawals (online, retail, POS	
			i withdrawais tonline, retail, POS	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	PRUGURE
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
154	7	68	Merchant of Record: Vendor is required to act as the Merchant and is responsible for all payment acceptance, dispute resolution handling, indemnification of payment fraud, and all expenses associated with these services. Does the MOR also handle chargeback management?	Yes, the MOR handles chargebacks.
155	7	68	Player Wallet Funding Methods: Some payment methods listed may not support processing for lottery vertical currently. How does MSL recommend bidders respond regarding these specific payment methods?	Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and related to funding and withdrawal methods.
156	7	68	Please provide the current split of payments across the nine current methods for depositing for the last 12 months. Please provide any assumptions to forecasted changes in deposits across these methods.	MSL has not forecasted changes in deposits across the current methods. Historical data is in the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
157	7	68	Please provide the current split of withdrawals across the 9 current methods for withdrawals for the last 12 months. Please provide any assumptions to forecasted changes in withdrawals across these methods.	MSL has not forecasted changes in withdrawals across the current methods. Historical data is in the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
158	7	68	Would MSL please define "alternative banking services" (as we are seeking to confirm that this failover protection capability	This failover protection is to ensure that players are not prevented from purchasing tickets. If a financial institution enacts a policy change or has a critical error, it is preferable that the vendor have a system capable of switching to a different method to handle financial transactions,

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question No.	Solicitation Section	Page No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
			is related to alternative payment methods and not to Vendor Banking Services)?	including the vendor's main bank. Bidders are encouraged to describe how the system can handle an outage related to payments.
159	7	68	Does MSL agree that not all player wallet (eWallet) funding methods may be available for the Lottery merchant category and, as applicable, vendor may not be able to offer?	Yes. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations related to funding and withdrawal methods.
160	7	69	Player Refunds: Please elaborate on a potential use case for a refund as used in this section, including whether capabilities must include both full and partial refunds.	There may be a number of use cases and the decision to refund outside of normal terms may be made on a case-by-case basis. One clear use case is a deposit from a payment source that was determined to have been used fraudulently. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities related to refunds, both full and partial.
161	7	69	Single Debit Funding and Purchase: Please elaborate on this optional feature that allows a registered player to purchase a game with a debit or credit or other payment source without funding a wallet or without retaining the payment method information.	MSL is requesting bidders to describe an optional feature that allows a player to buy tickets as a direct purchase to a card or other payment method, without saving that method in the system. Bidders are welcome to describe any impacts of adding this within the pricing proposals.
162	7	70	Claim Center Back Office: Please provide the total count and total amount of iLottery claims processed at these two thresholds: six hundred dollars (\$600) or less; greater than six hundred dollars (\$600)	Prizes of \$600 or less do not go through a claims process and are automatically and immediately added to the player wallet. Prizes above \$600 are claimed and averaged about 3,900 claims per month for the period of 7/1/22 through 6/30/23. The approximate total count of claims during that period was 46,800. The approximate total dollar amount for claims during that period was \$59,864,000.
163	7	70	Would MSL please confirm that it will be assuming operational functionality with regard to issuing prize check payments	The iLottery system must directly handle all prizes up through an amount designated by MSL (currently \$99,999.99). Currently, prizes of \$100,000.00 and more are issued through the Lottery's main office. Bidders are encouraged to describe capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			(examples: deducting any offsets,	
			reconciliation, etc.)?	
164	7	70	Immediate Prize Payments. The System must have configuration capabilities to issue immediate prize payment to a player without any manual intervention or with manual intervention by prize amount as designated by MSL. MSL may require prizes to be paid instantaneously to a Player Wallet, barring holds for fraud or other security related holds as directed by MSL.  What is the definition of an immediate prize payment?  What is the criteria that generates it – or indeed the	Prizes of \$600 or less will not go through a claims process and will automatically and immediately be added to the player wallet. Prizes above \$600 will be claimed by the player and will not be automatically paid into the wallet.
			reverse, why wouldn't a payment be made immediately?	
165	7	71	What are the current designated thresholds for issuing a credit to the player wallet?	There are a variety of different thresholds. MSL expects the thresholds to be configurable.  Upon award of the RFP, this can be discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are welcome to propose alternatives and are welcome to describe any potential impacts within the pricing proposals.
166	7	71	Describe the automated messages expected to send to players in regards to claims, along with the acceptable means to send those messages - ie email, push notifications, sms, etc.	Bidders are encouraged to describe all available methods within the bidder's system to provide automated messages to players.
167	7	71	Please share the documentation that is expected to be stored for player claims.	Bidders should be prepared to store all documents collected as part of the claims process.  Upon award of the RFP, this can be further discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are encouraged to describe the capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system. Bidders are welcome to discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			Pidder Overtice	Lottom Pomono
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Con MCI confirm that the much	Verselikherrek visk verkfastiere en sommetek listed in serting 7.5.4 e and hidden en
168	7	73	Can MSL confirm that the push notifications mentioned in Section 7.E.1.b are in reference to app push notifications?	Yes, although web notifications are separately listed in section 7.E.1.a and bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system.
169	7	73	Will MSL have an app push preference center?	MSL does not currently have a push preference center. Push notification preferences are handled on the device level. Bidders are encouraged to describe the capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system. Bidders are welcome to discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.
170	7	73	What method(s) are used for transactional player messages? If beyond email, please list split of transactional messages by each communication type (ie in-app messages, sms, push)	Currently, transactional messages are sent via email. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system.
171	7	75	Are raffles part of the RFP or are they optional as they are also listed under "Optional Services" in Sec. 7.G2, and it is unclear if they are required.	The promotional raffle system is not optional. A raffle system that sells raffles as a stand-alone product is optional. Bidders are encouraged to describe all raffle capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system and describe impact to pricing to add raffles for direct purchase within the pricing proposals.
172	7	76	Can the Lottery elaborate on what type of inventory is intended (i.e., physical merchandise, lottery product, etc.)?	MSL expects the system to communicate with third parties to deduct loyalty points from a player's account for any reason. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system. MSL does not expect the iLottery vendor to manage the inventory, but bidders are welcome to describe options within the bid and pricing proposals.
173	7	76	What is the primary objective and relevant KPIs of MSL's loyalty program? For example, is it to increase customer retention, drive customer engagement, generate more sales, or achieve another specific goal?	The intent of the loyalty program is to reward players for keeping their budgeted entertainment spend with MSL. Upon award of the RFP, relevant KPIs will be discussed with the successful vendor.
174	7	76	What data does the Lottery intend to collect through the loyalty program and how will it be used?	MSL expects to collect all available data and it will be used to evaluate effectiveness of rewards for potential adjustments to the program.
175	7	76	Can the Lottery describe the expectations of the Vendor's role	MSL expects the iLottery vendor to integrate with analytics tools as directed by MSL. Upon award of the RFP, this can be discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are welcome to

