Detroit Leadership Academy

Intensive - Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)

Partnership Agreement 2022-2025

for Round Four Partnership Districts

This partnership agreement is entered on April 17, 2023 among the signatory partner entities, including Detroit Leadership Academy (DLA), Central Michigan University Center for Charter Schools (CMU), Wayne Regional Educational Service Agency (WRESA), and the Michigan Department of Education (MDE). According to <u>Section</u> <u>388.1622p of the Michigan Compiled Laws.</u> assignment of a district to a 3-year partnership is made by the state superintendent of public instruction. See **Appendix A** Levels of Support and District Requirements.

The above-named signatory partner entities agree that upon the commencement date of this partnership agreement, they shall collaboratively work to achieve the mutually agreed upon goals, including interim target benchmarks and aligned end target outcomes for the local education agency (LEA), both traditional public school districts and public school academies (PSA) and schools named in this document. The purpose of the agreement is to improve student achievement at Detroit Leadership Academy.

The purposes, terms, and conditions of this agreement are as follows:

I. COMPONENTS OF THIS AGREEMENT

The signatory partner entities will collectively assess the needs defined below in **Section I.A.1-5 and** develop a plan of support and interventions. Through increased collaboration and positive relationships, the signatory partners will implement Detroit Leadership Academy's agreement with fidelity, resulting in improved academic achievement for all students. Within 90 days of assignment as a partnership district, signatory partner entities agree to collaboratively complete a partnership agreement that:

A. Utilizes the Michigan Integrated Continuous Improvement Process (MICIP) to "complete a comprehensive needs assessment in collaboration with an intermediate district, community members, education organizations, and postsecondary institutions, as applicable". <u>Section 388.1621h of the Michigan</u> <u>Compiled Laws</u>

The goals within the partnership agreement are generated from three state and two federal requirements. The process to generate goals is completed via the Areas of Inquiry as defined within the MICIP process and terminology. The five required Areas of Inquiry are:

State Requirements

- The district's implementation and utilization of a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) to ensure it is used to appropriately inform instruction [MCL Section 388.1621h]
- 2. The district and school(s) building leadership and educator capacity to substantially improve student outcomes [MCL Section 388.1621h]
- 3. The district's classroom, instructional, operational practices, and curriculum to ensure alignment with research-based instructional practices and state curriculum standards [MCL Section 388.1621h]

Federal Requirements

- 4. All components in the Michigan School Index for all schools identified for Comprehensive Supports and Improvement [Every Student Succeeds Act Sec. 1111.c.4.D]
- 5. Resource inequities, which include a review of district and school level budgeting via the Resource Allocation Review process [Every Student Succeeds Act Sec. 1111.c.4.D]

The MICIP platform will be used annually for partnership districts to outline strategies and activities for each goal, including funding and communication. The identified strategies for the goals should address whole child needs. A Partnership Agreement Report will be generated via MICIP and is a required attachment to this agreement.

- B. Includes an academic and financial operating or intervention plan, as part of the partnership agreement, that has been approved by the state superintendent or his/her designee addressing the needs identified in the comprehensive needs assessment [MCL Section 388.1621h]. Additionally, the academic and financial operating or intervention plan must:
 - 1. Identify how the district's MTSS is used to inform instruction appropriately
 - 2. Identify how the district's talent/staff management system, including specific details regarding district and school building leadership and educator capacity, will substantially improve student outcomes
 - 3. Identify how the district's instructional, curriculum, and operational practices align with research-based instructional practices and state standards unless required to complete a resource allocation review; the Partnership Agreement Report produced by MICIP will serve as the academic and financial operating or intervention plan.

- C. Includes aligned measurable 18-month interim target benchmarks and 36month end target outcomes that will be achieved for each school operated by the district that is included in the partnership agreement and subject to approval by the director of the OPD. [MCL Sections 388.1621h and 388.1622p]
- D. Includes two to five accountability measures:
 - For a traditional district assigned as a partnership district, accountability measures under this subdivision <u>must</u> include the reconstitution of the school. [MCL Section 388.1622p]
 - 2. For a PSA assigned as a partnership district, accountability measures under this subdivision <u>may</u> include the reconstitution of the school. [MCL Section 388.1622p]

One or more accountability measures must be imposed no later than the following school year if the district does not achieve the measurable academic outcomes for each school operated by the district that is subject to the partnership agreement.

