DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
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Notice of Fund Availability (NOFA) for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, 2009

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of fund availability, waivers granted, alternative requirements applied, and statutory program requirements.

SUMMARY: This notice advises the public of the fund availability, competitions criteria, alternative requirements, and the waivers of regulations granted to recipients under an allocation of funds provided under the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-005)(Recovery Act ) for additional activities under Division B, Title III of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-289)(HERA), as amended, for the purpose of assisting in the redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed homes under the Emergency Assistance for Redevelopment of Abandoned and Foreclosed Homes heading, referred to throughout this notice as the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). As described in the Supplementary Information section of this notice, HUD is authorized by statute to specify waivers and alternative requirements for this purpose. This notice also notes statutory issues affecting program design and implementation.

DATES: Issuance Date: May 4, 2009.

Deadline for Receipt of Application: July 17, 2009. Applications must be received via paper submission to the Robert C. Weaver HUD Headquarters building by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley Gimont, Director, Office of Block
Appendix I: Program Requirements

Notice of Fund Availability, Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2

The Department is using this Appendix to provide grant recipients, grant administrators and HUD field staff the program requirements and information about ways in which the requirements for NSP2 vary from regular CDBG and NSP1 program rules. Except as described in this notice, statutory and regulatory provisions governing the CDBG program shall apply to the use of these funds. State requirements include those at 24 CFR part 570 subpart I and for CDBG entitlement communities and other NSP2 recipients, those at 24 CFR part 570 subparts A, C, D, J, K, and O. For the purposes of NSP2, all non-governmental recipients shall comply with requirements applicable to entitlement communities under CDBG regulations, except nonprofit recipients are subject to (1) administrative requirements in 24 CFR 570.502(b) instead of 570.502(a) (see section M), (2) environmental review requirements in 24 CFR Part 50 when lacking a governmental consortium member with jurisdiction over a project (see section T), and (3) requirements for affirmatively furthering fair housing (see section S). Other sections of this Appendix will provide further details of the changes, the majority of which concern adjustments necessitated by HERA and Recovery Act provisions, simplifying program rules to expedite administration, or relate to the ability of state recipients to act directly instead of solely through distribution to local governments.
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For purposes of the NSP2 program, nonprofits will be subject to CDBG regulations for entitlement communities unless otherwise noted. The key differences are that nonprofits will be subject to (1) administrative requirements in 24 CFR 570.502(b) instead of 570.502(a), (2) environmental review requirements in 24 CFR Part 50 (see section T), and (3) requirements for affirmatively furthering fair housing (see section S).

Because it is a competitive program, HUD is treating a recipient’s use of its NSP2 grant independently of the consolidated plan and annual action plan process. The NSP2 grant is a special CDBG allocation to address the problem of abandoned and foreclosed homes. HERA, Recovery Act and the NSP2 competition notice establish the need, target the geographic areas, and define the eligible uses of NSP2 funds. Treating the NSP2 independently from the regular CDBG submission requirements implements the Recovery Act direction to allocate funds competitively and facilitates the distribution of NSP funds, while ensuring citizen participation
on the specific use of the funds. Therefore, consolidated plan requirements at 24 CFR 91 are not applicable, including the certification of consistency with the consolidated plan to mean the NSP funds will be used to meet the congressionally identified needs of abandoned and foreclosed homes in the targeted areas set forth in the recipient’s application. In addition, HUD is waiving the consolidated plan regulations to the extent necessary to adjust reporting to fit the requirements of the Recovery Act, the NSP2 competition and the use of the DRGR.

The waivers, alternative requirements, and statutory changes apply only to the grant funds appropriated under the Recovery Act and not to the use of regular formula allocations of CDBG funds and NSP1, even if they are used in conjunction with NSP2 funds for a project. They provide expedited program implementation and implement statutory requirements unique to this appropriation.

A. Definitions for purposes of the CDBG Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2

Background

Certain terms are used in HERA that are not used in the regular CDBG program, or the terms are used differently in HERA and the HCD Act. In the interest of speed and clarity of administration, HUD is defining these terms in this notice for all NSP2 recipients. States may define other program terms under the authority of 24 CFR 570.481(a), and will be given maximum feasible deference in accordance with 24 CFR 570.480(c) in matters related to the administration of their NSP programs.

Requirement

Abandoned. A home is abandoned when mortgage or tax foreclosure proceedings have been initiated for that property, no mortgage or tax payments have been made by the property owner for at least 90 days, AND the property has been vacant for at least 90 days.
**Blighted structure.** A structure is blighted when it exhibits objectively determinable signs of deterioration sufficient to constitute a threat to human health, safety, and public welfare.

**CDBG funds.** CDBG funds means, in addition to the definition at 24 CFR 570.3, NSP2 grant funds distributed under this notice.

**Current market appraised value.** The current market appraised value means the value of a foreclosed upon home or residential property that is established through an appraisal made in conformity with the appraisal requirements of the URA at 49 CFR 24.103 and completed within 60 days prior to an offer made for the property by a recipient, subrecipient, developer, or individual homebuyer; provided, however, if the anticipated value of the proposed acquisition is estimated at $25,000 or less, the current market appraised value of the property may be established by a valuation of the property that is based on a review of available data and is made by a person the recipient determines is qualified to make the valuation.

**Foreclosed.** A property “has been foreclosed upon” at the point that, under state or local law, the mortgage or tax foreclosure is complete. HUD generally will not consider a foreclosure to be complete until after the title for the property has been transferred from the former homeowner under some type of foreclosure proceeding or transfer in lieu of foreclosure, in accordance with state or local law.