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question No.	Solicitation Section	Page No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
140.	Section	140.	as it relates to web and mobile analytics tools required for the loyalty program?	propose options and are welcome to describe any potential impacts within the pricing proposals.
176	7	76	How many daily and monthly player sessions occur within the Lottery App?	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
177	7	76	What are the primary features accessed via the Lottery App?	All features should be available within the app.
178	7	76	Please describe the types of rewards or incentives MSL is considering for its loyalty program.	MSL anticipates offering a variety of awards and incentives through the loyalty program, and all awards and incentives have not been determined. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system related to loyalty rewards.
179	7	76	Please describe any current restrictions or limitations on the type of rewards that can be offered to players through the loyalty program and any anticipated or planned regulatory initiatives that may impact that?	At present, there are no specific limitations and no anticipated or planned regulatory initiatives. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system related to loyalty rewards. Upon award of the RFP, restrictions or limitations can be discussed with the successful vendor.
180	7	76	Will the Lottery be fully responsible for the rewards budget, necessary procurement, and fulfillment? If not, please describe the Vendor's role in each of these areas?	MSL anticipates that MSL will be responsible for the rewards budget. Procurement and fulfillment may vary depending on the reward. For example, a reward of free games would be handled directly through the iLottery platform. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system related to loyalty rewards and discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.
181	7	76	Does MSL conduct all drawings, or would the successful Vendor be responsible for conducting them?	Section 7.E.3 describes a promotional drawing system to be provided by the vendor. MSL may choose to conduct some drawings directly. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system.
182	7	76	How many drawings is the Lottery planning to setup across the second chance and loyalty program per year?	The number of drawings for Loyalty and all second chance games has not been determined. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system related to drawings and discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.
183	7	76	If the drawings will be conducted by the successful Vendor, are there any unique requirements	At present, there are no unique requirements for conducting the drawings. For example, MSL does not currently require live broadcast of promotional drawings. Bidders are encouraged to