E. Includes specific actions that will be taken by the district and each of its signatory partner entities to improve student achievement as measured by the Michigan School Index. [MCL Section 388.1621h]

II. PROCESS FOR COMPLETING THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

- A. Assessing Needs: Engaging in a process that assesses the district's needs reveals a picture of its achievements and challenges and identifies the factors critical to its success. This includes looking at several data sources, including academic, non-academic, and district/building systems, as well as a variety of data types: achievement, demographic, perception, and process, to identify the gap between the current and desired state.
 - 1. The district will assess needs via an iterative process on a regular basis throughout the continuous improvement cycle. The district will first look at district-wide data in the areas of inquiry and then look at the same school level data for the school(s) identified for CSI.
 - 2. The district and school will then engage in root cause analysis around the areas of inquiry to discern the primary contributing factor(s), identify challenges or opportunities for growth, and identify assets that can be leveraged to address those opportunities.
- B. Plan, Implement, and Monitor: Goals provide a sense of direction, motivation, and clear focus for improvement and are a result of the needs assessment defined in **Section II.A**. The partnership district will define a minimum of three goal areas with specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) benchmarks and outcomes. Goal areas will include a minimum of one aligned pair of 18-month interim target benchmarks and accompanying 36-

month end target outcomes as detailed in the table found in **Section XVII**. See **Appendix C** Example Benchmarks and Outcomes Table.

- 1. The district and school will outline goals, strategies, and activities that, when implemented with fidelity, will help the district reach its goals, meet whole child needs, and achieve success.
- 2. The district will identify and include 18-month interim target benchmarks and 36-month end target outcomes aligned to the previously identified goals. This will be completed in the table found in **Section XVII**. Requirements for benchmarks and outcomes must include the following:
 - state assessments for at least one 18-month interim target benchmark and aligned 36-month end target outcome measuring proficiency or growth [MCL 388.1622p]
 - local student data for at least one 18-month interim target benchmark and aligned 36-month end target outcome
 - process data for at least one 18-month interim target benchmark and aligned 36-month end target outcome
 - a minimum three (3) percentage point increase in proficiency on state assessments; the desired increase shall be based upon the current value and an increase that would likely result in a Michigan School Index score above the bottom 5%
 - at least one 18-month interim target benchmark and aligned 36-month end target outcome that addresses literacy
 - at least one 18-month interim target benchmark and aligned 36-month end target outcome that addresses mathematics.

While goals, 18-month interim target benchmarks, and 36-month end target outcomes do not change, a partnership district will monitor and may need to adjust strategies, activities, funding, and communication to attain the final goal.

III. REVIEW OF BENCHMARKS, OUTCOMES, AND IDENTIFICATION

Evaluating the attainment of interim benchmark target and end outcome target measures occurs twice during the life of the partnership agreement. Each evaluation follows established business rules and includes reviewing the partnership district's capacity, fidelity, the extent of reaching the targeted population or stage of implementation (scale/reach), and the impact of identified goals.

A. Interim Target Benchmark Review (BR): attainment of interim target benchmarks is reviewed at the 18-month mark of the partnership agreement to determine whether schools and districts are On-Track, Off-Track with Progress, or Off-Track in meeting the goals of its partnership agreement.

B. Summary Report and Academic Outcome Evaluation: attainment of end target outcomes are reviewed at the 36-month mark of the partnership agreement and termed PA Summary Report or a 36-month Academic Outcome Evaluation (AOE). An AOE is specific to state legislation, and federal identification levels for support to determine the application of accountability measures defined in **Section XV** of the agreement should a school within the partnership agreement be re-identified for CSI.

IV. TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Detroit Leadership Academy retains control of the school(s) named in this agreement. The Michigan Department of Education and the partners named in this agreement will provide mutually agreed upon support to meet the benchmarks and outcomes.

V. SIGNATORY PARTNERS

The Detroit Leadership Academy board of education president, Detroit Leadership Academy superintendent, (including the PSA authorizer if applicable); the Wayne Regional Educational Service Agency superintendent; and the director of the Office of Partnership Districts are the signatory partners and serve as the primary decision-makers for this agreement.