**Land bank.** A land bank is a governmental or nongovernmental nonprofit entity established, at least in part, to assemble, temporarily manage, and dispose of vacant land for the purpose of stabilizing neighborhoods and encouraging re-use or redevelopment of urban property. For the purposes of the NSP program, a land bank will operate in a specific, defined geographic area. It will purchase properties that have been foreclosed upon and maintain, assemble, facilitate redevelopment of, market, and dispose of the land-banked properties. If the
land bank is a governmental entity, it may also maintain foreclosed property that it does not own, provided it charges the owner of the property the full cost of the service or places a lien on the property for the full cost of the service.

*Subrecipient.* Subrecipient shall have the same meaning as at the first sentence of 24 CFR 570.500(c). This includes any nonprofit organization (including a unit of general local government) that an NSP2 recipient awards funds to. The term also includes any land bank receiving NSP funds from the recipient or other subrecipient.

*Use for the purposes of section 2301(c)(1).* Funds are used when they are obligated by a state, unit of general local government, a nonprofit entity, consortium of nonprofit entities, or any subrecipient thereof, for a specific NSP activity. Funds are obligated for an activity when orders are placed, contracts are awarded, services are received, and similar transactions have occurred that require payment by the state, unit of general local government, nonprofit entity, consortium of nonprofit entities, or a subrecipient during the same or a future period. Note that funds are not obligated for an activity when subawards (e.g., grants to subrecipients or to units of local government) are made.

**B. Pre-grant process**

**Background**

To expedite the process and to ensure that the NSP2 grants are awarded in a timely manner, while preserving reasonable citizen participation, HUD is requiring a minimum time for citizen comments of 10 days. Application materials relating to target geography and proposed uses of funds must be posted on the applicant’s official website as the materials are developed, published, and submitted to HUD. Applicants will also be required to provide the website address of the proposed and final plans to HUD for posting on HUD’s program website.
Applicants are cautioned that, despite the competition process, they are still responsible for ensuring that all citizens have equal access to information about the programs. Among other things, this means that each recipient must take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to programs to persons with limited English proficiency (LEP), pursuant to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. This may mean providing language assistance services or ensuring that program information is available in the appropriate languages for the geographic area targeted by the applicant. Applicants must be aware of LEP speaking populations in their targeted geography. See Section III.C.4.f. of the General Section for further guidance.

Accordingly, the following describes the expedited steps for NSP2 grants:

- Proposed uses of funds and target geography published via the general news media and on the Internet for no less than 10 calendar days of public comment;
- Final uses of funds and target geography posted on the Internet and submitted to HUD in accordance with this Notice;
- HUD reviews applications, determines fundable applications, requests consortium funding agreements, if applicable;
- HUD selects recipients and prepares a cover letter, grant agreement, and grant conditions;
- Grant agreement signed by HUD and transmitted to the recipient;
- Recipient signs and returns the grant agreement within 30 days;
- HUD establishes the line of credit and the recipient requests and receives DRGR access;
- After the environmental review(s) pursuant to 24 CFR part 50 (nonprofits) or 58 (States or units of general local government) are completed and, as applicable, the recipient receives notice of completion from HUD (nonprofits) or an approval from HUD or the State of the Request for Release of Funds and certification (governmental entities), the
recipient may draw-down funds from the line of credit.

**Requirement**

1. **General note.** Except as described in this notice, statutory and regulatory provisions governing the CDBG program for states and entitlement communities, as applicable, shall apply to the use of these funds. In general, nonprofits are subject to the rules applicable to entitlement communities, unless modified by this Notice.

2. **Continued affordability.** Recipients shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable and for the longest feasible term, that the sale, rental, or redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed-upon homes and residential properties under this section remain affordable to individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of area median income or, for units originally assisted with funds under the requirements of HERA, Section 2301(f)(3)(A)(ii), remain affordable to individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

   a. In its NSP2 application, the applicant will define “affordable rents” and the continued affordability standards and enforcement mechanisms that it will apply for each (or all) of its NSP2 activities. HUD will consider any applicant adopting the HOME program standards at 24 CFR 92.252(a), (c), (e), and (f), and 92.254 to be in minimal compliance with this standard and expects any other standards proposed and applied by an applicant to be enforceable and longer in duration. (Note that HERA’s continued affordability standard is longer than that required of subrecipients and participating units of general local government under 24 CFR 570.503 and 570.501(b).)

   b. The recipient must require each NSP2-assisted homebuyer to receive and complete at least 8 hours of homebuyer counseling from a HUD-approved housing counseling agency before
obtaining a mortgage loan. If the recipient is unable to meet this requirement for a good cause (e.g., there are no HUD-approved housing counseling agencies within the recipient’s jurisdiction, or there are no HUD-approved housing counseling agencies within the recipient’s jurisdiction that engage in homebuyer counseling), the recipient may submit a request to its HUD field office for an exception to this requirement. Upon a determination of good cause, HUD may, subject to statutory limitations, grant an exception to this provision. Each exception must be in writing and specify the grounds for approving the exception. The recipient must ensure that the homebuyer obtains a mortgage loan from a lender who agrees to comply with the bank regulators’ guidance for non-traditional mortgages (see, Statement on Subprime Mortgage Lending issued by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Department of the Treasury, and National Credit Union Administration, available at http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/laws/rules/5000-5160.html).

Recipients must design NSP2 programs to comply with this requirement and must document compliance in the records, for each homebuyer. Recipients are cautioned against providing or permitting homebuyers to obtain subprime mortgages for whom such mortgages are inappropriate, including homebuyers who qualify for traditional mortgage loans.

c. If NSP2 funds assist a property that was previously assisted with HOME funds, but on which the affordability restrictions were terminated through foreclosure or transfer in lieu of foreclosure, the recipient must revive the HOME affordability restrictions for the greater of the remaining period of HOME affordability or the continuing affordability requirements of this notice.