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	•	, and a second s
			for conducting the drawings? For example, external auditor, live broadcast, screen recording, etc.?	describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system related to drawings and discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.
184	7	76	If the drawings will be conducted by the successful Vendor, would the Lottery be open to the idea of using draw automation to conduct some drawings with relatively low prize values automatically to reduce the time between draw deadlines and winner publishing?	Yes. The promotional raffle system described in section 7.E.3 should provide this capability.
185	7	77	Can the Lottery please define "raffle functionality"? What is the Lottery's definition of a raffle tool?	MSL desired functionality is described in section 7.E.3. Generally, a raffle is defined as a sale of numbered tickets where one or some of which are subsequently drawn at random, and the holder or holders of such tickets wins a prize. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system related to drawings and raffles and discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.
186	7	78	Section 2(B) requires that sixty (60) positions be located in the State of Michigan. As long as all service levels and contract requirements are met and exceeded, is it required that the employees in these sixty (60) or more positions located in Michigan be solely and exclusively working on MSL duties? In other words, are the Bidder employees permitted to work on non-MSL work while located in Michigan? This question does not apply to the Project Manager and Data Analyst positions that are exclusively dedicated to MSL work.	MSL expects the 60 positions to exist as a function of providing the various services described in the RFP. Bidders are encouraged to describe how the staffing requirements will be met and indicate whether the 60 positions are provided as part of the iLottery platform contract or separately from the contract. Some in-state positions may work on both MSL and non-MSL items as long as that is disclosed (and approved by MSL) and all service levels under the contract are met. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder in relation to providing these positions as a local benefit. Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional alternatives and describe any impacts and any alternatives within the pricing proposals.

### RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
187	7	78	Please provide the average	Average Weekly Call Volume - 1,314
			number of weekly contacts	Average Weekly Chat Volume - 2,180
			received by the CSC by type (eg	Average Weekly Email Volume - 748
			telephone call, email, chat etc.)	
188	7	78	With regard to item a. Support	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
			Channels:	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			Would MSL please provide the	
			expected volumes for each	
			channel, with an indication of the	
			inbound curves (e.g., hourly	
			inbound calls per day of the	
			week)?	
189	7	78	With regard to item a. Support	Staffing levels fluctuate based on volume of customer contacts received. Bidders are
			Channels:	encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder in relation to providing
			Would MSL please provide the	these services. Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional alternatives and describe
			current staffing levels for each	any impacts and any alternatives within the pricing proposals.
			channel?	
190	7	78	With regard to item a. Support	All players will be able to access the chat feature regardless of whether they are logged in.
			Channels:	
			With regard to the "chat"	
			channel, will that channel be	
			accessed only by players who are	
404	7	70	logged on the system?	Chat internations were beginning to a subsequent of the attendent Discours decided by the second
191	7	78	With regard to item a. Support Channels:	Chat interactions may begin with an automated live attendant. Players should be able to easily
				access a live agent after a single request.
			With regard to the "chatbot," is it acceptable to assume that each	
			"chat" interaction will be	
			preceded by a "chatbot"	
			interaction, whereas the system	
			will then re-direct the contact to	
			a live operator in given cases?	
192	7	78	With regard to item c. Support	All player support channels should be staffed 24/7. Staffing levels may be adjusted to meet the
132	,	/ / /	Availability:	volume demands from each channel.
			Does MSL intend that each	voiding demands from each channel.
			channel must be attended by live	
L	1	<u> </u>	chamici must be attenued by live	

### RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	I NOCONL
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			operators 24/7? Or, as an	
			alternative, would it be	
			acceptable for players to be able	
			to access emails/webforms/	
			chatbot during certain night	
			hours?	
193	7	78	With regard to item g. Staffing	The customer service center and other services identified in the RFP are expected to be
			Levels:	provided to MSL as part of the iLottery platform at no additional cost. Bidders are encouraged
			Would MSL please clarify what is	to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder in relation to providing these services.
			meant by "at no cost"?	Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional alternatives and describe any impacts and
			Does MSL, for example, intend	any alternatives within the pricing proposals.
			that staff augmentation vs	
			"standard levels" – for instance,	
			due to structural increases in	
			contact volumes – will bear no	
			cost to MSL?	
194	7	78	Can the Lottery please describe	Support for non-English speaking players should be made available via phone and email. This
			any specific requirements related	may be accomplished by contracting with a third-party.
			to multi-lingual support across all	
			customer service channels?	
195	7	79	Please provide more information	The systems are procured by the iLottery vendor. The systems must be approved by MSL.
			on MSL's designated internal	Vendor must provide MSL access to the systems as designated by MSL. Upon award of the RFP,
			systems to determine integration	this can be further discussed with the successful bidder. Bidders are encouraged to describe
			complexity.	the capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system. Bidders are welcome to discuss any
				impacts within the pricing proposals.
196	7	79	With regard to item I. Automated	The automated prompt system may be satisfied by the IVR.
			Prompt System:	
			Would MSL please clarify if this is	
			supposed to be a general feature	
			within the system (e.g., the	
			portal) or if the requirement is	
			specific to the Customer Service	
			Center (e.g., must be satisfied via	
			the IVR?)	
197	7	80	Please provide last 12 months,	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
			monthly actual data for inbound	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001. Expected KPIs align with SLAs listed in the RFP. MSL

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	_	
			calls, player emails, live chat and social media inquiries vs the stipulated KPI's. Please provide any assumptions or forecasted changes to player numbers that will impact contact levels for the duration of the contractual term.	has not forecasted changes to player numbers that will impact contact levels. Bidders are encouraged to describe the capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system. Bidders are welcome to discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.
198	7	80	What is an abandoned email? i.e. "xiv. Abandoned email inquiry rate not to exceed one (1%) percent."	An abandoned email is an email that has not been answered within the stipulated time periods.
199	7	80	For the service level that states: "Respond to ninety-five (95%) percent of social media inquiries within thirty (30) minutes." - please clarify whether the response should be handled by the contact center or the social media specialist.	Social media inquiries should be handled by specially trained Social Media specialists.
200	7	81	Section 7.F. Staffing and Support requires that sixty (60) staff positions must be maintained in Michigan unless otherwise approved by MSL. Will the Lottery please consider replacing this requirement with a commitment from the Vendor to maintain adequate staffing to fully comply with all requirements of the contract? If the Lottery does not accept this replacement, then will the Lottery please update the requirement to obligate the Vendor to maintain these positions directly in connection with performance of contract, and	MSL expects the 60 positions to exist as a function of providing the various services described in the RFP. Bidders are encouraged to describe how the staffing requirements will be met, indicating whether the 60 positions are provided as part of the iLottery platform contract or separate from the contract. Some in-state positions may work on both MSL and non-MSL items as long as that is disclosed (and approved by MSL) and all service levels under the contract are met. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder in relation to providing these positions as a local benefit. Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional alternatives and describe any impacts and any alternatives within the pricing proposals.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			not any other purpose since some	
			potential bidders have other,	
			unrelated employees in the state	
			of Michigan which are not relevant	
			to this RFP, potentially giving them	
			an unfair advantage in meeting	
			this requirement?	
201	7	81	Re: the requirement for 60	Bidders are encouraged to describe a position structure to meet the requirements within the
			positions to be in Michigan. For	RFP. Bidders are also encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder in
			the existing contract, please	relation to providing these services. Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional
			provide the job title and number	alternatives and describe any impacts and any alternatives within the pricing proposals.
			of positions for all those staff a) in	
			Michigan and b) outside of	
			Michigan	
202	7	81	Would MSL please provide a list	Bidders are encouraged to describe a position structure to meet the requirements within the
	,	01	of the current iLottery vendor	RFP. Bidders are also encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder in
			staffing positions and how these	relation to providing these services. Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional
			positions are servicing the MSL	alternatives and describe any impacts and any alternatives within the pricing proposals. Upon
			iLottery contract?	award of the RFP, this can be discussed with the successful bidder.
203	7	81	Will MSL please provide its full	Monday through Friday, 7:45 A.M. to 4:45 P.M. Eastern Time.
			working hours and days?	
204	7	81	This section notes that, "A project	MSL expects positions to be filled within 90 days.
			manager will be exclusively	
			dedicated to MSL, if directed by	
			MSL at no cost to MSL." How	
			much notice will be provided to	
			the Vendor if MSL decides this	
	_	0:	position needs to be dedicated?	
205	7	81	Staffing Levels. Vendor must	Q1. Yes, the current iLottery provider provides approximately 60 FTEs. Upon award of the RFP,
			maintain and train sufficient staff	transferal of employment can be discussed with the successful bidder.
			to meet all service levels and	O2 Come ownerted staff are specifically listed within section 7.52 Didders are accessed to
			requirements of the contract.	Q2. Some expected staff are specifically listed within section 7.F.2. Bidders are encouraged to
			Sixty (60) positions must be in	describe a position structure to meet the requirements within the RFP. Bidders are also
			Michigan unless otherwise	encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder in relation to providing
			approved by MSL.	these services. Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional alternatives and describe