VI. **REQUIREMENTS OF PARTNERSHIP DISTRICTS**

- A. Participate in Michigan Data Hub.
- B. Provide permission for the appropriate benchmark assessment vendor (i.e., NWEA, Curriculum Associates, Renaissance Learning, or Data Recognition Corporation) to transfer student-level benchmark assessment data from the fall and spring of each year to the Michigan Data Hub for Education Policy Innovation Collaborative (EPIC) Michigan State University (MSU)'s research of the partnership district model. Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)shall be followed as required by law.
- C. Complete surveys as requested by OPD, including EPIC's annual survey of partnership school teachers and principals, with a minimum participation rate of 50% and a minimum participation rate of 30% for teachers and principals in non-partnership schools.
- D. Participate in EPIC's qualitative data collection efforts if asked to do so by the EPIC research team.
- E. Consider local school board training, potentially by the Michigan Association of School Boards (MASB) or a similar organization.

VII. **PERIOD OF AGREEMENT**

The partnership agreement shall commence on November 29, 2022 and expire on November 28, 2025. The partnership agreement is completed after the Academic Outcome Evaluation (AOE), or Partnership Agreement Summary Report has been completed. See **Appendix B** Partnership Agreement Timeline and **Appendix D** for Public School Academies.

VIII. PARTNERSHIP DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

The district is released from its partnership district assignment only when it has no schools identified for CSI, excluding alternative education schools.

IX. **AMENDMENTS**

This partnership agreement shall not be modified, altered, or amended except by a written agreement duly executed by all signatory parties to this agreement in accordance with the terms hereof.

X. SUCCESSORS and ASSIGNS

The covenants, conditions, and agreements in this partnership agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of each party, their respective legal representatives, successors, and assignees.

XI. **NO INDEMNIFICATION**

There shall be no indemnification of any party by any other in regard to liabilities arising out of the functions covered by this agreement. All parties shall be responsible for their liabilities and defense as determined by law.

XII. NOTICES

Any notice to be given in connection with any of the terms or provisions of this agreement shall be in writing and be given in person, by facsimile transmission, courier delivery service or by mail, or electronic delivery with receipt notification, and shall become effective (a) on delivery if given in person, (b) on the date of delivery if sent by unsecured e-mail, facsimile transmission or other similar unsecured electronic methods or by courier delivery service, or (c) four business days after being deposited in the mail, with proper postage for first-class registered or certified mail, prepaid. Until notified in writing by the appropriate party of a change to a different address, notices shall be addressed as follows:

If to the District:

Detroit Leadership Academy 13550 Virgil Street Detroit, MI 48223 Attn: Kerri Smith, Superintendent Dan Piepszowski, President Board of Education

If to the Intermediate School District:

Wayne Regional Educational Service Agency 33500 Van Born Rd Wayne, MI 48184 Attn: Dr. Daveda Colbert Superintendent

If to Michigan Department of Education:

Michigan Department of Education 608 West Allegan Street P.O. Box 30008 Lansing, MI 48909 Attn: Dr. William Pearson Director of OPD

If to the Authorizer (if applicable):

Central Michigan University Center for Charter Schools Central Michigan University The Governor John Engler Center for Charter Schools EHS Suite 200 Mt. Pleasant, MI 48859 Attn: Corey Northrup, Executive Director

XIII. ADDITIONAL PARTNERS

The following entities have been identified and have agreed to serve as additional partners in pursuit of achieving the purposes of this agreement:

1. None

XIV. SCHOOLS IDENTIFIED FOR SUPPORT BY THIS AGREEMENT

- A. Schools identified for CSI listed below must identify aligned 18-month interim target benchmarks and 36-month end target outcomes in Section XVII Goals, Interim Target Benchmarks, and End Target Outcomes.
 - 1. Detroit Leadership Academy K-8
 - 2. Detroit Leadership Academy High School
- B. Schools identified for Additional Targeted Support (ATS), or Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) listed below are included in the supports provided by the OPD. These schools are not subject to benchmarks, outcomes, or accountability measures defined in this agreement. OPD supports include guidance in completing ESSA required plans via MICIP.
 - 1. Not applicable

XV. SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES [MCL Section 388.1622p]

For each school listed in **Section XIV.A** that is re-identified for CSI and achieves one-third (33.33%) or less of local and state assessment academic 36-month end target outcomes as defined in **Section XVII**, DLA, WRESA, CMU, and MDE will impose one of the mutually agreed upon measures:

- A. Reconstitute as defined in [MCL Section 388.1622p] for districts and <u>MCL Section 380.507</u>, <u>MCL Section 380.528</u>, and <u>MCL Section 380.561</u> for public school academies, or
- B. Make significant changes to the instructional and non-instructional programming of the school based on the needs identified through a comprehensive review of data.
- C. The district shall review the instructional and non-instructional staff organizational flow chart and reassign staff based on the needs identified through a comprehensive review of data.
- D. The district shall complete a school-based needs comprehensive review of data to determine if the building leader should be replaced.

