



GLENGARIFF GROUP, INC.


**MICHIGAN INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
MICHIGAN VOTER SURVEY
600 SAMPLE**

February 17, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page	Topic
2	Methodology
3	Key Findings
14	Aggregate Survey Results
32	Cross-tabulation Report
70	Appendix A: Question 3/ What have you seen or heard?
77	Appendix B: Question 8/ Why was your opinion positive?
81	Appendix C: Question 9/ Why was your opinion negative?
83	Appendix D: Question 11/ Why do you approve?
86	Appendix E: Question 12/ Why do you disapprove?
88	Appendix F: Question 26/ Future Suggestions

METHODOLOGY

The Glengariff Group, Inc. conducted a Michigan statewide survey of voters. The 600 sample, live operator telephone survey was conducted on February 11-14, 2022 and has a margin of error of +/-4.0% with a 95% level of confidence. 25.0% of respondents were contacted via landline telephone. 75.0% of respondents were contacted via cell phone telephone. This survey is a follow up survey to a benchmarking survey conducted March 27-31, 2021. This survey was commissioned by the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission.

KEY FINDINGS

41.3% of Respondents Are Familiar With Michigan’s Redistricting Changes

- * By a margin of 41.3%-58.0%, Michigan voters have heard something about the 2018 constitutional amendment Michigan voters passed changing how redistricting is conducted.

This figure represents a nearly 12% point drop from the benchmarking survey conducted in March 2021 when 53.0% of voters had heard about the changes.

- * Voters were asked how engaged they were in the political process:

20.2%	Very Engaged
57.6%	Somewhat Engaged
15.6%	Not Really Engaged
5.7%	Not Engaged At All

The shift in awareness of Michigan’s redistricting changes came among the large proportion of voters that said they were ‘somewhat engaged’ and ‘not really engaged’ in the political process. Among voters very engaged in the political process, there was no statistical change in the percentage that knew something about Michigan’s change in the redistricting process.

The chart below compares awareness levels of the redistricting process from the benchmarking survey in March 2021 to the post survey in February 2022 based on how engaged the voter said they were in the political process.

<u>Engagement Level</u>	<u>Aware 3/21</u>	<u>Aware 2/22</u>	<u>Change in Awareness</u>
Very Engaged	62.6%	60.5%	-2.1%
Somewhat Engaged	52.9%	44.1%	-8.8%
Not Very Engaged	41.5%	27.9%	-13.6%
Not At All Engaged	12.0%	31.6%	+19.6%

[Note: Only 5.7% of respondents they were not at all engaged representing a cell size of only 35 respondents.]

35.2% Have Heard of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission

- * 35.2% of Michigan voters have heard of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission. This figure represents a 10.9% increase from the 2021 benchmarking survey. 81.8% of those voters that are familiar with the 2018 redistricting amendment had heard of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission.

The percentage of respondents that have heard of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission is directly tied to their engagement level in the political process. Among those very engaged in the political process awareness of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission increased from 33.7% to 51.6%. Among those 'somewhat engaged' awareness increased from 23.4% to 35.6%.

Engagement Level	Awareness of MICRC		
	<u>Pre Survey</u>	<u>Post Survey</u>	<u>Change</u>
Very Engaged	33.7%	51.6%	+17.9%
Somewhat Engaged	23.4%	35.6%	+12.2%
Not Very Engaged	16.9%	17.7%	+0.8%
Not At All Engaged	0.0%	8.6%	+8.6%

As the chart below indicates, awareness of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission was also tied to educational attainment with 43.6% awareness among college educated voters, 31.8% awareness among those with some post high school education, and 17.0% awareness with those with a high school education.

Education Attainment	Awareness of the MICRC		
	<u>Pre Survey</u>	<u>Post Survey</u>	<u>Change</u>
High School	11.1%	17.0%	+5.9%
Some Post Education	23.8%	31.8%	+8.0%
College Education	33.2%	43.6%	+10.4%

Strong Democratic and Independent voters saw a double digit increase in their awareness of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission. But Strong Republican awareness increased by only 2.8% from benchmarking levels.

Party Affiliation	Awareness of the MICRC		
	Pre Survey	Post Survey	Change
Strong Democratic	27.8%	44.8%	+17.0%
Lean Democratic	44.8%	43.5%	- 0.7%
Independent	17.6%	31.9%	+14.3%
Lean Republican	20.8%	32.8%	+12.0%
Strong Republican	20.8%	23.6%	+2.8%

* Respondents that had heard about the commission were asked what they had heard about the commission.

19.4% knew that they were redrawing legislative lines.

12.8% knew of the name or that the commission existed.

11.4% knew of the commission and the commission's make up.

8.5% said that people were upset about it.

6.6% said it was to fight gerrymandering.

6.2% knew about the applications to be on the commission/ or that they themselves had applied.

5.7% said they thought the redrawn maps were unfair.

5.2% knew the new maps were being contested/ that there were lawsuits.

4.7% said the maps were still biased towards one political party.

3.8% said the maps had been passed and their job was done.

1.4% said that minority communities were not properly represented.

Majority Have No Opinion on Commission's Overall Performance

* Respondents that had heard something about Michigan's redistricting changes were asked if their views on the commission were positive or negative.

50.8% said they had no opinion of the MICRC.

34.1% said they had a positive opinion of the MICRC.

12.0% said they had a negative opinion of the MICRC.

* The 34.1% of respondents that had a positive opinion were asked why their opinion was positive:

27.3% said the commission was fair and unbiased.

13.6% said they reduced gerrymandering.

13.6% said that citizens were handling redistricting, not politicians.

12.5% generally said they have done a good job.

9.1% said that people from both parties were working together.

8.0% said that people were given a voice.

8.0% said that change was good.

* The 12.0% of respondents that had a negative opinion were asked why their opinion was negative:

25.6% said the commission had done a bad job and were incompetent.

22.5% said the maps were not fair.

9.7% said it favors one party over another.

9.7% said that we should not change things.

6.5% said the commission was a form of gerrymandering.

6.5% said it was a political ploy.

6.5% said they would be adversely affected.

6.5% said the commission was a scam.

Those Aware Approve of Commission 33.7%-18.2%.

* For the remainder of the survey, the 41.3% of voters that had heard something about Michigan's redistricting changes were asked questions specifically about the performance of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission.

* For those respondents that were aware of Michigan's redistricting changes, 33.7% approve of the performance of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission while 18.2% disapprove of their performance. But 48.1% of those aware of redistricting changes could not offer an opinion of the commission's performance.

- * Not surprisingly, those respondents most engaged in the political process were most able to offer an opinion of the commission’s performance.

79.2% of those not very engaged or not engaged at all could not offer an opinion on the Commission’s performance.

<u>Engagement Level</u>	<u>Approve</u>	<u>Disapprove</u>	<u>Don’t Know</u>
Very Engaged	38.2%	26.3%	35.5%
Somewhat Engaged	35.0%	15.9%	49.0%
Not Very Engaged	10.6%	10.6%	78.9%
Not Engaged At all	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%

- * These voters were asked how closely they paid attention to the work being done by the Michigan Independent Citizens’ Redistricting Commission. Overall, 25.5% of the state’s voters said they watched the process very closely or somewhat closely.

8.1% Very Closely	(Represents 3.5% of the state population.)
51.2% Some Attention	(Represents 22.0% of the state population.)
20.5% Not Too Much Attention	(Represents 8.8% of the state population.)
20.2% No Attention At All	(Represents 8.7% of the state population.)

The chart below compares how much attention respondents paid based on how engaged they were in the political process.

<u>Political Engagement</u>	<u>Very/Some</u>	<u>Not Too Much/ No Attention</u>
Very Engaged	71.0%	28.9%
Somewhat Engaged	58.6%	41.4%
Not Very Engaged	26.3%	72.7%
Not Engaged At All	20.0%	80.0%

Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission Receives Positive Scores On All Key Measures

* Voters that were aware of Michigan’s redistricting changes were asked to rate the commission’s performance on seven key measurements that voters in the benchmarking survey indicated were important characteristics of the redistricting process. At least a plurality gave the commission positive marks on all seven metrics. A majority of aware voters approved of the Commission’s performance on five of the seven metrics.

Approval fell just short of 50% on the communities of interest metric –but still came in at a strong 48.4%-19.0% approval, with 22.1% unsure. While still positive, the Commission’s lowest approval level came in on transparency at 45.7% approve to 29.0% disapprove.

Approve	Disapprove	Neutral	DK	Measurement
60.8%	17.1%	5.4%	16.7%	Making sure that they designed the districts rather than politicians.
60.8%	19.4%	6.6%	13.2%	Making sure that neither party gets an unfair advantage by gerrymandering districts.
60.1%	18.2%	7.4%	14.3%	Making sure that Michigan voters, not elected officials, have input in designing Michigan’s new congressional and legislative districts.
57.0%	19.8%	7.0%	16.3%	Making sure the maps were redesigned in public view so that all sides could watch the deliberations.
55.4%	19.8%	9.3%	15.5%	Making sure that citizens had input into the design of the new districts through public meetings, the public comment portal, the mapping portal that allowed everyone to make comments about the proposed maps.
48.4%	19.0%	10.5%	22.1%	Making sure communities with common historical, cultural and Economic interests are put in districts together instead of divided to weaken their voices.
45.7%	29.0%	8.1%	17.1%	Making sure they were transparent in how they made their decisions.

- * There was one statistically significant difference in how aware voters rated the Commission on the metric of communities of interest.

Caucasian voters rated the Commission 52.8%-14.1% on communities of interest. African American voters rated the Commission 30.7%-53.9% on communities of interest.

80.1% Of Aware Voters Followed On News Media

- * Respondents that were aware of the redistricting changes in Michigan were read a list of several ways they could have become engaged in the redistricting process and asked if they used that vehicle. (The percentage in parenthesis represents the total state population.)

<u>Aware</u>	<u>Overall Pop</u>	<u>Engaged Using This Method</u>
80.1%	(27.5%)	Reading or following news stories
28.2%	(9.7%)	By looking at the commission’s website
19.9%	(6.8%)	By viewing a virtual or in-person informational redistricting presentation
13.1%	(4.5%)	By watching a meeting online
8.3%	(2.8%)	By providing public comment before the commission or submitting written comment
2.9%	(1.0%)	By attending a public hearing held by the commission

- * Participation beyond reading or following in new stories was nearly exclusively engaged in by those voters that are very engaged in the political process.

<u>Engagement</u>	<u>Online Mtg</u>	<u>Public Hearing</u>	<u>Website</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Virtual</u>	<u>Listening</u>
Very Engaged	28.6%	7.9%	44.4%	17.5%	34.9%	3.2%
Somewhat Engaged	7.1%	0.8%	22.2%	4.0%	14.3%	6.3%
Not Very Engaged	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	0.0%
Not At All Engaged	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

* While Democrats and Republicans were nearly equal in Online Meeting viewing or using the website, Democratic voters were significantly more likely to attend a public hearing or offer comment.

<u>Party Affiliation</u>	<u>Online Mtg</u>	<u>Public Hearing</u>	<u>Website</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Virtual</u>	<u>Listening</u>
Strong Democratic	17.7%	6.3%	26.6%	13.9%	21.5%	6.3%
Independent	6.5%	0.0%	34.8%	2.2%	19.6%	2.2%
Strong Republican	14.7%	0.0%	26.5%	0.0%	14.7%	8.8%

Aware Voters Divided on Social Media Engagement

* Aware voters were read a list of different social media platforms and asked if they followed the redistricting process on that platform. 51.5% of aware voters did not follow the redistricting process on any social media platform. 43.6% did engage on a social media platform. 4.9% did not know.

51.5%	Not engaged in any social media platforms listed
25.7%	Facebook
17.5%	Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission mapping portal
12.1%	Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission public comment portal
11.2%	Twitter
10.2%	You Tube
8.3%	Instagram

* Among those that are very engaged in the political process, 68.3% of these voters followed the redistricting process on social media while 31.7% did not engage on social media.

<u>Engagement</u>	<u>Facebook</u>	<u>Twitter</u>	<u>Instagram</u>	<u>YouTube</u>	<u>Mapping</u>	<u>Public Comment</u>
Very Engaged	36.5%	17.5%	20.6%	19.0%	22.2%	20.6%

* Age had a strong influence on whether the respondent engaged on social media.

<u>Age</u>	<u>Did Not Use Social Media</u>
18-29	36.4%
30-39	45.2%
40-49	40.0%
50-64	61.3%
65+	59.3%

34.9% of Aware Voters Recall Seeing or Hearing Advertisements

* Aware voters were asked if they had seen or heard any advertisements by the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission. 34.9% of aware voters had seen or heard an advertisement of some sort while 62.8% of aware voters had not seen or heard advertisement. 2.3% of aware voters could not remember.

16.3%	Television
13.2%	Internet
10.9%	Radio
4.7%	Newspaper
1.6%	Billboard

* Aware Republican voters were more likely than Democratic or Independent voters to have seen or heard an advertisement.

<u>Party Affiliation</u>	<u>Did NOT See or Hear</u>
Strong Democratic	68.2%
Lean Democratic	60.0%
Independent	67.2%
Lean Republican	36.4%
Strong Republican	58.7%

- * Traditional Republican votes were the most likely to have seen or heard an advertisement.