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	I NOCONLI
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	01.0 110461 1	
			Q1. Could MSL please provide	any impacts and any alternatives within the pricing proposals.
			clarification on whether the	Q3. MSL does not expect bidders to include acquisition and retention marketing staff.
			incumbent supplier provides	However, bidders are welcome to be creative in the proposals. Bidders are encouraged to
			the necessary level of service	describe a structure to meet the requirements within the RFP. Bidders are also encouraged to
			(60 FTEs) and whether that	describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder in relation to providing these services.
			operational resource is	Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional alternatives and describe any impacts and
			intended to be maintained by	any alternatives within the pricing proposals.
			a new supplier with transferal	
			of employment included?	
			Q2. Could MSL please provide	
			a list of job titles (or an org	
			structure) for the current CSC?	
			,	
			Q3. There is no mention in	
			the documents of operational	
			activities including acquisition	
			and retention marketing. Does	
			the MSL intend for the winning	
			bidder to provide these	
			services and if so are they	
			currently provided by the	
			incumbent in state or	
			remotely? Are there any	
			further services (payments,	
			fraud, AML, KYC management	
			for example) that are provided	
			by the incumbent, in state and	
			do they fall under the 60 FTE	
		0.7	headcount?	
206	7	82	Would MSL please specify the	Approximately August 2014 through July 2026.
			period of data that will be included in the data migration?	
			included in the data migration?	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			elated Services	I NOUUNLI
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
207	7	82	Would the MSL please explain what "other staff" (classification and quantity) the Bidder will be required to provide to MSL so that the Bidder can assume the costs and factor this into our price proposal (unless MSL envisions that this is a passthrough cost)?	MSL expects this may be a pass-through. Bidders are encouraged to be creative in the proposals. Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional alternatives and describe any impacts and any alternatives within the pricing proposals. Upon award of the RFP, this will be discussed with the successful bidder.
208	7	82	Can the Lottery confirm that additional staff would be a pass-through charge to the Lottery? If so, are there specific considerations for how these staffing services need to be structured? Will MSL provide potential examples of other types of support requiring additional staffing?	MSL expects this may be a pass-through. Bidders are encouraged to be creative in the proposals. Bidders are welcome to propose additional, optional alternatives and describe any impacts and any alternatives within the pricing proposals. Upon award of the RFP, this will be discussed with the successful bidder.
209	7	89	G, 3 Drawing Operations and Control Center Could you please elaborate on what are the expected services and capabilities to support the operations of draw games?	This is under Optional services and is not required. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system related to draw games and discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.
210	7	90	Please provide a link to MSL's current Amazon Fire app for review.	The current app used on Amazon Fire is a direct download available under step #2 at: <a href="https://www.michiganlottery.com/resources/install-android-app">https://www.michiganlottery.com/resources/install-android-app</a>
211	7	90	Please provide the last 12 months of traffic and transactions split among Google app, iOS app, Fire app, mobile web and desktop.  Provide any assumptions to forecasted changes in traffic	MSL has not forecasted changes in traffic. Historical data is in the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			patterns across these areas.	
212	7	90	What are the current NFR (non- functional requirements) or volumes of traffic and transactions the system is required to support?	Data regarding current NFR (non-functional requirements) or volumes of traffic and transactions the system is contained in the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
213	7	67 70	Would MSL please confirm that the payment services requirements in D.1. do not apply to the claims center transaction requirements in D.4.?	The requirements in D.1 do apply to the requirements of D.4 in any instance where the two scenarios reasonably cross over. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system related to draw games and discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.
214	7	75 & 88	Would the MSL please confirm the difference between the Raffle System identified in the promotional drawings section (page 75) of the RFP from the Raffle System in the Optional Services (pages 88 and 89) of the RFP.	The raffle system listed under optional services is a draw game for direct sale through a real money purchase. A promotional drawing entry is earned by some other action outside of direct purchase.
215	3 & 5	7 & 18	On page 7, the requirement states that the proposal is "irrevocable and binding for a period of one year from the date of submission." On page 18, the requirement states that the proposal is "open and irrevocable for a period of at least one year from the date of submission." Will the MSL please confirm the period of time proposals are irrevocable and binding from the date of submission?	The period is one year.
216	8	96	Would MSL please confirm these are System-issue reporting requirements only, and not reporting of specific player	The vendor is required to immediately report all significant incidents related to the operation of the System, without limitation. Bidders are encouraged to describe all capabilities and limitations of the bidder's system and discuss any impacts within the pricing proposals.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question		Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Diadel Question	Lotter y response
1101	000000	1101	transactions unrelated to the	
			System?	
217	9	N/A	Pricing:	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at
			Given that the start of sales under	https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
			a new supplier (potentially) is so	
			far ahead, to help us arrive at an	
			optimum pricing (for both	
			parties) it would be very helpful if	
			you could provide the following	
			data:	
			Q1. Total iLottery sales from 2017	
			to (forecasted) 2027	
			Q2. Sales per game type/name	
			(game 1, game 2, game 3)	
			Q.3 Active players in period (avg)	
			Q4. Average number of logins per	
			month	
			Q5. Average annual spend on	
			iLottery marketing	
			Q6. Average monthly cost per	
			game (%of GGR or net win works)	
			Q7. Rate of tax and whether it's	
			levied on GGR, NGR or sales.	
			Q8. Average percentage of	
			ilottery platform cost vs GGR	
218	9	100	Price Model 2 requires	Example 1 (single player, promotional free games): Player wagers \$100 total on online instant
			respondents to submit a price	games, \$5 of the wagers came from promotional free games. The player wins \$20 total from all
			based on Net Gaming Revenue,	games. The net gaming revenue is calculated as follows: \$100 (total wagers) - \$20 (total prizes)
			defined as Sales less Prizes and	- \$5 (promotional dollars) = \$75 (net gaming revenue).
			Promotions. Could you elaborate	
			on how the costs of promotions	Example 2 (single player, deposit match): Player deposits \$50 and receives a 50% match (\$25)
			are calculated and applied to this	as a welcome offer. Player wagers \$75 on online instant games and wins \$20. The net gaming
			equation, including:	revenue is calculated as follows: \$75 (total wagers) - \$20 (total prizes) - \$25 (promotional
				dollars) = \$30 (net gaming revenue).

### RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
-		_	Bluder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	<ul> <li>promotional liability which may be incurred by a loyalty program points economy</li> <li>promotional costs incurred by retail promotions (such as the sale of an online game card or a retail ticket bonus code)</li> <li>online promotions which award retail coupons instead of bonus money or loyalty points</li> <li>if the level of bonusing is at the sole discretion of MSL, any limits on the amount of bonusing which may be deducted from gross gaming revenue to arrive at net gaming revenue</li> </ul>	Example 3 (large group of players, multiple promotions): Players collectively wager \$5,000,000 on online instant games, collectively win \$4,000,000 in prizes, and collectively receive bonuses that total \$50,000. The net gaming is calculated as follows: \$5,000,000 (total wagers) - \$4,000,000 (total prizes) - \$50,000 (promotional dollars) = \$950,000 (net gaming revenue).  If the promotions, bonuses, or loyalty rewards are related to products sold online (free games, bonus credits, etc.), then the promotions, bonuses, or loyalty rewards are included in the net gaming calculation. If the promotions, bonuses, or loyalty rewards are related to products at retail, then the promotions, bonuses, or loyalty rewards are not included in the net gaming calculation. MSL has internal limits on the amount of promotions, bonuses, or loyalty rewards, which can be adjusted at any time.
219	9	100	Could MSL please confirm that Price Model 1 does not deduct bonusing costs from the fee structure?	Yes. Price Model 1 does not deduct bonusing costs from the fee structure.
220	9	100	Model 1 This potential Bidder is seeking to understand what amounts are captured by the terms "gross sales through the shopping cart and deposits into the player account".  A) For Pricing Model 1, can	A "deposit" funds the player wallet and can be used to place wagers on any games. "Gross sales through the shopping cart" are sales of draw based games that are purchased from funds that were not already deposited in the player account.  Bidders are encouraged to be creative in the responses to this section. Bidders are welcome to provide additional pricing models within the pricing proposals.  Answers to the examples are:
			MSL please specify exactly what transactions are included in "gross sales through the shopping cart and	a. The \$2 and the \$20 are ticket sales (gross sales), but the \$20 had to come from the player wallet. In the scenario described, the "gross sales from the shopping cart" would be \$2 and the "deposit" would be \$100. But, if the \$2 is from funds that were not already deposited in the player account, then the \$2 should be included in the payment calculation. If the \$2 is from the \$100 deposit, MSL should not be charged twice for the same money coming into the