3.a. HUD is requiring applicants to provide no fewer than 10 calendar days for citizen comment. Specifically, applicants must, at least 10 days prior to application submission to HUD, post
information on (1) the amount of money, (2) uses of funds, and (3) in which target geography, it plans to address in its NSP2 program on its official website. With their NSP2 application package, applicants must include a summary of citizen comments received during the comment period and the URL address of the website where the plan is posted.

b. HUD is also requiring applicants to publish planned program information on (1) the amount of money, (2) uses of funds, and (3) the target geography in a newspaper of general circulation or other general news media outlets that cover the target geography of the application.

c. NSP2 recipients must provide a timely written response to every citizen complaint within an established period of time (within 15 days working days, where practicable).

4. DUNS Requirement and Central Contractor Registration. In accordance with the Notice of HUD’s FY2009 Notice of Funding Availability, Policy Requirements and General Section (73 FR 79548) (Dec. 29, 2008) (General Section), all applicants must obtain a DUNS number and have an active registration in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) to receive funds from HUD. Information on obtaining a DUNS number is available at http://www.hud.gov/grants/index.cfm. Information on CCR registration is available at http://www.ccr.gov/Renew.aspx and http://www.ccr.gov/Help.aspx.

C. Reimbursement for pre-award costs

Background

NSP2 recipients will need to move forward rapidly to undertake administrative actions, as soon as awards are announced. Therefore, HUD is granting permission for recipients to incur pre-award costs as if each was a new recipient preparing to receive its first allocation of CDBG funds. Applicants taking advantage of this waiver do so entirely at their own risk, as they may not be selected for NSP2 funding.
Requirement

24 CFR 570.200(h) is waived to the extent necessary to grant permission to applicants under this notice to incur pre-award costs as described in this Notice. Similarly, in accordance with OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, paragraph 31, HUD is allowing states applying to HUD under this Notice to incur pre-award costs under the same terms. Nongovernmental entities cannot incur pre-award costs for activities other than administrative and planning because all other activities require an environmental review.

D. Grant conditions

For NSP2 recipients that HUD determines are high risk in accordance with 24 CFR 85.12(a) (governments) and 84.14 (nonprofits), HUD will apply additional grant conditions in accordance with 24 CFR 85.12(b) and 84.14.

E. Income eligibility requirement changes

Background

The NSP2 program includes two low- and moderate-income requirements at Section 2301(f)(3)(A) of HERA that supersede existing CDBG income qualification requirements. Under the heading “Low and Moderate Income Requirement,” HERA states that:

“all of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this section shall be used with respect to individuals and families whose income does not exceed 120 percent of area median income.”

Thus, NSP2 allows the use of only the low- and moderate-income national objective. Activities may not qualify for NSP2 under other CDBG national objectives using the “prevent or eliminate slums and blight” or “address urgent community development needs” objectives.

Second, this provision also redefines and supersedes the definition of “low- and
“moderate-income,” effectively allowing households whose incomes exceed 80 percent of area median income but do not exceed 120 percent of area median income to qualify as if their incomes did not exceed the published low- and moderate-income levels of the regular CDBG program. To prevent confusion, HUD will refer to this new income group as “middle income,” and keep the regular CDBG definitions of “low-income” and “moderate income” in use. Further, HUD will characterize aggregated households whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of median income as “low-, moderate-, and middle-income households,” abbreviated as LMMH. For the purposes of NSP2, an activity may meet the HERA low- and moderate-income national objective if the assisted activity:

- provides or improves permanent residential structures that will be occupied by a household whose income is at or below 120 percent of area median income (abbreviated as LMMH);
- serves an area in which at least 51 percent of the residents have incomes at or below 120 percent of area median income (LMMA); or
- serves a limited clientele whose incomes are at or below 120 percent of area median income (LMMC).

HUD uses the parenthetical terms above to refer to NSP national objectives in program implementation, to avoid confusion with the regular HCD Act definitions.

For recipients choosing to assist land banks or demolition of structures with NSP funds, the change to the income qualification level for low-, moderate-, and middle-income areas will likely include most of the neighborhoods where property stabilization is required. If an assisted land bank is not merely acquiring properties, but is also carrying out other activities intended to arrest neighborhood decline, such as maintenance, demolition, and facilitating redevelopment of
the properties, HUD will, for NSP-assisted activities only, accept that the acquisition and management activities of the land bank may provide sufficient benefit to an area generally (as described in 24 CFR 570.208(a)(1) and 570.483(b)(1)) to meet a national objective (LMMA) prior to final disposition of the banked property. HUD notes that the recipient must determine the actual service area benefiting from a land bank’s activities, in accordance with the regulations.

However, HUD does not believe the benefits of just holding property are sufficient to stabilize most neighborhoods or that this is the best use of limited NSP funds absent a re-use plan. Therefore, HUD is requiring that a land bank may not hold a property for more than 10 years without obligating the property for a specific, eligible redevelopment of that property in accordance with NSP requirements.

Note that if a state provides funds to an entitlement community, the entitlement community must apply the area median income levels applicable to its regular CDBG program geography and not the “balance of state” levels.

Other than the change in the applicable low- and moderate-income qualification level from 80 percent to 120 percent, the area benefit, housing, and limited clientele benefit requirements at 570.208(a) and 570.483(b) remain unchanged, as does the required documentation.