<u>Party Philosophy</u>	<u>Did NOT See or Hear</u>
Sanders Democratic	57.5%
Democratic	64.3%
Independent	70.8%
Republican	41.2%
Trump Republican	73.3%

Aware Voters Say Commission Succeeded By Better Than 2-1 Margin

- * Aware voters were asked if Michigan citizens did or did not have a greater role in deciding how new districts would be drawn. By a margin of 45.0%-22.1%, aware voters believe Michigan citizens DID have a great role. 26.0% of aware voters could not offer an opinion.
- * ***Aware voters were asked if the Commission succeeded or failed in giving Michigan citizens a greater role than politicians in designing new districts. By a margin of 49.6%-22.1%, aware voters said the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission succeeded in giving Michigan citizens a greater role. 28.3% of aware voters could not offer an opinion.***

60.9% of Aware Voters Have No Suggestions Moving Forward

- * Aware voters were asked in an open-ended question what suggestions they have for improving the redistricting process. 60.9% of these voters could not offer a suggestion. The primary suggestion was to invest more in communications with voters.

14.0%	Keep the people better informed
13.2%	Help the citizens be more involved
2.7%	Be more transparent
2.3%	Keep politicians out of it
2.3%	Become more educated about what you are doing
2.3%	Disband the commission
1.2%	Put the redrawn districts on the ballot for voters to approve

Final Call: 65.5% of All Voters Say Continue With Commission

- * At the conclusion of the survey, ALL voters were asked if Michigan should continue to allow the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission to redraw the state's maps or should Michigan go back to allowing elected representatives that have control in the State Legislature to redraw the maps.

By an overwhelming margin of 65.5% to 10.1%, Michigan voters say the state should continue with the redistricting commission. 24.4% of voters were undecided or said both should be involved.

- * ***By a margin of 78.7%-4.7% voters that were aware of the redistricting changes said Michigan should stay with the redistricting commission.***

- * A majority of all party affiliations say Michigan should continue with the redistricting commission to redraw the state's maps.

<u>Party Affiliation</u>	<u>Commission</u>	<u>Legislature</u>	<u>Undecided/Both</u>
Strong Democratic	75.2%	5.5%	19.4%
Lean Democratic	74.2%	1.6%	24.2%
Independent	61.6%	11.6%	26.8%
Lean Republican	67.2%	6.9%	25.9%
Strong Republican	59.4%	18.2%	22.4%

**MICHIGAN INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
2022 POST SURVEY**

Hello, my name is _____. I'm not selling anything. I'm doing a quick survey of voters' attitudes in Michigan. It should take approximately six minutes.

A. Are you registered to vote at the address I am calling?

- | | | |
|----|------------------|--------|
| 1. | Yes.....CONTINUE | 100.0% |
| 2. | No....TERMINATE | |

1. CODE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

- | | | |
|-----|-------|------|
| 1. | CD 1 | 7.0% |
| 2. | CD 2 | 7.3% |
| 3. | CD 3 | 7.2% |
| 4. | CD 4 | 7.2% |
| 5. | CD 5 | 7.2% |
| 6. | CD 6 | 7.2% |
| 7. | CD 7 | 7.2% |
| 8. | CD 8 | 7.2% |
| 9. | CD 9 | 7.2% |
| 10. | CD 10 | 7.1% |
| 11. | CD 11 | 7.2% |
| 12. | CD 12 | 7.2% |
| 13. | CD 13 | 7.1% |
| 14. | CD 14 | 7.0% |

2. And could you tell me in what county you vote in?

1.	UP/North	8.3%
2.	West	12.4%
3.	Southwest	8.9%
4.	Mid-Michigan	9.9%
5.	East Central	12.4%
6.	Oakland	13.5%
7.	Macomb	9.4%
8.	Wayne	11.2%
9.	City of Detroit	4.7%
10.	Remainder of Detroit MSA	9.3%

3. CODE:

1.	Outstate (UP/North, West, Southwest, Mid, East Central)	51.9%
2.	Metro Detroit (Oakland, Macomb, Wayne, Detroit, Detroit MSA)	48.1%

4. In 2018, Michigan voters approved an amendment to the state's constitution that changed how Michigan conducts redistricting -- the process of drawing new congressional and state legislative districts every ten years. Would you say you have or have not heard anything about this change?

1.	Have heard....MOVE TO Q5	41.3%
2.	Have not heard....MOVE TO Q27	58.0%
3.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER/ MOVE TO Q27	0.7%

5. The new constitutional amendment approved by voters creates a Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission comprised of citizens who have the authority to draw district boundaries for the Michigan Senate, Michigan House of Representatives and Michigan congressional districts every 10 years. The Commission is made up of thirteen Michigan citizens selected through a random application process. Four members affiliate with the Republican Party, four members affiliate with the Democratic Party, and five members are Independent and do not affiliate with either party. Have you seen or heard anything about this Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission or about redrawing Michigan's district boundaries? IF YES, ASK: AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?

1. Yes..... 81.8%
 ASK: AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?

[RECORD AS STATED/ CODE]

2. No 17.8%
 3. Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER 0.4%

AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?	Number	Percent
The new map is contested/There are lawsuits	11	5.2 %
They're redistricting/redrawing lines	41	19.4 %
About the commission themselves and the make up	24	11.4 %
The redrawn maps are unfair	12	5.7 %
People are upset about it	18	8.5 %
Things about the applications/ I applied	13	6.2 %
It's to fight gerrymandering	14	6.6 %
It's still biased toward one political party	10	4.7 %
Minority communities aren't properly represented	3	1.4 %
Advertising for their meetings	1	0.5 %
It passed/ It's been done	8	3.8 %
Just the name/ That it exists	27	12.8 %
Misc	0	0.0 %
Don't Know/ Refused	29	13.7 %
Total	211	100.0 %

6. How important would you say the work of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission is to you. Would you say it is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not important at all?

1.	Very important	45.7%
2.	Somewhat important	32.2%
3.	Not very important	10.1%
4.	Not important at all	8.1%
5.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	3.9%

7. And would you say your views of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission is positive, negative, or would you say you have no opinion of it?

1.	Positive....MOVE TO Q8	34.1%
2.	Negative....MOVE TO Q9	12.0%
3.	No Opinion...MOVE TO Q10	50.8%
4.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER/ MOVE TO Q10	3.1%

8. And why would you say your opinion is positive?....MOVE TO Q10

	<u>Percent</u>
And why would you say your opinion is positive?	
It's fair/ unbiased	27.3 %
It reduces gerrymandering	13.6 %
Citizens are handling it, not politicians	13.6 %
People from both parties are working together	9.1 %
The people are being given a voice	8.0 %
Change is good	8.0 %
They've done a good job	12.5 %
Minorities are being represented	2.3 %
Misc	0.0 %
<u>Don't Know/ Refused</u>	<u>5.7 %</u>
Total	100.0 %

9. And why would you say your opinion is negative?

<u>And why would you say your opinion is negative?</u>	<u>Percent</u>
It isn't fair	22.6 %
It's a form of gerrymandering	6.5 %
It's a political ploy	6.5 %
It favors one party over the other	9.7 %
We shouldn't change things	9.7 %
They did a bad job/ They're incompetent	25.8 %
We'll be adversely affected	6.5 %
It's a scam/ They aren't helping	6.5 %
Misc	0.0 %
<u>Don't Know/ Refused</u>	<u>6.5 %</u>
Total	100.0 %

10. Based on what you know so far, would you say that you approve or disapprove of the job that the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission has done. ASK; WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OR JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

1.	Strongly approve...MOVE TO Q11	12.8%
2.	Somewhat approve...MOVE TO Q11	20.9%
3.	Somewhat disapprove...MOVE TO Q12	8.1%
4.	Strongly disapprove...MOVE TO Q12	10.1%
5.	Don't Know/ Not Sure/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER/ Q13	48.1%

11. And why do you approve of the job they have done?/ MOVE TO Q13

<u>And why do you approve of the job they have done?</u>	<u>Percent</u>
The map is more fair/accurate	18.4 %
It reflects the population to reduce gerrymandering	13.8 %
They're better than the politicians	5.7 %
They're working together/ Non-partisan	6.9 %
Citizens are able to be involved	5.7 %
Change is needed	14.9 %
They've worked hard/ Someone has to do it	8.0 %
I like what they've done so far	16.1 %
Their support for minorities	1.1 %
Misc	1.1 %
<u>Don't Know/ Refused</u>	<u>8.0 %</u>
Total	100.0 %

12. And why do you disapprove of the job they have done?

<u>And why do you disapprove of the job they have done?</u>	<u>Percent</u>
The map isn't fair	21.3 %
It's biased toward one party over the other	12.8 %
It's gerrymandering to dilute minority votes	14.9 %
They weren't open and didn't involve the citizens	12.8 %
They did a bad job	14.9 %
It's a political move	6.4 %
Nothing had to change	6.4 %
I'm adversely affected	4.3 %
Misc	2.1 %
<u>Don't Know/ Refused</u>	<u>4.3 %</u>
Total	100.0 %

I am going to read you several goals of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission. For each, please tell me if you approve or disapprove of the job they have done when it comes to that goal.

13. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that neither party gets an unfair advantage by gerrymandering districts? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

1.	Strongly approve	29.8%
2.	Somewhat approve	31.0%
3.	Somewhat disapprove	7.4%
4.	Strongly disapprove	12.0%
5.	Neither approve, nor disapprove...DO NOT OFFER	6.6%
6.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	13.2%

14. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that Michigan voters, not elected officials, have input in designing Michigan's new congressional and legislative districts? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

1.	Strongly approve	34.9%
2.	Somewhat approve	25.2%
3.	Somewhat disapprove	5.8%
4.	Strongly disapprove	12.4%
5.	Neither approve, nor disapprove...DO NOT OFFER	7.4%
6.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	14.3%

15. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that communities with common historical, cultural and economic interests are put in districts together instead of divided to weaken their voice? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

1.	Strongly approve	27.9%
2.	Somewhat approve	20.5%
3.	Somewhat disapprove	9.3%
4.	Strongly disapprove	9.7%
5.	Neither approve, nor disapprove...DO NOT OFFER	10.5%
6.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	22.1%

16. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that they designed the districts, rather than politicians who would design districts to get themselves re-elected? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

1.	Strongly approve	43.4%
2.	Somewhat approve	17.4%
3.	Somewhat disapprove	7.8%
4.	Strongly disapprove	9.3%
5.	Neither approve, nor disapprove...DO NOT OFFER	5.4%
6.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	16.7%

17. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that citizens had input into the design of the new districts through public meetings, the public comment portal, and mapping portal that allowed everyone to make comments about the proposed maps? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

1.	Strongly approve	30.6%
2.	Somewhat approve	24.8%
3.	Somewhat disapprove	7.8%
4.	Strongly disapprove	12.0%
5.	Neither approve, nor disapprove...DO NOT OFFER	9.3%
6.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	15.5%

18. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in redesigning Michigan's maps in public view so that all sides could watch the deliberations? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

1.	Strongly approve	34.1%
2.	Somewhat approve	22.9%
3.	Somewhat disapprove	10.5%
4.	Strongly disapprove	9.3%
5.	Neither approve, nor disapprove...DO NOT OFFER	7.0%
6.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	16.3%

19. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure they were transparent in how they made their decisions? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

1.	Strongly approve	27.5%
2.	Somewhat approve	18.2%
3.	Somewhat disapprove	14.7%
4.	Strongly disapprove	14.3%
5.	Neither approve, nor disapprove...DO NOT OFFER	8.1%
6.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	17.1%

20. Would you say you paid very close attention, some attention, not too much attention or no attention to the work being done by the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission?

1.	Very close attention...MOVE TO Q21	8.1%
2.	Some attention...MOVE TO Q21	51.2%
3.	Not too much attention ...MOVE TO Q21	20.5%
4.	No attention...MOVE TO Q22	20.2%
5.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER/ MOVE TO Q21	0.0%

21. I am going to read you several ways that Michigan citizens could have become engaged in the redistricting process. For each, please tell me if you did or did not engage in the redistricting process that way. [CIRCLE ALL WAYS RESPONDENT WAS ENGAGED]

1.	By reading or following news stories	80.1%
2.	By watching a meeting online	13.1%
3.	By attending a public hearing held by the commission.	2.9%
4.	By looking at the commission's website.	28.2%
5.	By providing public comment before the commission or submitting a written comment.	8.3%
6.	By viewing a virtual or in-person informational redistricting presentation.	19.9%
7.	Was there any other way you may have been engaged with the commission?	4.9%
	ASK: AND WHAT WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN?	14.1%

[Word of mouth (4), Social media (5) I applied (1), Looking online (1), Through a friend working passively not actively (1), The application process (1), Emails sent by the commission (1), Another survey about this issue (1)]

8.	CODE: Not engaged in 1-7.....DO NOT OFFER	1.0%
9.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	0.0%

22. I am going to read you several places that Michigan citizens could become engaged on social media in the redistricting process whether by getting information or following along with the process. For each, please tell me you did or did not following the redistricting process on that platform.

1.	Facebook	25.7%
2.	Twitter	11.2%
3.	Instagram	8.3%
4.	You Tube	10.2%
5.	The Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission mapping portal	17.5%
6.	The Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission public comment portal	12.1%
7.	CODE: NOT ENGAGED IN 1-6	51.5%
8.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	4.9%

23. And would you say you did or did not see or hear any advertisements by the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission? IF YES, ASK: AND WHERE DID YOU SEE OR HEAR THIS ADVERTISEMENT [ACCEPT MULTIPLE ANSWERS]

1.	No	62.8%
2.	Yes, Radio	10.9%
3.	Yes, Television	16.3%
4.	Yes, Internet	13.2%
5.	Yes, Billboard	1.6%
6.	Yes, Newspaper	4.7%
7.	Yes, Not Sure/ Can't Remember	2.7%
8.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	2.3%

24. And would you say that Michigan citizens did or did not have a greater role this time around in deciding how Michigan's new districts would be drawn?