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.		
			deposits into the player	system.
			account" and use	
			examples to illustrate.	b. In the scenario described, the "gross sales through the shopping cart" is \$0 and the
			For example:	"deposit" is \$0 because all wagers are made from pre-existing funds or prizes.
			a. If we assume	
			that in a single	c. In the scenario described, the "gross sales through the shopping cart" is \$0 and the
			transaction a	"deposit" is \$20.
			player i)	
			deposits \$100	
			into their	
			account, ii)	
			purchases a	
			DBG for \$2 and	
			iii) wagers \$20	
			on an e-instant,	
			is total "gross	
			sales through	
			the shopping	
			cart and	
			deposits into	
			the player	
			account" \$122,	
			being the sum	
			of i, ii and iii?	
			b. If a player	
			wagers \$1 on an	
			e-instant from	
			pre-existing	
			funds, then	
			wins \$100 and	
			uses that \$100	
			to place DBG	
			wagers, is the	
			"gross sales	
			through the	
			shopping cart	

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



			Pidder Question	Lottery Bernance
Question No.	Solicitation Section	Page No.	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
140.	Section	NO.	and deposits	
			into the player	
			account" \$101,	
			being the sum	
			of the two	
			wager amounts?	
			c. If a player has	
			zero existing	
			funds, and i)	
			deposits \$20	
			into their	
			account and in	
			the same transaction	
			purchases a	
			DBG for \$2	
			(utilizing \$2 of	
			the \$20	
			deposit), is	
			"gross sales	
			through the	
			shopping cart	
			and deposits	
			into the player	
			account" \$22?	
			B) If the examples above do not	
			capture the intention of this	
			model, please provide a worked example so we can understand	
221	9	100	the mechanics of this approach  Model 2	Yes.
221	9	100		res.
			For Pricing Model 2	Fyampla
			a)is it correct to assume that e-	Example:
			instants wagers are excluded from	Player buys \$20 of DBG, deposits \$200, wagers \$100 on online instant games (\$10 of which was