The other NSP low- and moderate-income related provision states that:

“not less than 25 percent of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this section shall be used for the purchase and redevelopment of abandoned or foreclosed homes or residential properties that will be used to house individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.”
HUD advises recipients to take note of this new threshold as they design NSP activities. This provision does not have a parallel in the regular CDBG program. Applicants must document that an amount equal to at least 25 percent of the requested NSP2 grant amount has been budgeted in the NSP2 application for activities that will provide housing for income-qualified individuals or families. Prior to and at grant closeout, HUD will review recipients for compliance with this provision by determining whether at least 25 percent of grant funds have been expended for housing for individual households whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

Requirements

1. Overall benefit supersession and alternative requirement. The requirements at 42 U.S.C. 5301(c), 42 U.S.C. 5304(b)(3)(A), 24 CFR 570.484 (for states), and 24 CFR 570.200(a)(3) that 70 percent of funds are for activities that benefit low- and moderate-income persons are superseded and replaced by section 2301(f)(3)(A) of HERA. One hundred percent of NSP funds must be used to benefit individuals and households whose income does not exceed 120 percent of area median income. NSP shall refer to such households as “low-, moderate-, and middle-income.”

2. National objectives supersession and alternative requirements. The requirements at 42 U.S.C 5301(c) are superseded and 24 CFR 570.208(a) and 570.483 are waived to the extent necessary to allow the following alternative requirements:

a. for purposes of NSP only, the term “low- and moderate-income person” as it appears throughout the CDBG regulations at 24 CFR part 570 shall be defined as a member of a low-, moderate-, and middle-income household, and the term “low- and moderate-income household” as it appears throughout the CDBG regulations shall be defined as a household having an income
equal to or less than 120 percent of area median income, measured as 2.4 times the current Section 8 income limit for households below 50 percent of median income, adjusted for family size. A state choosing to carry out an activity directly must apply the requirements of 24 CFR 570.208(a) to determine whether the activity has met the low-, moderate-, and middle-income (LMMI) national objective and must maintain the documentation required at 24 CFR 570.506 to demonstrate compliance to HUD.

b. The national objectives related to prevention and elimination of slums and blight and addressing urgent community development needs (24 CFR 570.208(b) and (c) and 570.483(c) and (d)) are not applicable to NSP-assisted activities.

c. Each applicant whose application includes assisting rental housing shall develop and make public its definition of affordable rents for NSP-assisted rental projects.

d. An NSP-assisted property may not be held in a land bank for more than 10 years without obligating the property for a specific, eligible redevelopment of that property in accordance with NSP2 requirements. Recipients that have NSP2 funded properties in land banks at the three year expenditure deadline will be required to fulfill this duty as part of their grant close-out agreement.

e. Not less than 25 percent of any NSP grant shall be used for the purchase and redevelopment of abandoned or foreclosed homes or residential properties that will be used to house individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

F. State distribution to entitlement communities and Indian Tribes

Background

Unlike the regular CDBG program, States receiving allocations under this notice may distribute funds to or within any jurisdiction within the state that is among those with the greatest
need, even if the jurisdiction is among those receiving a direct formula allocation of funds from HUD under the regular CDBG program. However, to ensure swift program implementation, HUD strongly urges state applicants to take advantage of their authority provided for NSP2 that allow States to carry out activities directly rather than distributing the funds as is usual under the annual State CDBG program.

Requirement

In accordance with the direction of HERA that recipients distribute funds to the areas of greatest need and the nature of the competitive program under the Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 5302(a)(7) (definition of “nonentitlement area”) and 24 CFR part 570, including 24 CFR 570.480(a), do not apply to NSP2 funding. There is no prohibition on states receiving NSP2 funds from distributing such funds to units of general local government in entitlement communities or to Tribes. The appropriations law supersedes the statutory distribution prohibition at 42 U.S.C. 5306(d)(1) and (2)(A).

G. State’s direct action

Background

In the State CDBG program, states receiving CDBG funds may not directly use the funds for activities, but must distribute them to units of general local government, which then use the funds for program activities. States may still use this “method of distribution” program model under NSP, but HUD reminds the states of the 2- and 3-year deadlines for expenditure of grant funds.

Therefore, a state receiving NSP funds may carry out NSP activities directly for some or all of its assisted grant activities, just as CDBG entitlement communities do under 24 CFR 570.200(f), including, but not limited to, carrying out activities using its own employees,
procuring contractors, private developers, and providing loans and grants through nonprofit subrecipients (including local governments and other public nonprofits such as regional or local planning or development authorities and public housing authorities).

For those activities a state chooses to carry out directly, HUD strongly advises the state to adopt the recordkeeping required for an entitlement community at 570.506 and the subrecipient agreement provisions at 570.503. Also, in such cases, as an alternative requirement to 42 U.S.C. 5304(i), the state may retain and re-use program income as if it were an entitlement community.

HUD is granting regulatory waivers of State CDBG regulations to conform the applicable management, real property change of use, and recordkeeping rules when a state chooses to carry out activities as if it were an entitlement community.

Requirements

1. Responsibility for state review and handling of noncompliance. This change conforms NSP requirements with the waiver allowing the state to carry out activities directly. 24 CFR 570.492 is waived and the following alternative requirement applies: The state shall make reviews and audits, including on-site reviews of any subrecipients, designated public agencies, and units of general local government as may be necessary or appropriate to meet the requirements of 42 U.S.C.5304(e)(2), as amended, as modified by this notice. In the case of noncompliance with these requirements, the state shall take such actions as may be appropriate to prevent a continuance of the deficiency, mitigate any adverse effects or consequences, and prevent a recurrence. The state shall establish remedies for noncompliance by any designated public agencies or units of general local government and for its subrecipients.

2. Change of use of real property for state recipients acting directly. This waiver conforms the change of use of real property rule to the waiver allowing a state to carry out activities directly.
For purposes of this program, in 24 CFR 570.489(j), (j)(1), and the last sentence of (j)(2), “unit of general local government” shall be read as “unit of general local government or state.”