1.	Did have a greater role	45.0%
2.	Did not have a greater role	22.1%
3.	Citizens' role was no different...DO NOT OFFER	7.0%
4.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	26.0%

25. Generally speaking, would you say Michigan's redistricting commission has succeeded or failed in giving Michigan citizens a greater role than politicians in designing new districts? WOULD YOU SAY THEY HAVE STRONGLY SUCCEEDED/FAILED OR JUST SOMEWHAT SUCCEEDED/FAILED?

1.	Strongly succeeded	21.7%
2.	Somewhat succeeded	27.9%
3.	Somewhat failed	9.7%
4.	Strongly failed	12.4%
5.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	28.3%

26. And would you have any suggestions going forward that would help the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to improve the redistricting process?

And would you have any suggestions going forward that would help the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to improve the redistricting process?

	<u>Percent</u>
Help the citizens to be more involved/ Listen to us	13.2 %
Keep the people better informed	14.0 %
Be more transparent	2.7 %
Keep politicians out of it	2.3 %
Put the redrawn districts on the ballot for us to vote on	1.2 %
Become more educated about what you're doing and how	2.3 %
Disband	2.3 %
Hold yourselves accountable to the political system	0.8 %
Misc	0.4 %
Don't Know/ Refused/ No	60.9 %
Total	100.0 %

27. Now that Michigan has redrawn legislative maps for the first time with the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission, would you say Michigan should continue to allow an Independent Citizens Commission to redraw political maps every ten years or do you think Michigan should go back to allowing elected representatives that have control in the State Legislature to redraw the state's political maps?

1. Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission	65.5%
2. Elected representatives in the State Legislature	10.1%
3. Both/ Neither/ Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	24.4%

Now just a couple of questions for statistical purposes.

28. Generally speaking, would you say you tend to vote mostly for Republican candidates, do you vote mostly for Democratic candidates, or would you say you vote equally for both Republican and Democratic candidates? IF VOTE EQUALLY ASK: WOULD YOU SAY YOU LEAN MORE TO THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY OR MORE TO THE REPUBLICAN PARTY, OR WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE AN INDEPENDENT VOTER?

1.	Strongly Democratic	26.8%
2.	Lean Democratic	10.1%
3.	Independent	22.4%
4.	Lean Republican	9.4%
5.	Strong Republican	26.8%
6.	Other/ Refused/ Don't Know....DO NOT OFFER	4.4%

29. Which of the following best describes your political leanings: A Sanders Democrat, a Democrat, an Independent, a Republican, or a Trump Republican.

1.	Sanders Democrat	12.2%
2.	Democrat	21.8%
3.	Independent	23.9%
4.	Republican	19.8%
5.	Trump Republican	15.4%
6.	Something else _____..DO NOT OFFER [Libertarian (3), Moderate (1), Classic Liberal (1)]	0.8%
7.	None/ Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	6.0%

30. And when it comes to the political process, would you say you are very engaged, somewhat engaged, not really engaged or not at all engaged in the political process?

1.	Very engaged	20.2%
2.	Somewhat engaged	57.6%
3.	Not really engaged	15.6%
4.	Not at all engaged	5.7%
5.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	1.0%

31.	What would be the last year of schooling you completed?		
	1.	High school graduate or less	21.0%
	2.	Vocational Training/ Some Community College/ Some College	31.2%
	3.	College Graduate	46.7%
	4.	Don't Know/ Refused....DO NOT OFFER	1.1%
32.	Could you please tell me in what year you were born?		
	1.	18-29 (1993-2004)	14.0%
	2.	30-39 (1983-1992)	19.7%
	3.	40-49 (1973-1982)	19.0%
	4.	50-64 (1958-1972)	25.7%
	5.	65+ (1957 and before)	20.2%
	6.	Don't Know/ Refused....DO NOT OFFER	1.5%
33.	And what is your race or ethnic background?		
	1.	Caucasian	74.1%
	2.	African American	12.0%
	3.	Hispanic/ Puerto Rican/ Mexican American	2.6%
	4.	Arab American	0.8%
	5.	Asian	0.8%
	6.	Native American	0.8%
	7.	Mixed Race....DO NOT OFFER	2.0%
	8.	Other/ Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	6.8%

34. And when it comes to getting your news, which of the following would you say is your MAIN SOURCE of news information.

1.	Local newspapers or their websites	6.0%
2.	National newspapers or their websites	7.3%
3.	Local tv news	21.5%
4.	CNN or MSNBC	6.5%
5.	Fox News	8.6%
6.	Newsmax or One Network	2.6%
7.	NBC/CBS/ ABC or PBS	6.3%
8.	Facebook or Twitter	8.5%
9.	Parler	0.2%
10.	Internet news sites	19.8%
11.	NPR/Radio	4.6%
12.	Word of mouth	5.2%
13.	Podcasts	0.7%
14.	You Tube	0.7%
15.	Or something else? _____	1.6%
	[Rumble (3), Voting records (1), Mlive (1), Reddit (1), ESPN (1), CSPAN (1), DNC Newsletter (1), Phone App (1)]	
16.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	0.0%

35. Gender: BY OBSERVATION

1.	Male	49.4%
2.	Female	50.6%

36. Telephone

1.	Cell	75.0%
2.	Landline	25.0%

4. In 2018, Michigan voters approved an amendment to the state’s constitution that changed how Michigan conducts redistricting -- the process of drawing new congressional and state legislative districts every ten years. Would you say you have or have not heard anything about this change?

	Have Heard	Have Not Heard
Strong Democratic	52.7%	46.7%
Lean Democratic	53.2%	43.5%
Independent	41.3%	58.0%
Lean Republican	37.9%	62.1%
Strong Republican	27.9%	72.1%
Sanders Dem	53.3%	46.7%
Democratic	50.0%	47.8%
Independent	44.2%	55.8%
Republican	27.9%	72.1%
Trump Rep	31.6%	68.4%
Very Engaged	60.5%	38.7%
Some Eng	44.1%	55.6%
Not Very Eng	17.7%	80.2%
Not Engaged	14.3%	85.7%
High School	20.9%	76.7%
Some Post	42.2%	57.8%
College	49.8%	49.8%
18-29	26.7%	72.1%
30-39	30.6%	69.4%
40-49	37.6%	61.5%
50-64	51.3%	47.5%
65+	53.2%	46.8%

Male	44.4%	54.9%
Female	38.3%	61.1%
1	61.1%	38.9%
2	41.9%	58.1%
3	45.5%	54.5%
4	43.9%	53.7%
5	34.8%	63.0%
6	38.6%	61.4%
7	15.0%	85.0%
8	48.9%	51.1%
9	45.3%	52.8%
10	31.5%	68.5%
11	39.6%	60.4%
12	45.7%	52.2%
13	50.0%	50.0%
14	41.7%	58.3%
Caucasian	41.4%	58.1%
African Amer	34.2%	64.4%

5. The new constitutional amendment approved by voters creates a Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission comprised of citizens who have the authority to draw district boundaries for the Michigan Senate, Michigan House of Representatives and Michigan congressional districts every 10 years. The Commission is made up of thirteen Michigan citizens selected through a random application process. Four members affiliate with the Republican Party, four members affiliate with the Democratic Party, and five members are Independent and do not affiliate with either party. Have you seen or heard anything about this Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission or about redrawing Michigan's district boundaries? IF YES, ASK: AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?

	Yes	No
Strong Democratic	84.1%	14.8%
Lean Democratic	77.1%	22.9%
Independent	75.9%	24.1%
Lean Republican	86.4%	13.6%
Strong Republican	84.8%	15.2%
Sanders Dem	82.5%	17.5%
Democratic	82.9%	17.1%
Independent	76.9%	21.5%
Republican	85.3%	14.7%
Trump Rep	83.3%	16.7%
Very Engaged	84.2%	15.8%
Some Eng	80.3%	19.1%
Not Very Eng	89.5%	10.5%
Not Engaged	60.0%	40.0%
High School	73.3%	26.7%
Some Post	75.3%	24.7%
College	86.8%	12.5%

18-29	62.5%	37.5%
30-39	91.9%	8.1%
40-49	86.7%	13.3%
50-64	83.1%	15.7%
65+	77.3%	22.7%
Male	86.1%	13.1%
Female	76.9%	23.1%
1	77.3%	22.7%
2	94.4%	5.6%
3	75.0%	25.0%
4	78.9%	21.1%
5	58.8%	41.2%
6	64.7%	35.3%
7	50.0%	50.0%
8	65.2%	34.8%
9	96.0%	4.0%
10	94.1%	5.9%
11	85.7%	14.3%
12	90.9%	9.1%
13	100.0%	0.0%
14	93.3%	0.0%
Caucasian	78.5%	20.9%
African Amer	88.5%	11.5%

6. How important would you say the work of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission is to you. Would you say it is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not important at all?

	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Very Important	Not Important At All
Strong Democratic	60.2%	31.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Lean Democratic	48.6%	37.1%	11.4%	0.0%
Independent	39.7%	36.2%	10.3%	8.6%
Lean Republican	36.4%	13.6%	13.6%	31.8%
Strong Republican	32.6%	37.0%	19.6%	4.3%
Sanders Dem	70.0%	25.0%	2.5%	2.5%
Democratic	60.0%	30.0%	5.7%	1.4%
Independent	33.8%	41.5%	9.2%	7.7%
Republican	32.4%	26.5%	26.5%	11.8%
Trump Rep	30.0%	36.7%	16.7%	10.0%
Very Engaged	64.5%	19.7%	10.5%	3.9%
Some Eng	41.4%	37.6%	9.6%	7.6%
Not Very Eng	15.8%	47.4%	0.0%	26.3%
Not Engaged	20.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%
High School	30.0%	43.3%	13.3%	10.0%
Some Post	54.3%	25.9%	7.4%	6.2%
College	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	8.3%
18-29	37.5%	50.0%	4.2%	8.3%
30-39	51.4%	35.1%	8.1%	2.7%
40-49	46.7%	33.3%	4.4%	11.1%
50-64	41.0%	28.9%	15.7%	10.8%
65+	50.0%	27.3%	10.6%	6.1%

Male	42.3%	31.4%	10.9%	11.7%
Female	49.6%	33.1%	9.1%	4.1%
1	31.8%	36.4%	18.2%	0.0%
2	38.9%	33.3%	0.0%	22.2%
3	45.0%	35.0%	15.0%	5.0%
4	42.1%	21.1%	5.3%	31.6%
5	47.1%	29.4%	11.8%	5.9%
6	29.4%	52.9%	11.8%	0.0%
7	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%
8	52.2%	26.1%	13.0%	0.0%
9	32.0%	40.0%	16.0%	12.0%
10	64.7%	23.5%	5.9%	5.9%
11	38.1%	42.9%	0.0%	19.0%
12	54.5%	22.7%	9.1%	4.55
13	68.8%	25.0%	6.3%	0.0%
14	53.3%	33.3%	13.3%	0.0%
Caucasian	46.1%	29.8%	11.0%	8.9%
African Amer	61.5%	30.8%	3.8%	3.8%

7. And would you say your views of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission is positive, negative, or would you say you have no opinion of it?

	Positive	Negative	No Opinion
Strong Democratic	51.1%	9.1%	38.6%
Lean Democratic	51.4%	2.9%	42.9%
Independent	29.3%	13.8%	48.3%
Lean Republican	13.6%	18.2%	63.6%
Strong Republican	6.5%	17.4%	76.1%
Sanders Dem	50.0%	5.0%	42.5%
Democratic	47.1%	10.0%	41.4%
Independent	36.9%	10.8%	46.2%
Republican	11.8%	20.6%	64.7%
Trump Rep	10.0%	16.7%	73.3%
Very Engaged	36.8%	14.5%	47.4%
Some Eng	33.1%	10.8%	52.9%
Not Very Eng	36.8%	10.5%	42.1%
Not Engaged	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%
High School	16.7%	3.3%	80.0%
Some Post	37.0%	12.3%	48.1%
College	36.1%	13.2%	46.5%
18-29	45.8%	8.3%	41.7%
30-39	59.5%	2.7%	37.8%
40-49	22.2%	15.6%	57.8%
50-64	27.7%	13.3%	55.4%
65+	30.3%	15.2%	51.5%

Male	30.7%	8.8%	56.2%
Female	38.0%	15.7%	44.6%
1	31.8%	13.6%	54.5%
2	38.9%	16.7%	44.4%
3	25.0%	15.0%	55.0%
4	31.6%	5.3%	52.6%
5	29.4%	5.9%	52.9%
6	29.4%	11.8%	52.9%
7	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%
8	65.2%	8.7%	26.1%
9	20.0%	12.0%	64.0%
10	29.4%	17.6%	52.9%
11	28.6%	9.5%	61.9%
12	36.4%	13.6%	50.0%
13	31.3%	12.5%	56.3%
14	53.3%	6.7%	33.3%
Caucasian	33.0%	11.0%	52.4%
African Amer	38.5%	23.1%	34.6%

10. Based on what you know so far, would you say that you approve or disapprove of the job that the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission has done. ASK; WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OR JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Don't Know
Strong Democratic	23.9%	21.6%	11.4%	10.2%	33.0%
Lean Democratic	20.0%	25.7%	2.9%	2.9%	48.6%
Independent	6.9%	25.9%	6.9%	12.1%	48.3%
Lean Republican	0.0%	27.3%	4.5%	13.6%	54.5%
Strong Republican	0.0%	10.9%	10.9%	10.9%	67.4%
Sanders Dem	17.5%	17.5%	12.5%	5.0%	47.5%
Democratic	24.3%	28.6%	7.1%	12.9%	27.1%
Independent	10.8%	18.5%	6.2%	9.2%	55.4%
Republican	0.0%	17.6%	11.8%	8.8%	61.8%
Trump Rep	3.3%	20.0%	6.7%	10.0%	60.0%
Very Engaged	13.2%	25.0%	10.5%	15.8%	35.5%
Some Eng	14.0%	21.0%	7.6%	8.3%	49.0%
Not Very Eng	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	78.9%
Not Engaged	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%
High School	3.3%	33.3%	13.3%	3.3%	46.7%
Some Post	16.0%	17.3%	8.6%	9.9%	48.1%
College	13.2%	20.8%	6.9%	11.1%	47.9%
18-29	20.8%	25.0%	0.0%	4.2%	50.0%
30-39	18.9%	18.9%	13.5%	0.0%	48.6%
40-49	17.8%	13.3%	4.4%	20.0%	44.4%
50-64	8.4%	21.7%	7.2%	10.8%	51.8%
65+	9.1%	25.8%	12.1%	9.1%	43.9%