If applicable, at the end of this agreement, school accountability measures are to be imposed no later than the 2026-2027 school year. The implementation of the selected accountability measure(s) will be incorporated in the subsequent partnership agreement via benchmarks and outcomes, or assurances.

XVI. ASSURANCE OF ACTIONS

A. Detroit Leadership Academy BOARD OF EDUCATION ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN:

- 1. Support the superintendent
- 2. Ensure that the school/district community is meaningfully engaged in the implementation of the partnership agreement.
- B. Detroit Leadership Academy SUPERINTENDENT ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN:
 - 1. Provide updates as defined by the OPD level of support to the board of education regarding the status of the district's implementation of this partnership agreement.
 - 2. Ensure that the school/district community is meaningfully engaged in the implementation of this agreement.
 - 3. Schedule meetings with the partnership agreement liaison and partners to discuss progress on the partnership agreement implementation.
- C. WRESA ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN:
 - 1. Implement WRESA Regional Assistance Grant (RAG) service plan in conjunction with the partnership agreement and actively support Detroit Leadership Academy
 - 2. Assign an Education Improvement Consultant (EIC) to serve as the lead Implementation Facilitator, through a team-based approach, to facilitate problem solving, guide district and school improvement efforts, coordinate services from Wayne RESA, attend regularly scheduled meetings and/or monitoring visits.
 - 3. Align Wayne RESA services (i.e., professional learning) and personnel with school improvement initiatives, working with the district to integrate support and address identified needs.
 - 4. Collaborate with Detroit Leadership Academy to identify professional learning opportunities and technical assistance for educators and leaders to establish a shared understanding of accountability designation(s) and the identified need.
 - 5. Establish a communication plan, including quarterly updates, to be implemented between Wayne RESA and <insert District> to celebrate successes, inform them of services rendered, monitor progress, reduce barriers that may impede efforts of improvement, and build the collective commitment between the organization's stakeholders.

D. CMU ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN

- 1. Central Michigan University ("University") intends to meaningfully engage in the implementation of the partnership agreement by fulfilling its oversight role to the Academy pursuant to applicable law.
- E. MDE ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN:
 - 1. Assign a partnership agreement liaison (PAL) who will serve as the primary point of contact for Detroit Leadership Academy and will be meaningfully engaged in the implementation of the partnership agreement.
 - 2. Assign a team of individuals from other MDE offices with expertise in comprehensive school and district improvement to ensure that resources are being used as efficiently and effectively as possible to improve student academic achievement and to ensure district financial stability. [MCL Section 388.1621h]

XVII. GOALS, INTERIM TARGET BENCHMARKS, AND END TARGET OUTCOMES

The partnership district will define goal areas resulting from the district's areas of inquiry. Each goal area will include a minimum of one aligned pair of 18-month interim target benchmarks and 36-month end target outcomes.

- A. District must have at least three and at most nine goal areas.
- B. One or more goal areas must include at least one aligned pair of 18-month interim target benchmarks and 36-month end target outcomes that measure proficiency or growth that puts students on track to be proficient. [MCL Section 388.1622p]
- C. Must include at least one 18-month interim target benchmark and aligned 36month end target outcome based on:
 - 1. state assessment results,
 - 2. process data, and
 - 3. local student data
- D. Must include at least one 18-month interim target benchmark and aligned 36month end target outcome to address literacy, and one 18-month interim target benchmark and aligned 36-month end target outcome to address mathematics.
- E. Districts are required to indicate goal alignment with Michigan's Top Ten Strategic Education Plan (SEP) if applicable.

Michigan's Top Ten Strategic Education Plan Goals:

- 1. Expand early childhood learning opportunities
- 2. Improve early literacy achievement
- 3. Improve the health, safety, and wellness of all learners
- 4. Expand secondary learning opportunities for all students
- 5. Increase the percentage of all students who graduate from high school
- 6. Increase the percentage of adults with a post-secondary credential
- 7. Increase the numbers of certified teachers in areas of shortage
- 8. Provide adequate and equitable school funding