3. Recordkeeping for a state recipient acting directly. Recognizing that the state may carry out activities directly, 24 CFR 570.490(b) is waived in such a case and the following alternative provision shall apply: state records. The state shall establish and maintain such records as may be necessary to facilitate review and audit by HUD of the state's administration of NSP funds under 24 CFR 570.493. Consistent with applicable statutes, regulations, waivers and alternative requirements, and other federal requirements, the content of records maintained by the state shall be sufficient to: (1) enable HUD to make the applicable determinations described at 24 CFR 570.493; (2) make compliance determinations for activities carried out directly by the state; and (3) show how activities funded are consistent with the descriptions of activities proposed for funding in the application. For fair housing and equal opportunity purposes, and as applicable, such records shall include data on the racial, ethnic, and gender characteristics of persons who are applicants for, participants in, or beneficiaries of the program.

4. State compliance with certifications for state recipients acting directly. This is a conforming change related to the waiver to allow a state to act directly. Because a state recipient under this appropriation may carry out activities directly, HUD is applying the regulations at 24 CFR 570.480(c) with respect to the basis for HUD determining whether the state has failed to carry out its certifications, so that such basis shall be that the state has failed to carry out its certifications in compliance with applicable program requirements.

5. Clarifying note on the process for environmental release of funds when a State carries out activities directly. Usually, a state distributes CDBG funds to units of local government and takes on HUD's role in receiving environmental certifications from the grant recipients and
approving releases of funds. For this grant, HUD will allow a state recipient to also carry out activities directly instead of distributing them to other governments. According to the environmental regulations at 24 CFR 58.4, when a state carries out activities directly, the state must submit the certification and request for release of funds to HUD for approval.

**H. Eligibility and allowable costs**

**Background**

Most of the activities eligible under NSP represent a subset of the eligible activities under 42 U.S.C. 5305(a). Due to limitations in the reporting system, DRGR, the NSP-eligible uses must be correlated with CDBG-eligible activities. This correlation also reduces implementation risks, because it will ensure that the NSP grants are administered largely in accordance with long-established CDBG rules and controls. The table in the requirements paragraph below shows the eligible uses under NSP and the corresponding eligible activities from the regulations for the regular CDBG entitlement program that HUD has determined best correspond to those uses. If a recipient creates a program design that includes a CDBG-eligible activity that is not shown in the table to support an NSP2-eligible use, the Department is providing an alternative requirement to 42 U.S.C. 5305(a) that HUD may allow a recipient an additional eligible-activity category if HUD finds the activity to be in compliance with the NSP statute. NSP2 recipients should note that the Recovery Act amended the HERA eligible uses 2301(c)(3)(C) (land banks) and 2301(c)(3)(E) (redevelopment of demolished or vacant property) to read as shown in the table below. As under the regular CDBG program, recipients may fund costs, such as reasonable developer’s fees, related to NSP2-assisted housing rehabilitation or construction activities. Regular CDBG administration and planning caps are not applicable to NSP grants, because some of the costs usually allocated (for example, the costs of completing the entire consolidated plan
process) would be excessive in the context of the NSP program. HUD is therefore providing an alternative requirement that an amount of up to 10 percent of an NSP grant provided to a jurisdiction and of up to 10 percent of program income earned may be used for general administration and planning activities as those are defined at 24 CFR 570.205 and 206. For all recipients, including states, nonprofit entities and consortia of nonprofit entities, the 10 percent limitation applies to the grant as a whole. The regulatory and statutory requirements for state match for program administration at 24 CFR 570.489 (a)(i) are superseded by the statutory direction at HERA, Section 2301(e)(2) that no matching funds shall be required for a state or unit of general local government to receive a grant.

Requirements

1. Use of grant funds must constitute an eligible use under HERA as amended by the Recovery Act.

2. In addition to being an eligible NSP use of funds, each activity funded under this notice must also be CDBG-eligible under 42 U.S.C. 5305(a) and meet a CDBG national objective.

3.a. Certain CDBG-eligible activities correlate to specific NSP2-eligible uses and vice versa. 42 U.S.C. 5305(a) and 24 CFR 570.201-207 and 482(a) through (d) are superseded to the extent necessary to allow the eligible uses described under section 2301(c)(3) of HERA in accordance with this paragraph (including the table and subparagraphs below) or with permission granted, in writing, by HUD upon a written request by the recipient that demonstrates that the proposed activity constitutes an eligible use under NSP. All NSP recipients, including states, will use the NSP categories and CDBG entitlement regulations listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NSP-Eligible Uses</th>
<th>Correlated Eligible Activities From the CDBG Entitlement Regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Establish financing mechanisms for purchase and redevelopment of</td>
<td>• As part of an activity delivery cost for an eligible activity as defined in 24 CFR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
foreclosed upon homes and residential properties, including such mechanisms as soft-seconds, loan loss reserves, and shared-equity loans for low- and moderate-income homebuyers

| (B) Purchase and rehabilitate homes and residential properties that have been abandoned or foreclosed upon, in order to sell, rent, or redevelop such homes and properties | 
| 570.206. |
| ● Also, the eligible activities listed below to the extent financing mechanisms are used to carry them out. |

| (C) Establish land banks for homes and residential properties that have been foreclosed upon | 24 CFR 570.201(a) Acquisition and (b) Disposition. |

| (D) Demolish blighted structures | 24 CFR 570.201(d) Clearance for blighted structures only. |

| (E) Redevelop demolished or vacant properties as housing | 24 CFR 570.201(a) Acquisition, (b) Disposition, (c) Public facilities and improvements, (e) Public services for housing counseling, but only to the extent that counseling beneficiaries are limited to prospective purchasers or tenants of the redeveloped properties, (i) Relocation, and (n) Direct homeownership assistance (as modified below). |
| ● 24 CFR 570.202 Eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for demolished or vacant properties. |
| ● 24 CFR 570.204 Community based development organizations. |
| ● HUD notes that any of the activities listed above may include required homebuyer counseling as an activity delivery cost |

b. HUD will not consider requests to allow foreclosure prevention activities, or to allow demolition of structures that are not blighted, or to allow purchase of residential properties and homes that have not been abandoned or foreclosed upon as provided in HERA and defined in
this notice. HUD does not have the authority to permit uses or activities not authorized by HERA.