Male	11.7%	21.9%	7.3%	6.6%	52.6%
Female	14.0%	19.8%	9.1%	14.0%	43.0%
1	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	9.1%	54.5%
2	5.6%	27.8%	5.6%	11.1%	50.0%
3	5.0%	15.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%
4	10.5%	21.1%	5.3%	5.3%	57.9%
5	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	0.0%	64.7%
6	17.6%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%	52.9%
7	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%
8	39.1%	21.7%	8.7%	4.3%	26.1%
9	4.0%	36.0%	8.0%	4.0%	48.0%
10	5.9%	17.6%	0.0%	41.2%	35.3%
11	9.5%	9.5%	0.0%	14.3%	66.7%
12	13.6%	22.7%	4.5%	0.0%	59.1%
13	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%
14	13.3%	26.7%	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%
Caucasian	12.6%	19.9%	7.9%	7.9%	51.8%
African Amer	11.5%	26.9%	15.4%	19.2%	26.9%

13. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that neither party gets an unfair advantage by gerrymandering districts? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Neither	Don't Know
Strong Democratic	43.2%	29.5%	4.5%	13.6%	2.3%	6.8%
Lean Democratic	28.6%	34.3%	5.7%	2.9%	14.3%	14.3%
Independent	25.9%	29.3%	5.2%	15.5%	5.2%	19.0%
Lean Republican	9.1%	36.4%	13.6%	9.1%	13.6%	18.2%
Strong Republican	19.6%	37.0%	15.2%	10.9%	6.5%	10.9%
Sanders Dem	47.5%	20.0%	2.5%	12.5%	10.0%	7.5%
Democratic	32.9%	37.1%	5.7%	14.3%	2.9%	7.1%
Independent	30.8%	27.7%	6.2%	12.3%	3.1%	20.0%
Republican	23.5%	44.1%	14.7%	5.9%	8.8%	2.9%
Trump Rep	13.3%	33.3%	13.3%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%
Very Engaged	31.6%	23.7%	10.5%	19.7%	6.6%	7.9%
Some Eng	29.9%	32.5%	5.7%	8.9%	7.0%	15.9%
Not Very Eng	15.8%	47.4%	10.5%	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%
Not Engaged	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High School	20.0%	53.3%	0.0%	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%
Some Post	30.9%	24.7%	9.9%	9.9%	4.9%	19.8%
College	31.9%	30.6%	7.6%	13.2%	6.9%	9.7%
18-29	29.2%	37.5%	12.5%	4.2%	4.2%	12.5%
30-39	40.5%	37.8%	2.7%	2.7%	8.1%	8.1%
40-49	28.9%	17.8%	4.4%	24.4%	11.1%	13.3%
50-64	34.9%	27.7%	10.8%	7.2%	2.4%	16.9%
65+	19.7%	39.4%	6.1%	16.7%	6.1%	12.1%

Male	28.5%	34.3%	6.6%	8.8%	7.3%	14.6%
Female	31.4%	27.3%	8.3%	15.7%	5.8%	11.6%
1	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%
2	16.7%	38.9%	0.0%	16.7%	11.1%	16.7%
3	15.0%	40.0%	10.0%	15.0%	15.0%	5.0%
4	47.4%	26.3%	15.8%	5.3%	0.0%	5.3%
5	35.3%	23.5%	0.0%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%
6	17.6%	47.1%	0.0%	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%
7	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
8	47.8%	30.4%	4.3%	4.3%	0.0%	13.0%
9	24.0%	44.0%	4.0%	16.0%	4.0%	8.0%
10	23.5%	29.4%	5.9%	35.3%	5.9%	0.0%
11	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	9.5%	38.1%
12	18.2%	36.4%	22.7%	0.0%	4.5%	18.2%
13	56.3%	6.3%	0.0%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%
14	20.0%	66.7%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian	31.9%	31.9%	9.4%	7.9%	5.2%	13.6%
African Amer	26.9%	30.8%	3.8%	30.8%	3.8%	3.8%

14. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that Michigan voters, not elected officials, have input in designing Michigan’s new congressional and legislative districts? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Neither	Don't Know
Strong Democratic	47.7%	23.9%	6.8%	13.6%	2.3%	5.7%
Lean Democratic	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	2.9%	14.3%	22.9%
Independent	37.9%	25.9%	3.4%	15.5%	5.2%	12.1%
Lean Republican	22.7%	18.2%	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%
Strong Republican	13.0%	30.4%	13.0%	15.2%	10.9%	17.4%
Sanders Dem	55.0%	17.5%	5.0%	5.0%	7.5%	10.0%
Democratic	42.9%	24.3%	5.7%	15.7%	2.9%	8.6%
Independent	30.8%	29.2%	3.1%	15.4%	4.6%	16.9%
Republican	26.5%	32.4%	8.8%	5.9%	5.9%	20.6%
Trump Rep	20.0%	16.7%	10.0%	13.3%	23.3%	16.7%
Very Engaged	34.2%	21.1%	10.5%	15.8%	7.9%	10.5%
Some Eng	36.9%	26.1%	3.8%	12.7%	7.0%	13.4%
Not Very Eng	21.1%	42.1%	5.3%	0.0%	10.5%	21.1%
Not Engaged	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%
High School	36.7%	23.3%	3.3%	10.0%	6.7%	20.0%
Some Post	39.5%	22.2%	6.2%	14.8%	8.6%	8.6%
College	32.6%	27.8%	6.3%	11.8%	6.3%	15.3%
18-29	37.5%	29.2%	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	16.7%
30-39	48.6%	29.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	13.5%
40-49	35.6%	17.8%	4.4%	28.9%	4.4%	8.9%
50-64	30.1%	31.3%	6.0%	7.2%	4.8%	20.5%
65+	31.8%	19.7%	9.1%	16.7%	13.6%	9.1%

Male	31.4%	28.5%	2.9%	9.5%	8.0%	19.7%
Female	38.8%	21.5%	9.1%	15.7%	6.6%	8.3%
1	22.7%	22.7%	0.0%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%
2	16.7%	27.8%	0.0%	22.2%	5.6%	27.8%
3	20.0%	30.0%	0.0%	25.0%	10.0%	15.0%
4	47.4%	36.8%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	5.3%
5	47.1%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%
6	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%	17.6%
7	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
8	52.2%	13.0%	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%
9	29.4%	23.5%	5.9%	35.3%	5.9%	0.0%
10	29.4%	23.5%	5.9%	35.3%	5.9%	0.0%
11	42.9%	19.0%	0.0%	9.5%	4.8%	23.8%
12	31.8%	18.2%	18.2%	0.0%	9.1%	22.7%
13	56.3%	12.5%	0.0%	25.05	6.3%	0.0%
14	40.0%	26.7%	0.0%	26.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Caucasian	35.1%	26.7%	6.3%	7.3%	7.3%	17.3%
African Amer	38.5%	11.5%	7.7%	42.3%	0.05	0.0%

15. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that communities with common historical, cultural and economic interests are put in districts together instead of divided to weaken their voice? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Neither	Don't Know
Strong Democratic	38.6%	21.6%	13.6%	8.0%	4.5%	13.6%
Lean Democratic	28.6%	25.7%	8.6%	5.7%	22.9%	8.6%
Independent	27.6%	17.2%	8.6%	12.1%	6.9%	27.6%
Lean Republican	13.6%	27.3%	4.5%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%
Strong Republican	15.2%	13.0%	6.5%	6.5%	19.6%	39.1%
Sanders Dem	30.0%	20.0%	7.5%	12.5%	10.0%	20.0%
Democratic	32.9%	28.6%	15.7%	5.7%	7.1%	10.0%
Independent	38.5%	15.4%	3.1%	9.2%	9.2%	24.6%
Republican	11.8%	20.6%	8.8%	5.9%	14.7%	38.2%
Trump Rep	16.7%	16.7%	6.7%	10.0%	16.7%	33.3%
Very Engaged	25.0%	22.4%	3.9%	17.1%	9.2%	22.4%
Some Eng	31.2%	19.1%	10.8%	7.0%	12.1%	19.7%
Not Very Eng	21.1%	26.3%	21.1%	0.0%	5.3%	26.3%
Not Engaged	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%
High School	36.7%	33.3%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Some Post	30.9%	16.0%	12.3%	7.4%	8.6%	24.7%
College	25.0%	20.8%	7.6%	12.5%	13.2%	20.8%
18-29	37.5%	16.7%	8.3%	4.2%	16.7%	16.7%
30-39	35.1%	29.7%	10.8%	2.7%	8.1%	13.5%
40-49	33.3%	13.3%	4.4%	15.6%	13.3%	20.0%
50-64	26.5%	24.1%	6.0%	8.4%	9.6%	25.3%
65+	18.2%	18.2%	16.7%	13.6%	7.6%	25.8%

Male	25.5%	24.8%	8.8%	5.1%	13.9%	21.9%
Female	30.6%	15.7%	9.9%	14.9%	6.6%	22.3%
1	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	4.5%	13.6%	45.5%
2	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	33.3%
3	10.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%
4	31.6%	36.8%	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	10.5%
5	41.2%	5.9%	5.9%	0.0%	11.8%	35.3%
6	41.2%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%
7	16.7%	33.3%	0.05	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%
8	56.5%	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	13.0%
9	12.0%	44.0%	16.0%	16.0%	4.0%	8.0%
10	35.3%	29.4%	0.0%	23.5%	11.8%	0.0%
11	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	9.5%	14.3%	33.3%
12	18.2%	13.6%	13.6%	0.0%	9.1%	45.5%
13	62.5%	0.0%	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	0.0%
14	6.7%	26.7%	40.0%	6.7%	20.0%	0.0%
Caucasian	27.7%	25.1%	7.3%	6.8%	8.4%	24.6%
African Amer	26.9%	3.8%	30.8%	23.1%	11.5%	3.8%

16. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that they designed the districts, rather than politicians who would design districts to get themselves re-elected? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Neither	Don't Know
Strong Democratic	48.9%	21.6%	10.2%	9.1%	1.1%	9.1%
Lean Democratic	54.3%	14.3%	0.0%	5.7%	11.4%	14.3%
Independent	50.0%	10.3%	0.0%	13.8%	8.6%	17.2%
Lean Republican	27.3%	22.7%	9.1%	9.1%	13.6%	18.2%
Strong Republican	21.7%	21.7%	19.6%	6.5%	0.0%	30.4%
Sanders Dem	50.0%	17.5%	12.5%	2.5%	5.0%	12.5%
Democratic	52.9%	20.0%	4.3%	15.7%	2.9%	4.3%
Independent	44.6%	16.9%	3.1%	7.7%	6.2%	21.5%
Republican	29.4%	17.6%	14.7%	5.9%	2.9%	29.4%
Trump Rep	33.3%	20.0%	13.3%	3.3%	3.3%	26.7%
Very Engaged	40.8%	22.4%	11.8%	10.5%	3.9%	10.5%
Some Eng	47.8%	13.4%	5.7%	9.6%	6.4%	17.2%
Not Very Eng	21.1%	36.8%	10.5%	5.3%	0.0%	26.3%
Not Engaged	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%
High School	46.7%	30.0%	0.0%	6.7%	3.3%	13.3%
Some Post	46.9%	14.8%	6.2%	9.9%	4.9%	17.3%
College	41.0%	16.7%	10.4%	9.7%	4.9%	17.4%
18-29	29.2%	33.3%	4.2%	0.0%	12.5%	20.8%
30-39	51.4%	18.9%	2.7%	2.7%	5.4%	18.9%
40-49	44.4%	15.6%	6.7%	13.3%	4.4%	15.6%
50-64	47.0%	13.3%	6.0%	7.2%	3.6%	22.9%
65+	37.9%	18.2%	15.2%	16.7%	4.5%	7.6%

Male	39.4%	22.6%	5.1%	8.0%	5.8%	19.0%
Female	47.9%	11.6%	10.7%	10.7%	5.0%	14.0%
1	22.7%	36.4%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%
2	22.2%	22.2%	16.7%	11.1%	5.6%	22.2%
3	25.0%	30.0%	15.0%	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%
4	78.9%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	5.3%	10.5%
5	41.2%	11.8%	0.0%	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%
6	41.2%	23.5%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%
7	16.7%	33.35	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%
8	60.9%	13.0%	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%
9	44.0%	28.0%	12.0%	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%
10	41.2%	11.8%	11.8%	35.3%	0.0%	0.0%
11	52.4%	9.5%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
12	40.9%	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	31.8%
13	75.0%	0.0%	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	0.0%
14	26.7%	20.05	6.7%	40.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Caucasian	47.6%	19.9%	5.8%	6.3%	4.7%	15.7%
African Amer	30.8%	15.4%	15.4%	26.9%	3.8%	7.7%

17. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure that citizens had input into the design of the new districts through public meetings, the public comment portal, and mapping portal that allowed everyone to make comments about the proposed maps? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Neither	Don't Know
Strong Democratic	45.5%	25.0%	4.5%	8.0%	10.2%	6.8%
Lean Democratic	34.3%	28.6%	5.7%	5.7%	14.3%	11.4%
Independent	29.3%	24.1%	8.6%	12.1%	10.3%	15.5%
Lean Republican	9.1%	22.7%	9.1%	22.7%	9.1%	27.3%
Strong Republican	15.2%	23.9%	10.9%	17.4%	4.3%	28.3%
Sanders Dem	42.5%	27.5%	2.5%	5.0%	15.0%	7.5%
Democratic	45.7%	22.9%	7.1%	8.6%	10.0%	5.7%
Independent	27.7%	23.1%	9.2%	15.4%	7.7%	16.9%
Republican	23.5%	23.5%	5.9%	17.6%	5.9%	23.5%
Trump Rep	6.7%	30.0%	13.3%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%
Very Engaged	36.8%	18.4%	3.9%	18.4%	5.3%	17.1%
Some Eng	28.0%	25.5%	10.2%	10.2%	12.1%	14.0%
Not Very Eng	31.6%	47.4%	5.3%	0.0%	5.3%	10.5%
Not Engaged	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%
High School	36.7%	30.0%	3.3%	3.3%	13.3%	13.3%
Some Post	29.6%	22.2%	14.8%	7.4%	9.9%	16.0%
College	30.6%	25.7%	4.9%	15.3%	7.6%	16.0%
18-29	29.2%	41.7%	0.0%	12.5%	4.2%	12.5%
30-39	40.5%	27.0%	10.8%	2.7%	8.1%	10.8%
40-49	28.9%	17.8%	4.4%	17.8%	15.6%	15.6%
50-64	31.3%	22.9%	8.4%	10.8%	3.6%	22.9%
65+	25.8%	25.8%	10.6%	13.6%	13.6%	10.6%

Male	26.3%	32.1%	8.0%	10.9%	5.8%	16.8%
Female	35.5%	16.5%	7.4%	13.2%	13.2%	14.0%
1	22.7%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	13.6%	18.2%
2	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	27.8%	0.0%	22.2%
3	30.0%	20.0%	5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	10.0%
4	36.8%	31.6%	10.5%	0.0%	10.5%	10.5%
5	29.4%	23.5%	0.0%	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%
6	23.5%	23.5%	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%
7	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%
8	43.5%	30.4%	4.3%	4.3%	0.0%	17.4%
9	24.0%	36.0%	8.0%	16.0%	4.0%	12.0%
10	35.3%	11.8%	0.0%	47.1%	5.9%	0.0%
11	38.1%	23.8%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	23.8%
12	27.3%	22.7%	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	13.6%
13	68.8%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	6.3%	0.0%
14	6.7%	60.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian	29.8%	25.7%	6.3%	7.9%	11.5%	18.8%
African Amer	30.85	26.9%	19.2%	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%

18. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in redesigning Michigan’s maps in public view so that all sides could watch the deliberations? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Neither	Don't Know
Strong Democratic	50.0%	22.7%	9.1%	8.0%	6.8%	3.4%
Lean Democratic	31.4%	25.7%	5.7%	8.6%	14.3%	14.3%
Independent	34.5%	25.9%	8.6%	6.9%	5.2%	19.0%
Lean Republican	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	27.3%	13.6%	27.3%
Strong Republican	23.9%	17.4%	21.7%	6.5%	2.2%	28.3%
Sanders Dem	50.0%	30.0%	5.0%	5.0%	7.5%	2.5%
Democratic	44.3%	21.4%	11.4%	5.7%	8.6%	8.6%
Independent	33.8%	21.5%	10.8%	15.4%	4.6%	13.8%
Republican	23.5%	11.8%	17.6%	11.8%	8.8%	26.5%
Trump Rep	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%	3.3%	3.3%	33.3%
Very Engaged	36.8%	19.7%	11.8%	11.8%	3.9%	15.8%
Some Eng	33.8%	24.2%	9.6%	9.6%	7.6%	15.3%
Not Very Eng	31.6%	26.3%	15.8%	0.0%	15.8%	10.5%
Not Engaged	20.0%	20.0%	0.05	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%
High School	46.7%	23.3%	13.3%	6.7%	0.0%	10.0%
Some Post	29.6%	32.1%	11.1%	8.6%	4.9%	13.6%
College	34.7%	18.1%	9.7%	9.7%	9.0%	18.8%
18-29	37.5%	29.2%	8.3%	12.5%	0.0%	12.5%
30-39	48.6%	27.0%	0.0%	5.4%	8.1%	10.8%
40-49	26.7%	22.2%	15.6%	6.7%	6.7%	22.2%
50-64	41.0%	18.1%	10.8%	3.6%	4.8%	21.7%
65+						

Male	32.8%	25.5%	7.3%	9.5%	6.6%	18.2%
Female	35.5%	19.8%	14.0%	9.1%	7.4%	14.0%
1	18.2%	18.2%	13.6%	9.1%	13.6%	27.3%
2	5.6%	38.9%	11.1%	16.7%	0.0%	27.8%
3	20.0%	25.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	5.0%
4	68.4%	10.5%	5.3%	0.0%	5.3%	10.5%
5	47.1%	0.0%	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%
6	29.4%	23.5%	0.0%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%
7	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%
8	43.5%	30.4%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%
9	20.0%	32.0%	16.0%	12.0%	0.0%	20.0%
10	35.3%	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	17.6%	11.8%
11	38.1%	38.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	14.3%
12	31.8%	31.8%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%
13	56.3%	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%
14	46.7%	20.0%	26.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian	35.6%	20.9%	7.9%	7.9%	8.4%	19.4%
African Amer	30.8%	19.2%	30.8%	11.5%	0.0%	7.7%

19. Do you approve or disapprove of the job the commission has done in making sure they were transparent in how they made their decisions? ASK: WOULD THAT BE STRONGLY APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF JUST SOMEWHAT APPROVE/DISAPPROVE?

	Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	Neither	Don't Know
Strong Democratic	38.6%	19.3%	17.0%	10.2%	3.4%	11.4%
Lean Democratic	28.6%	20.0%	5.7%	8.6%	22.9%	14.3%
Independent	34.5%	13.8%	12.1%	19.0%	3.4%	17.2%
Lean Republican	0.0%	13.6%	18.2%	22.7%	27.3%	18.2%
Strong Republican	15.2%	19.6%	21.7%	17.4%	4.3%	21.7%
Sanders Dem	42.5%	20.0%	17.5%	2.5%	7.5%	10.0%
Democratic	35.7%	21.4%	10.0%	15.7%	7.1%	10.0%
Independent	30.8%	10.8%	13.8%	18.5%	6.2%	20.0%
Republican	14.7%	29.4%	17.6%	14.7%	8.8%	14.7%
Trump Rep	10.0%	10.0%	26.7%	13.3%	13.3%	26.7%
Very Engaged	28.9%	15.8%	13.2%	21.1%	9.2%	11.8%
Some Eng	29.9%	18.5%	14.0%	12.1%	8.3%	17.2%
Not Very Eng	5.3%	26.3%	31.6%	10.5%	5.3%	21.1%
Not Engaged	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%
High School	30.0%	20.0%	26.7%	10.0%	3.3%	10.0%
Some Post	29.6%	13.6%	17.3%	17.3%	7.4%	14.8%
College	26.4%	20.8%	11.1%	13.2%	9.0%	19.4%
18-29	20.8%	25.0%	4.2%	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%
30-39	35.2%	21.6%	10.8%	2.7%	8.1%	21.6%
40-49	37.8%	8.9%	4.4%	28.9%	8.9%	11.1%
50-64	28.9%	20.5%	16.9%	6.0%	4.8%	22.9%
65+	18.2%	16.7%	25.8%	19.7%	10.6%	9.1%

Male	26.3%	22.6%	15.3%	12.4%	7.3%	16.1%
Female	28.9%	13.25	14.0%	16.5%	9.1%	18.2%
1	13.6%	22.7%	18.2%	13.6%	9.1%	22.7%
2	5.6%	16.7%	33.3%	11.1%	5.6%	27.8%
3	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	20.0%	30.0%	5.0%
4	21.1%	15.8%	21.1%	5.3%	10.5%	26.3%
5	35.3%	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	29.4%
6	35.3%	23.5%	0.0%	11.8%	11.8%	17.6%
7	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%
8	39.1%	13.0%	17.4%	13.0%	0.0%	17.4%
9	20.0%	32.0%	20.0%	4.0%	8.0%	16.0%
10	17.6%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%	5.9%	0.0%
11	52.4%	4.8%	0.0%	9.5%	4.8%	28.6%
12	27.3%	22.7%	13.6%	9.1%	4.5%	22.7%
13	62.5%	6.3%	0.0%	25.0%	6.3%	0.0%
14	20.0%	46.7%	20.0%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian	27.7%	20.4%	12.6%	11.5%	9.9%	17.8%
African Amer	34.6%	11.5%	19.2%	30.8%	0.0%	3.8%

20. Would you say you paid very close attention, some attention, not too much attention or no attention to the work being done by the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission?

	Very Close	Some Attention	Not Too Much	No Attention
Strong Democratic	12.5%	55.7%	21.6%	10.2%
Lean Democratic	8.6%	45.7%	17.1%	28.6%
Independent	5.2%	50.0%	24.1%	20.7%
Lean Republican	4.5%	59.1%	9.1%	27.3%
Strong Republican	4.3%	50.0%	19.6%	26.1%
Sanders Dem	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%
Democratic	10.0%	60.0%	15.7%	14.3%
Independent	6.2%	49.2%	24.6%	20.0%
Republican	8.8%	44.1%	23.5%	23.5%
Trump Rep	3.3%	46.7%	10.0%	40.0%
Very Engaged	19.7%	51.3%	11.8%	17.1%
Some Eng	3.8%	54.8%	21.7%	19.7%
Not Very Eng	0.0%	26.3%	47.4%	26.3%
Not Engaged	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%
High School	6.7%	46.7%	26.7%	20.0%
Some Post	6.2%	51.9%	22.2%	19.8%
College	9.0%	52.1%	18.8%	20.1%
18-29	8.3%	54.2%	29.2%	8.3%
30-39	5.4%	62.2%	16.2%	16.2%
40-49	13.3%	48.9%	15.6%	22.2%
50-64	4.8%	48.2%	21.7%	25.3%
65+	9.1%	50.0%	22.7%	18.2%

Male	9.5%	43.8%	21.2%	25.5%
Female	6.6%	59.5%	19.8%	14.0%
1	0.0%	45.5%	18.2%	36.4%
2	16.7%	38.9%	22.2%	22.2%
3	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%
4	15.8%	36.8%	36.8%	10.5%
5	5.9%	52.9%	17.6%	23.5%
6	0.0%	76.5%	23.5%	0.0%
7	0.0%	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%
8	4.3%	47.8%	13.0%	34.8%
9	0.0%	48.0%	36.0%	16.0%
10	11.8%	76.5%	11.8%	0.0%
11	0.0%	38.1%	19.0%	42.9%
12	13.6%	45.5%	27.3%	13.6%
13	31.3%	50.0%	6.3%	12.5%
14	6.7%	73.3%	13.3%	6.7%
Caucasian	6.8%	49.2%	22.0%	22.0%
African Amer	19.2%	61.5%	11.5%	7.7%

21. I am going to read you several ways that Michigan citizens could have become engaged in the redistricting process. For each, please tell me if you did or did not engage in the redistricting process that way. [CIRCLE ALL WAYS RESPONDENT WAS ENGAGED]

	News	Online	Attending	Website	Comment	Virtual	Listening	None
Strong Democratic	86.1%	17.7%	6.3%	26.6%	13.9%	21.5%	6.3	1.3%
Lean Democratic	80.0%	4.0%	0.0%	32.0%	8.0%	28.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Independent	78.3%	6.5%	0.0%	34.8%	2.2%	19.6%	2.2%	2.2%
Lean Republican	62.5%	12.5%	0.0%	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Strong Republican	73.5%	14.7%	0.0%	26.5%	0.0%	14.7%	8.8%	0.0%
Sanders Dem	85.7%	20.0%	5.7%	34.3%	14.3%	25.7%	8.6%	0.0%
Democratic	86.7%	13.3%	5.0%	28.3%	11.7%	26.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Independent	73.1%	5.8%	0.0%	30.8%	3.8%	13.5%	0.0%	1.9%
Republican	69.2%	11.5%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	3.8%	7.7%	0.0%
Trump Rep	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Very Engaged	84.1%	28.6%	7.9%	44.4%	17.5%	34.9%	3.2%	1.6%
Some Eng	81.0%	7.1%	0.8%	22.2%	4.0%	14.3%	6.3%	0.8%
Not Very Eng	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Not Engaged	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High School	83.3%	12.5%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Some Post	80.0%	9.2%	0.0%	18.5%	9.2%	18.5%	1.5%	1.5%
College	80.0%	15.7%	5.2%	36.5%	9.6%	20.9%	7.8%	0.0%
18-29	72.7%	13.6%	4.5%	31.8%	0.0%	36.4%	9.1%	0.0%
30-39	96.8%	16.1%	0.0%	48.4%	16.1%	19.4%	3.2%	0.0%
40-49	80.0%	20.0%	5.7%	22.9%	5.7%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
50-64	79.0%	8.1%	3.2%	25.8%	11.3%	21.0%	4.8%	0.0%
65+	75.9%	11.1%	1.9%	20.4%	3.7%	11.1%	7.4%	0.0%

Male	77.5%	12.7%	2.0%	29.4%	7.8%	17.6%	1.0%	2.0%
Female	82.7%	13.5%	3.8%	26.9%	8.7%	22.1%	8.7%	0.0%
1	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	35.7%	0.0%	14.3%	7.1%	0.0%
2	64.3%	21.4%	0.0%	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%
3	78.6%	21.4%	0.0%	42.9%	7.1%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%
4	76.5%	11.8%	0.0%	29.4%	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	0.0%
5	92.3%	7.7%	0.0%	15.4%	0.05	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%
6	88.2%	5.9%	0.0%	41.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	100.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
8	73.3%	6.7%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
9	81.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	4.8%	19.0%	4.8%	0.0%
10	82.4%	23.5%	17.6%	23.5%	23.5%	29.4%	0.0%	0.0%
11	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
12	73.7%	10.5%	5.3%	31.6%	10.5%	21.1%	26.3%	0.0%
13	78.6%	42.9%	14.3%	42.95	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%	7.1%
14	78.6%	14.3%	0.05	35.7%	21.4%	35.7%	0.05	0.0%
Caucasian	77.9%	12.8%	2.0%	28.9%	7.4%	16.8%	4.7%	0.7%
African Amer	79.2%	16.7%	0.0%	33.3%	16.7%	37.5%	4.2%	0.0%

22. I am going to read you several places that Michigan citizens could become engaged on social media in the redistricting process whether by getting information or following along with the process. For each, please tell me you did or did not following the redistricting process on that platform.