Benchmarks and Outcomes Table

#	Goal Area	Building Name	Building Code	Туре	SEP Goal #	Data Source & Evidence	36-month End Target Outcomes	18-month Interim Target Benchmarks
1	Literacy Achievement	DLA	82722	State	2	MSTEP PSAT/SAT	The percentage of students in grades 3-8 and 11 demonstrating grade-level ELA proficiency will increase by 3% from 2021- 2022 baseline outcomes, as measured by the 2024-2025 M-STEP and SAT.	The percentage of students in grades 3-8 and 11 demonstrating grade-level ELA proficiency will increase by 1% from 2021-2022 baseline outcomes, as measured by the 2023-2024 M-STEP and SAT.
2	Literacy Growth	DLA	82722	Local	2	NWEA Achievement Status & Growth Summary Reports	The percentage of full-year academic students in grades 3-8 meeting their ELA growth target will be 50% or higher, as measured by Spring 2024-2025 NWEA Reading Growth outcomes.	The percentage of full-year academic students in grades 3-8 meeting their ELA growth target will be 40% or higher, as measured by Spring 2023-2024 NWEA Reading Growth outcomes.
3	Math Achievement	DLA	82722	State	2	MSTEP PSAT/SAT	The percentage of students in grades 3-8 and 11 demonstrating grade-level Math proficiency will increase by 3% from 2021- 2022 baseline outcomes, as measured by the 2024-2025 M-STEP and SAT.	The percentage of students in grades 3-8 and 11 demonstrating grade-level Math proficiency will increase by 1% from 2021-2022 baseline outcomes, as measured by the 2023-2024 M-STEP and SAT.
4	Math Growth	DLA	82722	Local	2	NWEA Achievement Status & Growth Summary Reports	The percentage of full-year academic students in grades 3-8 meeting their Math growth target will be 50% or higher, as measured by Spring 2024-2025 NWEA Math Growth outcomes.	The percentage of full-year academic students in grades 3-8 meeting their Math growth target will be 40% or higher, as measured by Spring 2023-2024 NWEA Math Growth outcomes.
5	Student Engagement	DLA	82722	Local	3	Survey Data	The percentage of K-12 students who report a "high level" of school engagement will increase by 20% from 2022-2023 baseline outcomes, as measured by a modified self- assessment from CharacterStrong Social Emotional Learning program.	The Academy will achieve a minimum 10% increase in the percentage of K-12 students reporting a "high level" of school engagement, using Fall 2023-2024 CharacterStrong Social Emotional self- assessment data compared to Spring 2022- 2023 baseline data.
6	Student Engagement	DLA	82722	Process	3	Written plan, Implementation walkthrough data	The K-12 Academy will implement the written <i>School Engagement Plan</i> clearly defined, inclusive of family-based, culture-based, academic-based, and student-wellness based strategies by 2024-2025.	The K-12 Academy will develop a written <i>School Engagement Plan</i> clearly defined, inclusive of family-based, culture-based, academic-based, and student-wellness based strategies by 2023-2024.

The persons who have executed this agreement represent that they are duly authorized to execute this agreement on behalf of the party for whom they are signing.

Signatory Partner Entities-Required

District Superintendent	District Board President
SIGNATURE Kerri Smith Kerri Smith (Apr 13, 2023 12:36 EDT)	SIGNATURE Dan Piepszowski Dan Piepszowski (Apr 14, 2023 07:28 EDT)
PRINTED NAME	PRINTED NAME
DATE	DATE

ISD Superintendent	PSA Authorizer (if applicable)		
SIGNATURE Daveda J. Collect	SIGNATURE Corey Northrop Corey Northrop (Apr 17, 2023 13:46 EDT)		
PRINTED NAME	PRINTED NAME		
Daveda J. Colbert, Ph.D.	Corey Northrop, Exec Director		
DATE	DATE		
4/17/2023	04/17/2023		

Michigan Department of Education					
SIGNATU	Uilliam a. Pearson				
PRINTED NAME William A. Pearson					
DATE	5/10/2023				

Partnership Agreement

Additional Partners - Not Required

UNION NAME	PARTNER ORGANIZATION OR ENTITY NAME 1
SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
UNION REPRESENTATIVE PRINTED NAME	PARTNER RESPRESENTATIVE PRINTED NAME
DATE	DATE

PARTNER ORGANIZATION OR ENTITY 2	PARTNER ORGANIZATION OR ENTITY 3
SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
PARTNER RESPRESENTATIVE PRINTED NAME	PARTNER RESPRESENTATIVE PRINTED NAME
DATE	DATE