c. New construction of housing is eligible as part of eligible-use (E) to redevelop demolished or vacant properties as housing.

d. 24 CFR 570.201(n) is waived and an alternative requirement provided for 42 U.S.C. 5305(a) to the extent necessary to allow provision of NSP-assisted homeownership assistance to persons whose income does not exceed 120 percent of median income.

e. No NSP2 funds may be used to demolish any public housing (as defined by Section 3 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437a)).

f. In accordance with the Recovery Act, a recipient may not use more than 10 percent of its grant for demolition activities under HERA, Section 2301(c)(3)(C) and (D) unless the Secretary determines that such use represents an appropriate response to local market conditions. Applicants seeking to use more than 10 percent of their grant amounts on demolition activities must request a waiver as part of the application process.

4. Alternative requirement for the limitation on planning and administrative costs. 24 CFR 570.200(g) and 570.489(a)(3) are waived to the extent necessary to allow each recipient under this notice to expend no more than 10 percent of its grant amount, plus 10 percent of the amount of program income received by the recipient, for activities eligible under 24 CFR 570.205 or 206. The requirements at 24 CFR 570.489 are waived to the extent that they require a state match for general administrative costs. (States may use NSP2 funds under this 10 percent limitation to provide technical assistance to local governments and nonprofit program participants.)

I. Rehabilitation standards
Background

HERA provides that any NSP-assisted rehabilitation of a foreclosed-upon home or residential property shall be to the extent necessary to comply with applicable laws, codes, and other requirements relating to housing safety, quality, and habitability, in order to sell, rent, or redevelop such homes and properties. This means that each applicant must describe or reference in its NSP2 application what rehabilitation standards it will apply for NSP2-assisted rehabilitation. HUD will monitor to ensure the standards are implemented.

HERA defines rehabilitation to include improvements to increase the energy efficiency or conservation of such homes and properties or to provide a renewable energy source or sources for such homes and properties. HUD has strongly encouraged NSP1 recipients to use grant funds not only to stabilize neighborhoods in the short-term, but to strategically incorporate modern, green building and energy-efficiency improvements in all NSP activities to provide for long-term affordability and increased sustainability and attractiveness of housing and neighborhoods. Several rating factors in the NSP2 Notice, including especially rating factors 5 and 6, also incorporate these program features.

J. Sale of homes

Background

Section 2301(d)(3) of HERA directs that, if an abandoned or foreclosed-upon home or residential property is purchased, redeveloped, or otherwise sold to an individual as a primary residence, then such sale shall be in an amount equal to or less than the cost to acquire and redevelop or rehabilitate such home or property up to a decent, safe, and habitable condition. (Sales and closing costs are eligible NSP redevelopment or rehabilitation costs.) Note that the maximum sales price for a property is determined by aggregating all costs of acquisition,
rehabilitation, and redevelopment (including related activity delivery costs, which generally may include, among other items, costs related to the sale of the property).

Requirements

1. In its records, each recipient must maintain sufficient documentation about the purchase and sale amounts of each property and the sources and uses of funds for each activity so that HUD can determine whether the recipient is in compliance with this requirement. A recipient will be expected to provide this documentation individually for each activity.

2. In determining the sales price limitation, HUD will not consider the costs of boarding up, lawn mowing, simply maintaining the property in a static condition, or, in the absence of NSP-assisted rehabilitation or redevelopment of the property, the costs of completing a sales transaction or other disposition to be redevelopment or rehabilitation costs. These costs may not be included by the recipient in the determination of the sales price for an NSP-assisted property.

3. For reporting purposes only, for a housing program involving multiple single-family structures under the management of a single entity, HUD will permit reporting the aggregation of activity delivery costs across the total portfolio of projects until completion of the program or closeout of the grant with HUD, whichever comes earlier.

K. Acquisition and relocation

Background

Acquisition of Foreclosed-Upon Properties. HUD notes that section 2301(d)(1) of HERA conflicts with section 301(3) of the URA (42 U.S.C. 4651) and related regulatory requirements at 49 CFR 24.102(d). Section 2301(d)(1) of HERA requires that any acquisition of a foreclosed-upon home or residential property under NSP be at a discount from the current market-appraised value of the home or property and that such discount shall ensure that purchasers are paying below-
market value for the home or property. Section 301(3) of the URA, as implemented at 49 CFR 24.102(d), provides that an offer of just compensation shall not be less than the agency’s approved appraisal of the fair market value of such property. These URA acquisition policies apply to any acquisition of real property for a federally funded project, except for acquisitions described in 49 CFR 24.101(b)(1) through (5) (commonly referred to as “voluntary acquisitions”). As the more recent and specific statutory provision, section 2301(d)(1) of HERA prevails over section 301 of the URA for purposes of NSP-assisted acquisitions of foreclosed-upon homes or residential properties.