	Facebook	Twitter	Instagram	YouTube	Mapping	Public Comment	None
Strong Democratic	24.1%	11.4%	10.1%	7.6%	19.0%	12.7%	54.4%
Lean Democratic	28.0%	24.0%	12.0%	20.0%	20.0%	16.0%	44.4%
Independent	19.6%	6.5%	2.2%	6.5%	28.3%	17.4%	43.5%
Lean Republican	37.5%	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	62.5%
Strong Republican	23.5%	8.8%	5.9%	14.7%	5.9%	2.9%	58.8%
Sanders Dem	28.6%	14.3%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	25.7%	45.7%
Democratic	25.0%	16.7%	15.0%	11.7%	20.0%	10.0%	46.7%
Independent	17.3%	5.8%	1.9%	5.8%	15.4%	13.5%	61.5%
Republican	19.2%	11.5%	0.0%	7.7%	3.8%	0.0%	65.4%
Trump Rep	44.4%	0.0%	16.7%	22.2%	6.7%	11.1%	38.9%
Very Engaged	36.5%	17.5%	20.6%	19.0%	22.2%	20.6%	31.7%
Some Eng	23.0%	9.5%	3.2%	5.6%	17.5%	9.5%	57.1%
Not Very Eng	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%
Not Engaged	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
High School	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	4.2%	4.2%	66.7%
Some Post	29.2%	9.2%	9.2%	15.4%	16.9%	12.3%	49.2%
College	26.1%	14.8%	9.6%	7.0%	20.9%	13.9%	49.6%
18-29	45.5%	27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	13.6%	36.4%
30-39	29.0%	16.1%	3.2%	9.7%	35.5%	22.6%	45.2%
40-49	20.0%	14.3%	17.1%	22.9%	17.1%	8.6%	40.0%
50-64	25.8%	6.5%	8.1%	4.8%	8.1%	12.9%	61.3%
65+	18.5%	3.7%	0.0%	5.6%	18.5%	7.4%	59.3%

Male	22.5%	10.8%	6.9%	9.8%	16.7%	10.8%	53.9%
Female	28.8%	11.5%	9.6%	10.6%	18.3%	13.5%	49.0%
1	14.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	21.4%	0.0%	64.3%
2	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	71.4%
3	21.4%	14.3%	7.1%	0.0%	28.6%	14.3%	50.0%
4	29.4%	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%	5.9%	41.2%
5	23.1%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	69.2%
6	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	0.0%	70.6%
7	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%
8	20.0%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	20.0%	13.3%	66.7%
9	42.9%	0.0%	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	0.0%	47.6%
10	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	52.9%
11	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	33.3%	58.3%
12	42.1%	21.1%	0.0%	10.5%	47.4%	21.1%	21.1%
13	28.6%	50.0%	35.7%	35.7%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%
14	28.6%	7.1%	0.0%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%
Caucasian	26.2%	6.7%	6.0%	7.4%	17.4%	8.7%	53.0%
African Amer	20.8%	29.2%	12.5%	16.7%	20.8%	29.2%	41.7%

23. And would you say you did or did not see or hear any advertisements by the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission? IF YES, ASK: AND WHERE DID YOU SEE OR HEAR THIS ADVERTISEMENT [ACCEPT MULTIPLE ANSWERS]

	No	Radio	TV	Internet	Billboard	Newspaper	Not Sure
Strong Democratic	68.2%	5.7%	13.6%	15.9%	2.3%	3.4%	3.4%
Lean Democratic	60.0%	17.1%	11.4%	22.9%	0.0%	5.7%	2.9%
Independent	67.2%	8.6%	19.0%	5.2%	1.7%	3.4%	3.4%
Lean Republican	36.4%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%	4.5%	13.6%	0.0%
Strong Republican	58.7%	13.0%	17.4%	6.5%	0.0%	2.2%	2.2%
Sanders Dem	57.5%	12.5%	7.5%	25.0%	5.0%	7.5%	0.0%
Democratic	64.3%	8.6%	20.0%	15.7%	0.0%	5.7%	4.3%
Independent	70.8%	12.3%	7.7%	7.7%	1.5%	0.0%	3.1%
Republican	41.2%	11.8%	29.4%	17.6%	2.9%	11.8%	2.9%
Trump Rep	73.3%	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
Very Engaged	65.8%	11.8%	9.2%	17.1%	1.3%	3.9%	2.6%
Some Eng	60.5%	9.6%	19.1%	13.4%	0.6%	5.7%	3.2%
Not Very Eng	63.2%	21.1%	21.1%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Not Engaged	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High School	53.3%	13.3%	23.3%	10.0%	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%
Some Post	71.6%	6.2%	18.5%	7.4%	2.5%	4.9%	0.0%
College	59.0%	13.2%	13.9%	17.4%	0.7%	4.9%	3.5%
18-29	41.7%	20.8%	20.8%	29.2%	4.2%	8.3%	0.0%
30-39	62.2%	16.2%	2.7%	21.6%	2.7%	0.0%	2.7%
40-49	62.2%	17.8%	11.1%	8.9%	2.2%	2.2%	6.7%
50-64	63.9%	7.2%	16.9%	15.7%	0.0%	3.6%	1.2%
65+	69.7%	4.5%	24.2%	3.0%	1.5%	9.1%	3.0%

Male	61.3%	16.8%	16.8%	13.1%	2.9%	3.6%	1.5%
Female	64.5%	4.1%	15.7%	13.2%	0.05	5.8%	4.1%
1	63.6%	18.2%	13.6%	13.6%	0.0%	9.1%	4.5%
2	61.1%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
3	75.0%	15.0%	15.0%	20.0%	5.0%	10.0%	0.0%
4	78.9%	0.0%	10.5%	5.3%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%
5	52.9%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%
6	47.1%	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%	11.8%
7	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
8	87.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	4.3%	4.3%
9	56.0%	16.0%	20.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
10	64.7%	0.0%	11.8%	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%
11	81.0%	4.8%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	40.9%	22.7%	31.8%	31.8%	4.5%	9.1%	0.0%
13	56.3%	25.0%	18.8%	18.8%	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%
14	26.7%	0.0%	46.7%	26.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Caucasian	62.8%	11.0%	17.8%	13.1%	1.6%	6.3%	3.1%
African Amer	65.4%	15.4%	11.5%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

24. And would you say that Michigan citizens did or did not have a greater role this time around in deciding how Michigan's new districts would be drawn?

	Did	Did Not	No Different
Strong Democratic	55.7%	21.6%	6.8%
Lean Democratic	48.6%	17.1%	11.4%
Independent	39.7%	29.3%	1.7%
Lean Republican	22.7%	22.7%	22.7%
Strong Republican	43.5%	19.6%	2.2%
Sanders Dem	67.5%	15.0%	2.5%
Democratic	47.1%	27.1%	11.4%
Independent	40.0%	26.2%	3.1%
Republican	32.4%	20.6%	11.8%
Trump Rep	50.0%	10.0%	6.7%
Very Engaged	50.0%	25.0%	9.2%
Some Eng	44.6%	21.0%	7.0%
Not Very Eng	36.8%	21.1%	0.0%
Not Engaged	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%
High School	53.3%	20.0%	3.3%
Some Post	43.2%	22.2%	8.6%
College	45.1%	22.2%	6.9%
18-29	54.2%	12.5%	8.3%
30-39	67.6%	8.1%	5.4%
40-49	62.2%	24.4%	0.0%
50-64	27.7%	22.9%	7.2%
65+	40.9%	30.3%	10.6%

Male	49.6%	18.2%	8.0%
Female	39.7%	26.4%	5.8%
1	31.8%	27.3%	4.5%
2	50.0%	27.8%	0.0%
3	25.0%	25.0%	15.0%
4	42.1%	21.1%	0.0%
5	64.7%	11.8%	0.0%
6	52.9%	11.8%	5.9%
7	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%
8	60.9%	21.7%	4.3%
9	32.0%	28.0%	20.0%
10	35.3%	41.2%	5.9%
11	57.1%	4.8%	0.0%
12	50.0%	13.6%	0.0%
13	50.0%	18.8%	12.5%
14	40.0%	33.3%	26.7%
Caucasian	48.2%	18.3%	6.3%
African Amer	26.9%	38.5%	19.2%

25. Generally speaking, would you say Michigan’s redistricting commission has succeeded or failed in giving Michigan citizens a greater role than politicians in designing new districts? **WOULD YOU SAY THEY HAVE STRONGLY SUCCEEDED/FAILED OR JUST SOMEWHAT SUCCEEDED/FAILED?**

	Strongly Succeeded	Somewhat Succeeded	Somewhat Failed	Strongly Failed	Don’t Know
Strong Democratic	35.2%	27.3%	8.0%	9.1%	20.5%
Lean Democratic	22.9%	28.6%	8.6%	2.9%	37.1%
Independent	20.7%	24.1%	6.9%	24.1%	24.1%
Lean Republican	9.1%	22.7%	18.2%	9.1%	40.9%
Strong Republican	6.5%	37.0%	13.0%	13.0%	30.4%
Sanders Dem	50.0%	20.0%	7.5%	5.0%	17.5%
Democratic	30.0%	28.6%	10.0%	12.9%	18.6%
Independent	15.4%	30.8%	6.2%	13.8%	33.8%
Republican	14.7%	29.4%	17.6%	2.9%	35.3%
Trump Rep	0.0%	33.3%	13.3%	20.0%	33.3%
Very Engaged	26.3%	35.5%	9.2%	10.5%	18.4%
Some Eng	22.9%	24.2%	8.3%	13.4%	31.2%
Not Very Eng	0.0%	31.6%	26.3%	5.3%	36.8%
Not Engaged	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%
High School	23.3%	30.0%	16.7%	6.7%	23.3%
Some Post	21.0%	33.3%	8.6%	16.0%	21.0%
College	22.2%	25.0%	9.0%	10.4%	33.3%
18-29	25.0%	29.2%	4.2%	4.2%	37.5%
30-39	32.4%	40.5%	5.4%	2.7%	18.9%
40-49	26.7%	24.4%	8.9%	17.8%	22.2%
50-64	19.3%	26.5%	6.0%	7.2%	41.0%
65+	15.2%	25.8%	19.7%	22.7%	16.7%

Male	21.2%	26.3%	11.75	8.0%	32.8%
Female	22.3%	29.8%	7.4%	17.4%	23.1%
1	18.2%	31.8%	4.5%	13.6%	31.8%
2	11.1%	38.9%	11.1%	11.1%	27.8%
3	15.0%	15.0%	20.0%	15.0%	35.0%
4	15.8%	31.6%	15.8%	10.5%	26.3%
5	23.5%	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	52.9%
6	17.6%	29.4%	0.0%	11.8%	41.2%
7	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	16.7%
8	30.4%	34.8%	13.0%	13.0%	8.7%
9	16.0%	28.0%	8.0%	16.0%	32.0%
10	23.5%	29.4%	5.9%	29.4%	11.8%
11	38.1%	28.6%	4.8%	0.0%	28.6%
12	22.7%	18.2%	13.6%	4.5%	40.9%
13	37.5%	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	31.3%
14	20.0%	53.3%	6.7%	20.0%	0.0%
Caucasian	21.5%	31.4%	7.3%	7.3%	32.5%
African Amer	23.1%	19.2%	19.2%	30.8%	7.7%

27. Now that Michigan has redrawn legislative maps for the first time with the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission, would you say Michigan should continue to allow an Independent Citizens Commission to redraw political maps every ten years or do you think Michigan should go back to allowing elected representatives that have control in the State Legislature to redraw the state's political maps?

	MICRC	Legislature	Both/ Don't Know
Strong Democratic	75.2%	5.5%	19.4%
Lean Democratic	74.2%	1.6%	24.2%
Independent	61.6%	11.6%	26.8%
Lean Republican	67.2%	6.9%	25.9%
Strong Republican	59.4%	18.2%	22.4%
Sanders Dem	70.7%	4.0%	25.3%
Democratic	76.1%	6.0%	17.9%
Independent	65.3%	8.8%	25.9%
Republican	63.1%	14.8%	22.1%
Trump Rep	58.9%	15.8%	25.3%
Very Engaged	65.3%	11.3%	23.4%
Some Eng	69.5%	9.0%	21.5%
Not Very Eng	64.6%	12.5%	22.9%
Not Engaged	40.0%	11.4%	48.6%
High School	61.2%	14.7%	24.0%
Some Post	67.7%	10.4%	21.9%
College	67.2%	7.7%	25.1%
18-29	73.3%	5.8%	20.9%
30-39	76.0%	5.0%	19.0%
40-49	55.6%	17.1%	27.4%
50-64	67.7%	9.5%	22.8%
65+	58.9%	12.9%	28.2%

Male	70.4%	10.5%	19.1%
Female	60.8%	9.6%	29.6%
1	77.8%	8.3%	13.9%
2	65.1%	7.0%	27.9%
3	65.9%	9.1%	25.0%
4	70.7%	9.8%	19.5%
5	58.7%	6.5%	34.8%
6	72.7%	11.4%	15.9%
7	67.5%	15.0%	17.5%
8	74.5%	0.0%	25.5%
9	50.9%	18.9%	30.2%
10	66.7%	11.1%	22.2%
11	58.5%	13.2%	28.3%
12	69.6%	6.5%	23.9%
13	62.5%	3.1%	34.4%
14	61.1%	19.4%	19.4%
Caucasian	68.0%	9.6%	22.4%
African Amer	56.2%	17.8%	26.0%

APPENDIX A: QUESTION 5/ WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?