13 P a ge v . 2 02 2. 1 2. 0 2

Appendix A Levels of Support and District Requirements

Expectation	Fundamental	Essential	Intensive	Responsible Party
Liaison schedules on-site meetings with district leadership to review partnership agreement progress	Every other month (minimum)	Monthly (minimum)	Twice a month	OPD
Liaison schedules on-site meetings with district leadership and ISD representatives to review partnership agreement progress	Quarterly	Every other month	Monthly (Includes OPD director/ asst. director)	OPD
OPD director or assistant director conducts school visits	Twice a Year	Quarterly	Monthly	OPD
OPD director or assistant director participates in writing the partnership agreement	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Applicable	OPD
District superintendent conducts presentation(s) at local school board meetings regarding partnership agreement progress	Not Applicable	Annually	Semi- Annually	District
Required to consider local school board training, potentially by MASB or a similar organization	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Applicable	District

(From OPD's Comprehensive Guide)

Appendix A Levels of Support and District Requirements

Expectation	Fundamental	Essential	Intensive	Responsible Party
Districts must disaggregate				
data at the school level to		\checkmark		District
determine areas of need.				
Districts must participate in MI			\checkmark	District
Data Hub.	N	N	N	District
Districts are required to				
complete surveys as requested	1	1	1	
by OPD, including EPIC's annual	N			District
survey.				
Districts must develop 18-				
month interim target	,	,		
benchmarks and aligned 36-	\checkmark			District
month end target outcomes.				
Districts must include				
accountability measures in the				
partnership agreement;	\checkmark	\checkmark		District
traditional districts must include				
"reconstitution"; PSAs may				
include "reconstitution."				
Districts must include specific				
actions to be taken by all		\checkmark		District
partners in the partnership	v	v	v	District
agreement.				
Districts are encouraged to				
have at least one community				
partner based on the needs	\checkmark	\checkmark		District
assessment in the partnership				
agreement.				
Districts/Liaisons are to ensure				
a representative from MDE,				
OPD, and the ISD attends		\checkmark		District
partnership agreement	,	,	,	OPD
meetings.				
Liaison facilitates the		1		
completion of a needs				
-		\checkmark	\checkmark	OPD
assessment utilizing MICIP and	Ň	Ň	·N	
assists the district in developing				
the partnership agreement.				
Liaison facilitates Benchmark	1	1	1	
Review (BR) and AOE	\checkmark			OPD
preparation.				
Liaison facilitates 21(h)				
requests to ensure alignment		\checkmark	\checkmark	OPD
with the partnership	v	v	v	
agreement.				

(From OPD's Comprehensive Guide)

Appendix B Partnership Agreement Development Timeline

Action Item	When	How	Responsible Party
Schools Identified for CSI,	November 10, 2022	MDE	Office of Educational
ATS, TSI Support		Memorandum	Assessment and Accountability
Notification and Assignment as Partnership District (CSI Schools)	November 29, 2022	OPD Letter	OPD Director
Partnership Agreement (PA) Assignment - Levels of Support Webinar	December 12, 2022 AM December 14, 2022 AM December 14, 2022 PM	Virtual	OPD
Introductory Meeting w/Assigned PAL, OPD Director, or Asst. Director (if applicable), and District Superintendent/PSA School Leader	December 15, 2022 - January 13, 2023	Virtual or In- Person	OPD PAL OPD Director or Asst. Director*
Partnership Agreement Development Meeting	December 16, 2022 – February 3, 2023	In-Person	OPD PAL OPD Director or Asst. Director*
Intermediate Meetings to Complete MICIP Needs Assessment, Develop, and Complete PA	December 19, 2022 – April 14, 2023	In-Person	District ISD/RESA OPD PAL OPD Director or Asst. Director*
Obtain Signatures for PA and submit it to the MDE	By April 17, 2023	TBD by District	District PAL Superintendent/ PSA School Leader
PA Implementation	No Later Than April 18, 2023	Signatures of required parties	

(From OPD's Comprehensive Guide)

*If applicable

Appendix D Public School Academies

This Appendix applies if the school named in the Partnership Agreement is a public school academy as defined in MCL 380.5(7).

A. Period of Agreement. Termination of the charter contract between the public school academy and the authorizer ("Contract") shall not affect the Agreement term. If the Authorizer terminates or does not reauthorize the Contract, the Authorizer's obligations under this Agreement automatically terminate on the same day as the Contract terminates. If a different authorizing body issues a new charter contract to the Academy, the Academy must ensure before executing that charter contract that the new authorizing body has signed the Agreement and assumes the authorizer's rights and obligations under the Agreement for the remainder of its term.

Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent the Academy or Authorizer from exercising other termination or revocation rights set forth in the Contract or under applicable law.