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response
No.	Section	No.	Diade: Question	Lotter y response
			"gross sales through the shopping cart"? If so, please explain what is included in "gross sales through the shopping cart". e.g. is it only deposits and DBG wagers? b)Please provide a worked example so we can understand the mechanics of this approach	free games) and wins \$80 on online instant games. The gross sales percentage would apply to the \$20 DBG purchase. The net gaming revenue percentage would apply to the net gaming revenue of \$10 calculated as \$100 (wagers) - \$80 (prizes) - \$10 (promotional dollars).
222	9	100	In order to help understand historical performance, for the last 104 weeks please provide the total weekly amount of i) gross sales through the shopping cart (broken out by type, eg DBG wagers, e-instants wagers), ii) deposits into the player account, and iii) net gaming revenue from e-instants.	Please reference the data sheet titled "RFP 2023-001 Q&A Data" which is posted at https://www.michigan.gov/msl/2023001.
223	9	100	Would MSL please clarify its requirements for a. Model 1 and b. Model 2 within Section 9: Pricing, as follows: Specifically, with regard to a. Model 1: Where Bidders are required to "Propose pricing based on a flat % of gross sales through the shopping cart and deposits into the player account," would MSL confirm that that requirement may be interpreted to mean "Propose pricing based on a flat % of gross sales of draw games and electronic instant games made through the shopping cart	A "deposit" funds the player wallet and can be used to place wagers on any games. "Gross sales through the shopping cart" are sales of draw based games that are purchased from funds that were not already deposited in the player account. "Net gaming revenue" is calculated as total wagers - total prizes - promotional dollars.  Model 1: No. MSL should not be charged twice for the same funds. For example, if a \$50 shopping cart purchase is made from a previous \$100 deposit, MSL would have been charged for the deposit already and should not be charged for the purchase. Online instant game wagers are all from previously deposited funds.  Model 2: No. Model 2 includes gross sales of draw games and net gaming revenue from online instant games and does not include deposits.

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



	nternet Sales Platform and Related Services						
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response			
No.	Section	No.					
			and through deposits into the				
			player account"?				
			And with regard to b. Model 2:				
			Where Bidders are required to				
			"Propose pricing based on a % of				
			gross sales through the shopping				
			cart and a % of net gaming				
			revenue (sales less prizes and				
			promotions) from electronic				
			instant game," would MSL				
			confirm that may be interpreted				
			to mean "Propose pricing based				
			on a flat % of gross sales of draw				
			games through the shopping cart				
			or through deposits into the				
			player account and a % of net				
			gaming revenue (sales less prizes				
			and promotions) from electronic				
			instant games purchased through				
			deposits into the player				
			account"?				
224	9	101	Can the Lottery please elaborate	Section 9. 3 is referring to price reductions within the pricing proposals if MSL elects to take			
			on the statement that MSL may	multiple options from the optional services, section 7. G.			
			elect multiple pricing options?				
225	4 & 6	14	The instructions for each of these	Yes, the deduction of points would be from the 530 points possible.			
		20	sections mention a deduction of				
			points: 150 points for Section 4				
			(Part 1); 5 points for Section 6				
			(Part 3).				
			Would MSL please clarify if these				
			point deductions will be taken				
			from the 530 points that are				
			allocated to Part 4, or if there is				
			another point system, as part of				
			the Best Value, that these Section				
			the Dest value, that these section				

RFP No.: MSL 2023-001



internet Sa	nternet Sales Platform and Related Services							
Question	Solicitation	Page	Bidder Question	Lottery Response				
No.	Section	No.						
			4 (Part 1) and Section 6 (Part 3)					
			points would be deducted from?					
226	7 & 8	48	An uptime of 99.99% (four nines)	MSL expects a system that is up 100% of the year, with exception of MSL approved				
		92	is above industry standard for	maintenance. If the system goes below 99.99% up time, MSL may elect to impose liquidated				
			most online businesses and	damages.				
			hosting providers considering that					
			in-state hardware is required. In					
			this case 99.95% (three nines five)					
			seems more reasonable. Further,					
			the RFP mentions both 100%					
			uptime and 99.99% uptime, we					
			would like to have a clarification					
			on which one the SLA will be					
			bound to and whether it is					
			reasonably set considering the					
			nature of the business?					
227	7 & 8	48 &	Sections 7 and 8 of the RFP reflect	MSL expects a system that is up 100% of the year, with exception of MSL approved				
		92	a difference in system availability	maintenance. If the system goes below 99.99% up time, MSL may elect to impose liquidated				
			and uptime requirements. Please	damages.				
			confirm the system availability					
			and uptime requirements.					