<u>AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
I don't trust it.	1	0.5 %
Don't know.	28	13.3 %
It's about the Gerrymandering process.	3	1.4 %
It would make it easier for Republican candidates.	1	0.5 %
It would be slanted for the more affluent.	1	0.5 %
I heard about drawing the boundaries and unfairness.	1	0.5 %
I'm informed and have seen the maps.	1	0.5 %
I know they redrew the map and it was approved.	1	0.5 %
That there's a new commission.	1	0.5 %
That they came up with a plan but many people are unhappy with it.	1	0.5 %
It's happening.	1	0.5 %
The composition of people did change.	1	0.5 %
Just that the commission exists.	1	0.5 %
The redistricting process.	1	0.5 %
They took an island and connected it with a township.	1	0.5 %
Online on social media I saw there would be a committee.	1	0.5 %
I saw the drafts coming out and the lawsuits.	1	0.5 %
I heard about the issue in 2018 but not the latest news.	1	0.5 %
That I don't agree with it.	1	0.5 %
Exactly what you just said.	1	0.5 %
I saw something about it on the news.	1	0.5 %
They're biased by Democrats.	1	0.5 %
I saw on the news that it was done.	1	0.5 %
I heard that it's been done.	1	0.5 %
That it was redrawn or will be.	1	0.5 %
People are upset about it.	1	0.5 %
There's always renewed complaints of neighborhoods		

split up.	1	0.5 %
I heard some people aren't happy with it.	1	0.5 %
Demographics are important.	1	0.5 %
It's comprised of citizens.	1	0.5 %
The proposal about the make up of the commission.	1	0.5 %
My husband applied to be one.	1	0.5 %
They want to redraw the boundaries.	1	0.5 %
I've talked to people who applied for it.	1	0.5 %
I read a couple of news articles, can't remember what they said.	1	0.5 %
The map showed that the whole west/southwest regions of Michigan was Republican which is totally out of whack.	1	0.5 %
I applied but didn't get accepted.	1	0.5 %
They mentioned it on NPR.	1	0.5 %
I saw the app and remembered I voted for it.	1	0.5 %
I saw when they delivered a report of the maps and the controversy around them.	1	0.5 %
I heard about the ballot issue.	1	0.5 %
Last year there was something on the news but I can't remember what.	1	0.5 %
There's a lot of discussion about it on the news and people feel good about the maps.	1	0.5 %
People think it will cause problems.	2	0.9 %
I know about the redistricting by the citizens commission.	1	0.5 %
I just heard about it.	2	0.9 %
There's an issue now because they're not on time.	1	0.5 %
The controversies that have cropped up.	1	0.5 %
On the news the districts were redrawn, some people think it's unfair to minorities.	1	0.5 %
The redistricting process was in the newspaper and the 13 citizens that it was made up of.	1	0.5 %

I knew the redistricting was happening, not about the committee.	1	0.5 %
They're sharing how they're redistricting.	1	0.5 %
I heard about it having some Republicans, some Democrats, and some Independents.	1	0.5 %
On the ballot.	1	0.5 %
I received mail about it.	1	0.5 %
I've seen an article on Reddit that applications were being put in.	1	0.5 %
They were creating the commission.	1	0.5 %
I heard it passed.	1	0.5 %
I watched and read about redistricting online.	1	0.5 %
It's about redistricting.	1	0.5 %
There has been controversy on whether or not it's a non balanced committee.	1	0.5 %
It's independent with people from both sides of the party.	2	0.9 %
I've seen the maps online.	1	0.5 %
Local representative no longer living in district because of redistricting.	1	0.5 %
Advertising for their meetings in the area.	1	0.5 %
That they were the new way of redistricting.	1	0.5 %
It's not fair.	1	0.5 %
That it was going to be redistricted.	1	0.5 %
They're trying to stop the confirmed districting in place.	1	0.5 %
I heard they lean more Democrat.	1	0.5 %
They're controversial.	1	0.5 %
That they would organize a commission.	1	0.5 %
The redrawing of lines of the districts.	1	0.5 %
I've applied and I've heard the map is now being challenged.	1	0.5 %
They've come up with a plan and the map is being challenged.	1	0.5 %

They were redrawing the maps that were Republican favored, it wasn't fair before, now it is.	4	1.9 %
There are still some people who are unhappy with the results.	1	0.5 %
The Republicans say they did a bad job and the Democrats said a good job.	2	0.9 %
Some people believe it was done in an unfair manner.	1	0.5 %
I heard about the redistricting plan.	2	0.9 %
When the application for redistricting came about.	2	0.9 %
That new boundaries have been set.	1	0.5 %
A lawsuit against the redistricting process.	1	0.5 %
They're protecting us from gerrymandering.	1	0.5 %
The newly proposed districts.	1	0.5 %
I've heard they changed districts.	2	0.9 %
Just that they exist.	1	0.5 %
Lawsuits were filed in dissatisfaction with the maps.	2	0.9 %
One of our representatives will be affected by the new change.	1	0.5 %
Only aware that the commission was formed.	1	0.5 %
They were debating about the redistricting process.	2	0.9 %
That the law was going to change.	1	0.5 %
I heard about the boundaries.	1	0.5 %
I work in city government and I'm aware of the process and pushback from people about it.	2	0.9 %
I just heard some local information.	1	0.5 %
They redid the map so it isn't so janky.	1	0.5 %
That they would stop Gerrymandering.	1	0.5 %
They were the people selected to do the redistricting.	1	0.5 %
I heard they made a decision to go forward with that.	1	0.5 %
Refused.	1	0.5 %
They've redrawn lines.	2	0.9 %
Something on the news.	1	0.5 %
We'll see more libertarians.	1	0.5 %

I heard something on the news.	2	0.9 %
It's been on the news.	1	0.5 %
I'm aware of it.	1	0.5 %
Podcast.	1	0.5 %
That the Democrats aren't happy with it.	2	0.9 %
There was a problem not letting African Americans have enough say in Detroit.	2	0.9 %
They're redoing districts.	1	0.5 %
Their new plan is being contested in court.	1	0.5 %
We notarized the paperwork.	1	0.5 %
I've been sent emails to open invitations to be a part of the commission.	1	0.5 %
The process was under way and they were in the process of gathering the people they needed to proceed.	1	0.5 %
Researched the proposal personally for information.	1	0.5 %
That it passed, some people thought it needed to be redrawn.	1	0.5 %
The process of the changing of district lines.	2	0.9 %
The progress and what's going on currently with the maps.	2	0.9 %
Everyone who redistricts fails.	1	0.5 %
Looked into it to try to get involved but I didn't pursue it.	1	0.5 %
Nothing since last year.	1	0.5 %
I know that they have met and that it's supposedly bi- partisan.	1	0.5 %
I actually submitted an application to be considered to be on the commission and I kept an eye on them throughout the redistricting process.	1	0.5 %
They're redistricting.	1	0.5 %
It's very vague, just that they were doing something.	1	0.5 %
Why the districts cut into cities and more conservative people are unfairly represented.	1	0.5 %

Reporting on the radio.	1	0.5 %
I saw one Facebook commercial about it and that's it.	1	0.5 %
Republicans took over a couple of districts so it benefited them.	1	0.5 %
I just heard about the redistricting.	1	0.5 %
The Detroit area was having controversy over the districts.	1	0.5 %
The new maps that came out recently.	1	0.5 %
It's well balanced.	1	0.5 %
I read an article presented by U of M to help select members of the commission.	1	0.5 %
Some of the rules that are suggested are way out of line.	1	0.5 %
That they existed.	2	0.9 %
I know they have redrawn them.	1	0.5 %
It will be redone.	1	0.5 %
I've seen a little about the committee and how it's made up.	1	0.5 %
I've heard about the boundaries that's it.	1	0.5 %
They're redoing our districts.	1	0.5 %
Something about being tied up in the courts.	2	0.9 %
I've seen a couple posts on Facebook from friends who are against gerrymandering about redistricting.	1	0.5 %
It's diverse to make it fair for everybody.	2	0.9 %
I heard about them redrawing the boundaries.	1	0.5 %
They came to our committee and did a presentation.	1	0.5 %
I voted on it.	1	0.5 %
They had a committee of citizens coming together to draw the district lines.	2	0.9 %
Other people called to inform about it.	1	0.5 %
I don't like state maps the way they were drawn.	1	0.5 %
My district got redistricted.	2	0.9 %
Talk around the campus talking about redrawing the lines.	1	0.5 %

The committee has met and drawn the lines which caused controversy.	3	1.4 %
Conflict and discussion about unfair redistricting.	1	0.5 %
That people didn't like the way they redrew it.	1	0.5 %
<u>They've put Dearborn with Wayne county.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0.5 %</u>
Total	211	100.0 %

APPENDIX B: QUESTION 8/ WHY WAS YOUR OPINION POSITIVE?

And why would you say your opinion is positive?	Number	Percent
Sometimes change like that would be very good for all of us.	1	1.1 %
Because it's a nonbiased board.	1	1.1 %
The situation seems better in the hands of average citizens.	1	1.1 %
They did it for the right reason.	1	1.1 %
It's important to have fair maps.	1	1.1 %
They're making progress.	1	1.1 %
Changes have been made.	1	1.1 %
An equal amount of representatives seems fair.	1	1.1 %
I wasn't a fan of how districts were drawn the last 3 decades.	1	1.1 %
The old system was unfair and this effort was to fix it.	1	1.1 %
I support it.	1	1.1 %
They could be unbiased by the left.	1	1.1 %
They're trying to make things more fair.	1	1.1 %
It's important.	2	2.3 %
The lines are being drawn more equitably.	1	1.1 %
They did a good job, it's more accurate.	1	1.1 %
The whole process needs to be more independent.	1	1.1 %
Redrawing of districts they've done is good.	1	1.1 %
It's a fair way to draw the districts and not leave it up to the politicians.	1	1.1 %
They have done what the voters want.	1	1.1 %
It's is more fairly comprised now.	2	2.3 %
Change isn't necessarily bad and this might be positive.	1	1.1 %
It's better than the alternative.	1	1.1 %
It's a non-biased point of view.	1	1.1 %
It's giving everyone an opportunity to vote.	1	1.1 %

It's important for people to have the independence.	1	1.1 %
This is the only way to get away from gerrymandering.	1	1.1 %
Gerrymandering and swaying voting districts is the worst thing we can do.	1	1.1 %
To get rid of gerrymandering.	1	1.1 %
You have to keep doing things to make the system better and this is 1 way how.	1	1.1 %
The citizens do a better job than Republicans in the Legislature.	1	1.1 %
Don't know.	4	4.5 %
I like the work they've done.	1	1.1 %
Some things need changes.	1	1.1 %
It will represent more people accurately.	1	1.1 %
It's set up to be a lot more fair.	1	1.1 %
It should be balanced and more fair, this will keep it unbiased.	1	1.1 %
Equal representation from everyone.	1	1.1 %
It's important to get the voice of the people.	1	1.1 %
It's way better than having one party draw the map.	1	1.1 %
It's a balanced group, fairly neutral.	1	1.1 %
It shows the citizens have a voice.	1	1.1 %
The maps weren't fair before.	4	4.5 %
It's made up of people from different parties to keep it neutral.	1	1.1 %
It's better left to the citizens.	1	1.1 %
They're trying to make sure our elections are fair.	1	1.1 %
They're trying to do better by citizens.	1	1.1 %
Stopping gerrymandering.	1	1.1 %
They're drawing power away from politicians and being nonpartisan.	1	1.1 %
The voters should have a say in districts.	1	1.1 %
It takes it out of the hands of the government so it can be more balanced.	2	2.3 %

Refused.	1	1.1 %
All of the changes to reduce previous gerrymandering.	2	2.3 %
It's a more impartial way to draw the districts.	1	1.1 %
They're very transparent with information making efforts to make it available to everyone.	2	2.3 %
Partisanship has gone too far, a system to reflect all parties is what we need.	1	1.1 %
It's an important issues because of minorities being served.	1	1.1 %
People from different political parties are working together for a greater good.	1	1.1 %
Vast improvement over the old system giving voice to citizens.	1	1.1 %
A lot of people have moved to the suburbs and when it comes to getting state aid a lot of places have fallen short due to old boundaries.	1	1.1 %
I agree with the statistic models.	1	1.1 %
It's a more fair way to draw districts.	1	1.1 %
The objective is a more fair map and this is an improvement on the system we used to have.	1	1.1 %
The issue of gerrymandering.	1	1.1 %
Making everyone and all get their fair share.	1	1.1 %
I'm against Gerrymandering.	1	1.1 %
The people should have a say.	1	1.1 %
The districting should represent the minority voices.	1	1.1 %
They did their job well.	1	1.1 %
It took control from the actual parties affiliation and gave it to the citizens.	1	1.1 %
It's better than what it was before.	1	1.1 %
The redistricting is necessary and I approve of how the commission was put together.	2	2.3 %
People in congress should stay out of it because they tamper with is and the committee is a good way to		

address it.	3	3.4 %
<u>They're trying to protect our vote.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1.1 %</u>
Total	88	100.0 %

APPENDIX C: QUESTION 9/ WHY WAS YOUR OPINION NEGATIVE?