**NSP2 Appraisal Requirements.** As noted above, section 301 of the URA does not apply to voluntary acquisitions. While the URA and its regulations do not require appraisals for such acquisitions, the URA acquisition policies do not prohibit acquiring agencies from obtaining appraisals. Appendix A, 49 CFR 24.101(b)(2) acknowledges that acquiring agencies may still obtain an appraisal to support their determination of fair market value. Section 2301(d)(1) of HERA requires an appraisal for purposes of determining the statutory purchase discount. This appraisal requirement applies to any NSP-assisted acquisition of a foreclosed-upon home or residential property (including voluntary acquisitions).

**One-for-One Replacement.** HUD is providing an alternative requirement to the one-for-one replacement requirements set forth in 42 U.S.C. 5304(d)(2), as implemented at 24 CFR 42.375, because the additional workload of reviewing requests could cause a substantial backlog at HUD and delay NSP2 program operations. Therefore, the alternative requirement is that an NSP2 recipient will not be required to meet the requirements of 42 U.S.C. 5304(d), as implemented at 24 CFR 42.375, to provide one-for-one replacement of low- and moderate-income dwelling units demolished or converted in connection with activities assisted with NSP funds. Alternatively, each
recipient must submit the information described below relating to its demolition and conversion activities in its application. The recipient will report to HUD and citizens (via prominent posting of the DRGR reports on the recipient’s official Internet site) on progress related to these measures until the closeout of its grant with HUD.

URA requirements that do not conflict with HERA continue to apply. HUD is not specifying alternative requirements to the relocation assistance provisions at 42 U.S.C. 5304(d). Guidance on meeting these requirements is available on the HUD website and through local HUD field offices. HUD urges recipients to consider URA requirements in designing their programs and to remember that there are URA obligations related to voluntary and involuntary property acquisition activities, even for vacant and abandoned property. HUD reminds recipients, especially nonprofits, to be aware of the requirement to have and follow a residential anti-displacement and relocation plan for the CDBG and HOME programs. This requirement is not waived for those programs and continues to apply to activities assisted with regular CDBG and HOME funds.

The Recovery Act included several provisions concerning tenants’ rights that are applicable to acquisitions under HERA. A recipient must document its efforts to ensure that the initial successor in interest in a foreclosed upon dwelling or residential real property (typically, the initial successor in interest in property acquired through foreclosure is the lender or trustee for holders of obligations secured by mortgage liens) has provided bona fide tenants with the notice and other protections outlined in the Recovery Act. Recipients are cautioned that NSP funds may not be used to finance the acquisition of property from the initial successor in interest that failed to comply with applicable requirements unless it assumes the obligations of such initial successor in interest with respect to bona fide tenants. Recipients who elect to assume
such obligations are reminded that tenants displaced as a result of the NSP funded acquisition are entitled to the benefits outlined in 24 CFR 570.606.

Requirements

1. The one-for-one replacement requirements at 24 CFR 570.488, 570.606(c), and 42.375 are waived for low- and moderate-income dwelling units demolished or converted in connection with an activity assisted with NSP2 funds. As an alternative requirement to 42 U.S.C. 5304(d)(2)(A)(i) and (ii), each recipient planning to demolish or convert any low- and moderate-income dwelling units as a result of NSP2-assisted activities must identify all of the following information in its application:

   (a) the number of low- and moderate-income dwelling units reasonably expected to be demolished or converted as a direct result of NSP-assisted activities;

   (b) the number of NSP2 affordable housing units (made available to low-, moderate-, and middle-income households) reasonably expected to be produced, by activity and income level as provided for in DRGR, by each NSP2 activity providing such housing (including a proposed time schedule for commencement and completion); and

   (c) the number of dwelling units reasonably expected to be made available for households whose income does not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

The recipient must also report on actual performance for demolitions and production, as required elsewhere in this notice.

2. The following requirements apply to any foreclosed upon dwelling or residential real property that was acquired by the initial successor in interest pursuant to the foreclosure after February 17, 2009 and was occupied by a bona fide tenant at the time of foreclosure. The use of NSP funds
for acquisition of such property is subject to a determination by the recipient that the initial successor in interest complied with these requirements.

a. The initial successor in interest in a foreclosed upon dwelling or residential real property shall provide a notice to vacate to any *bona fide* tenant at least 90 days before the effective date of such notice. The initial successor in interest shall assume such interest subject to the rights of any *bona fide* tenant, as of the date of such notice of foreclosure: (i) under any *bona fide* lease entered into before the notice of foreclosure to occupy the premises until the end of the remaining term of the lease, except that a successor in interest may terminate a lease effective on the date of sale of the unit to a purchaser who will occupy the unit as a primary residence, subject to the receipt by the tenant of the 90-day notice under this paragraph; or (ii) without a lease or with a lease terminable at will under State law, subject to the receipt by the tenant of the 90-day notice under this paragraph, except that nothing in this section shall affect the requirements for termination of any Federal- or State-subsidized tenancy or of any State or local law that provides longer time periods or other additional protections for tenants.

b.i. In the case of any qualified foreclosed housing in which a recipient of assistance under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C 1437f) (the “Section 8 Program”) resides at the time of foreclosure, the initial successor in interest shall be subject to the lease and to the housing assistance payments contract for the occupied unit.

ii. Vacating the property prior to sale shall not constitute good cause for termination of the tenancy unless the property is unmarketable while occupied or unless the owner or subsequent purchaser desires the unit for personal or family use.

iii. If a public housing agency is unable to make payments under the contract to the immediate successor in interest after foreclosure, due to (A) an action or inaction by the successor in
interest, including the rejection of payments or the failure of the successor to maintain the unit in compliance with the Section 8 Program or (B) an inability to identify the successor, the agency may use funds that would have been used to pay the rental amount on behalf of the family—(1) to pay for utilities that are the responsibility of the owner under the lease or applicable law, after taking reasonable steps to notify the owner that it intends to make payments to a utility provider in lieu of payments to the owner, except prior notification shall not be required in any case in which the unit will be or has been rendered uninhabitable due to the termination or threat of termination of service, in which case the public housing agency shall notify the owner within a reasonable time after making such payment; or (2) for the family’s reasonable moving costs, including security deposit costs.