And why would you say your opinion is negative?	Number	Percent
I don't approve of the formation of the commission, nothing against the people on the commission just the commission itself.	1	3.2 %
Refused.	2	6.5 %
They redistricted Sugar Island and Sioux Township together.	1	3.2 %
I don't agree with changing things.	1	3.2 %
It's political garbage.	1	3.2 %
It's just another organization that will steal funds and get rich off of the people.	1	3.2 %
The Democrats are trying to change it so it helps them.	1	3.2 %
They made a problem where there wasn't one.	1	3.2 %
Because they haven't been able to execute their deadlines, they couldn't comply with basic requests.	1	3.2 %
It puts a cap on the people who can vote.	1	3.2 %
It's a scam by politicians.	1	3.2 %
It's not good for citizens who don't have enough experience.	1	3.2 %
They did it unfairly.	1	3.2 %
It doesn't make sense, how it was redrawn.	1	3.2 %
It's not a non-biased commission.	1	3.2 %
I don't think we should redistrict.	1	3.2 %
Not a good enough selection of people.	1	3.2 %
Nobody can guarantee independency.	1	3.2 %
I don't trust that it's bipartisan, I think it will be Republican leaning and biased.	1	3.2 %
We're now cluttered with cities that have different views and religious beliefs, some will probably lose us reps that we like.	1	3.2 %

The way the lines are drawn doesn't seem to be fair.	2	6.5 %
I don't believe in the bill of the system.	1	3.2 %
There's too many complaints from people about it.	1	3.2 %
I don't like how they redrew the maps.	1	3.2 %
I think it's biased.	2	6.5 %
It adversely affects Wayne County.	1	3.2 %
It's a form of gerrymandering.	1	3.2 %
They didn't draw the lines very well.	1	3.2 %
Total	31	100.0 %

APPENDIX D: QUESTION 11/ WHY DO YOU APPROVE?

And why do you approve of the job they have done?	Number	Percent
It's looking out for the well being of all people.	1	1.1 %
They're doing their job to the best of their ability.	1	1.1 %
So far they've done their goal.	1	1.1 %
Things change over the years.	1	1.1 %
They've deviated from corruption.	1	1.1 %
Seems like they did a fair job.	1	1.1 %
The maps are much more fair.	1	1.1 %
It was unfair before.	1	1.1 %
I agree with it.	1	1.1 %
They're working to make things equal with the parties.	1	1.1 %
Somebody had to do it.	1	1.1 %
From the map, it's more equitable.	1	1.1 %
It was more fair and accurate.	1	1.1 %
It's needed and overdue.	1	1.1 %
Don't know.	6	6.9 %
It has allowed a voice for voters to be involved.	1	1.1 %
They're giving voters a chance to have input.	1	1.1 %
They have a tough job but they're trying.	1	1.1 %
They're doing the job as tasked.	1	1.1 %
They worked hard to come up with a non-partisan way of redistricting.	1	1.1 %
They laid it out well and haven't drawn the lines too out of bounds.	1	1.1 %
It's time we have someone who isn't either party to decide.	1	1.1 %
Seems like things are doing good.	1	1.1 %
We're still here and haven't been taken down.	1	1.1 %
It seems to be going okay.	1	1.1 %
It's more fair.	1	1.1 %

They do a better job than politicians.	1	1.1 %
It's supposed to be a voter approved amendment and balanced.	1	1.1 %
I'm grateful they're willing to do it.	1	1.1 %
They did a fair job at redistricting.	1	1.1 %
It breaks down the districts and the people who live there.	1	1.1 %
The boundaries should change all the time.	1	1.1 %
I have communicated personally with them.	1	1.1 %
I like that they included district 15 into another district.	1	1.1 %
They managed to get the map completed through the pandemic.	1	1.1 %
It's fairly balanced.	1	1.1 %
They put a lot of time and effort into it and I appreciate that.	1	1.1 %
It's important someone has to fight for the right thing.	4	4.6 %
They've been fair with getting citizens involved.	1	1.1 %
I give them credit for the job they're all doing.	2	2.3 %
I'm in a different district.	2	2.3 %
They're attempting to make changes for districts.	2	2.3 %
They're making better boundaries for voting.	1	1.1 %
I'm trusting that they're redistricting as needed.	1	1.1 %
It doesn't look as janky.	1	1.1 %
It needs to be done once in a while.	1	1.1 %
Refused.	1	1.1 %
We have to have fair maps.	1	1.1 %
With the changes it reflects the population.	2	2.3 %
This system is better than the legislative system.	2	2.3 %
They've collaborated together and are transparent.	2	2.3 %
It's not perfect but a huge improvement.	1	1.1 %
The numbers were adequate.	1	1.1 %
Districting is unfair with the legislature.	1	1.1 %
It's done every 10 years.	1	1.1 %

The way they did it is a more fair distribution of the parties.	1	1.1 %
It's a better map that better represents the population.	1	1.1 %
They have taken in all the areas of our state.	1	1.1 %
It was time to be updated a bit.	1	1.1 %
I'm not sure if they've actually done anything yet but I'm waiting to see what they do.	1	1.1 %
I'm not a Republican.	1	1.1 %
They've made it so little people have more of a chance.	2	2.3 %
This would lead to the most unbiased redrawing of boundaries.	1	1.1 %
Democracy is our only hope, without it we have nothing.	1	1.1 %
They're taking a lead in supporting smaller voices.	1	1.1 %
They got it right.	1	1.1 %
They've done the best they could with the amount of people they have, no more gerrymandering.	1	1.1 %
They want to create more opportunities.	1	1.1 %
The committee is the right approach to addressing gerrymandering.	3	3.4 %
Just to get a discussion going about what's best for the people.	1	1.1 %
Total	87	100.0 %

APPENDIX E: QUESTION 12/ WHY DO YOU DISAPPROVE?

And why do you disapprove of the job they have done?	Number	Percent
I think it's a power grab for both parties.	1	2.1 %
They don't put their information out there for the average citizen to find.	1	2.1 %
I think the map was redrawn to benefit a certain political party.	1	2.1 %
Refused.	2	4.3 %
They down districted when they combined the island and township.	1	2.1 %
They've done nothing to benefits the voters.	1	2.1 %
It's biased.	1	2.1 %
It's too political, just another choice of a political group.	1	2.1 %
I don't think it was fair.	1	2.1 %
Leave it how it is so they don't take advantage of voters.	1	2.1 %
They have done a terrible job maintaining the guidelines.	1	2.1 %
I just don't understand it.	1	2.1 %
They lumped too much area into one Representative or Senator.	1	2.1 %
The Detroit Community isn't represented right.	1	2.1 %
The current lines favor certain parties instead of others.	1	2.1 %
They haven't done enough to involve the citizens.	1	2.1 %
I think they're doing it for a political agenda.	1	2.1 %
There's still gerrymandering going on and not all groups are getting representation.	1	2.1 %
I don't think they did it fairly.	1	2.1 %
I lost my democratic representative.	1	2.1 %
They're redrawing district lines again.	1	2.1 %
It's not a non-biased commission.	1	2.1 %
They're taking over rural areas with urban areas.	1	2.1 %
They separated some communities of interest in order		

to dilute voters of color.	1	2.1 %
The selected group wasn't unbiased.	1	2.1 %
It was good the way it was.	2	4.3 %
I feel it's biased toward the Republican side.	1	2.1 %
The districts aren't fairly drawn.	2	4.3 %
There was a rule that didn't create balance.	2	4.3 %
It's not local.	1	2.1 %
There wasn't a lot of information publicly.	1	2.1 %
They're disenfranchising minority populations.	1	2.1 %
I didn't vote for it.	1	2.1 %
They aren't doing a good job selling what they did.	1	2.1 %
There have been some problems of discriminatory racial practices.	1	2.1 %
Because of the way it affects where I live.	1	2.1 %
Some smaller districts get swallowed up which causes misrepresentation of the area.	2	4.3 %
Gerrymandering against minorities.	1	2.1 %
The maps were drawn more Republican leaning and they went off of previous maps.	1	2.1 %
It unfairly creates a distribution of black voters for less representation.	2	4.3 %
<u>They didn't draw the lines very well.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2.1 %</u>
Total	47	100.0 %

APPENDIX F: QUESTION 26/ FUTURE SUGGESTIONS

And would you have any suggestions going forward that would help the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to improve the redistricting process?

	Number	Percent
Tell us how the 13 were selected.	1	0.4 %
Advertise more especially to rural areas.	1	0.4 %
No.	140	54.3 %
Give an advertisement and a letter saying this is an opportunity in your area for redistricting.	1	0.4 %
A lot of prayer.	1	0.4 %
Dissolve the commission.	1	0.4 %
Send me a map of where they redistricted and why.	1	0.4 %
Make voters more aware.	1	0.4 %
Advertise.	1	0.4 %
It just needs tinkering and time.	1	0.4 %
They need to let us know about it more publicly.	1	0.4 %
Make sure things are done in the open and are transparent.	1	0.4 %
More social awareness and getting the word out more.	1	0.4 %
More transparency.	1	0.4 %
Direct mail more information to us so we know what's going on.	1	0.4 %
Remove all Democrats.	1	0.4 %
Don't know.	17	6.6 %
Keep Democrats out.	1	0.4 %
Make the people aware of what's going on.	1	0.4 %
Listen to the residents.	1	0.4 %
Keep the public informed.	1	0.4 %
Just leave it alone.	1	0.4 %
Disband the group.	1	0.4 %
They need to be held accountable by the governor,		

currently they answer to no one and most of them are inept at this kind of work.	1	0.4 %
Get the word out more.	1	0.4 %
Put more information in the press like the newspaper.	1	0.4 %
Getting the word out better.	1	0.4 %
Put more on MLive.	1	0.4 %
Send flyers out by mail so people would understand it better.	1	0.4 %
Get the word out a bit more.	1	0.4 %
Seek clarification on all boundaries they have so there isn't so much disagreement.	1	0.4 %
Trying to educate people about what it is and why it's needed.	1	0.4 %
More outreach to the public.	1	0.4 %
Give each county the right to give opinions.	1	0.4 %
Better advertising so people know what's going on.	1	0.4 %
Get it on TV from 7-7:30 with a panel set up to discuss what it is and who is working with it.	1	0.4 %
Make sure it's 100 percent transparent to the public.	2	0.8 %
Just keep listening to the public.	1	0.4 %
Keep exposing more to get more people involved.	1	0.4 %
Just a little bit more advertisement.	1	0.4 %
It should have been more public.	1	0.4 %
Kibosh this process.	1	0.4 %
Maybe put more ads and things out to get people involved.	1	0.4 %
More public involvements in the rural area.	1	0.4 %
They should care less about which party is involved.	1	0.4 %
Don't put politicians in districts where they didn't run or get elected into.	1	0.4 %
More of an informal approach.	1	0.4 %
Let the people vote on the districts themselves.	1	0.4 %
Term limits enforced.	1	0.4 %

Make more available information, like advertising more.	1	0.4 %
Reaching out to the community.	1	0.4 %
Get more information out there.	1	0.4 %
Gathering public opinion.	1	0.4 %
Maybe advertise more about what they're doing.	1	0.4 %
Should be more public meetings, online access doesn't reach everybody.	1	0.4 %
Listen to what the critics are telling you.	2	0.8 %
Just citizens should have a lot more involvement.	1	0.4 %
Put the districts on the ballot.	1	0.4 %
They need to advertise more into the lead up so people can engage.	1	0.4 %
Mailing out information to Michigan citizens.	1	0.4 %
More information for the average voter.	1	0.4 %
More investment in advertisement.	2	0.8 %
Put the information in targeted ads.	1	0.4 %
They have to allow and make effort to include everybody, every voice should be heard.	2	0.8 %
Put it to a vote to people.	1	0.4 %
Get citizens to give them information and opinions.	1	0.4 %
Stop splitting counties.	1	0.4 %
Put it in the local newspaper.	1	0.4 %
Get a flyer out in the mail describing how it affects people and when meetings are.	2	0.8 %
Not lumping the city together with the suburbs.	1	0.4 %
Keep the transparency available for public access.	2	0.8 %
Make the people more aware that their personal input is accepted, I had no idea about the meetings of the committee or the portal or anything.	1	0.4 %
Put me on the commission.	1	0.4 %
More advertising to promote greater visibility.	1	0.4 %
They need to do a much better job informing the citizenry about when all this stuff was going on.	1	0.4 %

They could have improved early on in the mathematical literacy of the members of commission, they seemed to be a little behind in some of the math involved in redistricting.	1	0.4 %
General information on good models and information on the redistricting itself.	1	0.4 %
Just keep the service with citizen control.	1	0.4 %
Try to advertise more to the public.	1	0.4 %
Put more in the news media about how important it is.	2	0.8 %
Make their information more accessible.	1	0.4 %
More time providing the maps online for review.	1	0.4 %
Don't do it.	2	0.8 %
A little more reaching out to us to keep us better informed.	1	0.4 %
More engagement in communities being gentrified.	1	0.4 %
Possibly more advertising for younger voters 18-30 years old.	1	0.4 %
Stay transparent and keep political and corporate money out of the process.	1	0.4 %
They need more advertisement.	1	0.4 %
Take one person from every congressional district and make that into a council say there's more say from the community.	1	0.4 %
More advertising and exposure.	1	0.4 %
Come up with a way to keep politicians from monkeying with the results.	3	1.2 %
Keep visible so people are aware.	1	0.4 %
Spend more money on putting information out about what they're doing.	1	0.4 %
Total	258	100.0 %