c. For purposes of this section, a lease or tenancy shall be considered *bona fide* only if: (i) the mortgagor under the contract is not the tenant; (ii) the lease or tenancy was the result of an arm’s length transaction; and (iii) the lease or tenancy requires the receipt of rent that is not substantially less than fair market rent for the property.

d. The recipient shall maintain documentation of its efforts to ensure that the initial successor in interest in a foreclosed upon dwelling or residential real property has complied with the requirements under section K.2.a. and K.2.b. If the recipient determines that the initial successor in interest in such property failed to comply with such requirements, it may not use NSP funds to finance the acquisition of such property unless it assumes the obligations of the initial successor in interest specified in section K.2.a. and K.2.b. If a recipient elects to assume such obligations, it must provide the relocation assistance required pursuant to 24 CFR 570.606 to tenants displaced as a result of an activity assisted with NSP funds and maintain records in sufficient detail to demonstrate compliance with the provisions of that section.
3. The recipient of any grant or loan made from NSP funds may not refuse to lease a dwelling unit in housing with such loan or grant to a participant under the Section 8 Program because of the status of the prospective tenant as such a participant.

4. This section shall not preempt any Federal, State or local law that provides more protections for tenants.

**L. Note on eminent domain**

Although section 2303 of HERA appears to allow some use of eminent domain for public purposes, HUD cautions recipients that section 2301(d)(1) may effectively ensure that all NSP-assisted property acquisitions must be voluntary acquisitions as the term is defined by the URA and its implementing regulations. HERA, Section 2301(d)(1) directs that any purchase of a foreclosed-upon home or residential property under NSP be at a discount from the current market appraised value of the home or property and that such discount shall ensure that purchasers are paying below-market value for the home or property. However, the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides that private property shall not be taken for public use without just compensation. The Supreme Court has ruled that a jurisdiction must pay fair market value for the purchase of property through eminent domain. A recipient contemplating using NSP funds to assist an acquisition involving an eminent domain action is advised to consult appropriate legal counsel before taking action.

**M. Timeliness of use and expenditure of NSP funds**

**Background**

One of the most critical NSP2 provisions is the Recovery Act provision that recipients:

“...shall expend at least 50 percent of allocated funds within 2 years of the date funds become available to the [recipient] for obligation, and 100 percent of such funds within 3
years of such date.

NSP2 recipients should note that timelines are significantly tighter than NSP1. If any NSP2 recipient fails to meet the requirement to expend its grant within the relevant timelines, HUD, on the first business day after that deadline, will simultaneously notify the recipient and restrict the amount of unused funds in the recipient’s line of credit. HUD will allow the recipient 30 days to submit information to HUD regarding any additional expenditure of funds not already recorded in the Disaster Recovery Grant Reporting system (DRGR). Then HUD will proceed to recapture the unused funds.

Requirements

1. Timely expenditure of NSP2 funds. The timely distribution or expenditure requirements of sections 24 CFR 570.494 and 570.902 are waived to the extent necessary to allow the following alternative requirement: All NSP2 recipients must expend on eligible NSP2 activities 50 percent of their award of NSP2 funds within 2 years and 100 percent within 3 years. A recipient will be deemed by HUD to have received its NSP2 grant at the time HUD signs its NSP2 grant agreement.

2. Nonprofit recipients of NSP2 funds shall follow the uniform administrative requirements for CDBG subrecipients at 24 CFR 570.502(b) as if they were subrecipients under that section. Governmental recipients shall follow the regular CDBG requirements at 24 CFR 570.502(a).

N. Alternative requirement for program income generated by activities assisted with grant funds

Background

The Recovery Act repealed Section 2301(d)(4) of HERA, which set requirements for the disposition of revenues generated by NSP assisted activities. Therefore, regular CDBG rules
governing program income shall apply. Recipients are strongly encouraged to avoid the undue enrichment of entities that are not subrecipients. For example, recipients are encouraged to structure assistance to developers that undertake acquisition and/or rehabilitation as loans rather than grants. Recipients are also encouraged to include language in agreements with entities that are not subrecipients that provides for recipients to share in any excess cash flow generated by the assisted project to the extent practicable. (Generally, excess cash flow on a real estate project is the amount of cash generated from operations, sales, or refinancing that is in excess of the amount required to provide the owner a reasonable return on its equity investment.)

Requirements

1. Revenue (i.e., gross income) received by a NSP recipient or subrecipient (as defined at 24 CFR 570.500(c)) that is directly generated from the use of CDBG funds (which term includes NSP2 grant funds) constitutes CDBG program income. To ensure consistency of treatment of such program income, the definition of program income at 24 CFR 570.500(a) shall be applied to amounts received by all NSP2 recipients.

2. Cash management. Substantially all program income must be disbursed for eligible NSP2 activities before additional cash withdrawals are made from the U.S. Treasury.

3. Agreements with subrecipients and other entities. NSP2 recipients must incorporate in subrecipient agreements such provisions as are necessary to ensure compliance with the requirements of this paragraph.

O. Reporting

Background

HUD is requiring regular reporting on each NSP2 grant in the DRGR system to ensure the Department gets sufficient management information to follow-up promptly if a recipient lags
in implementation and risks recapture of its grant funds. For NSP2, HUD will collect more regular information on various aspects of the uses of funds and of the activities funded with these grants in accordance with Recovery Act requirements. HUD will use the reports to exercise oversight for compliance with the requirements of this notice and for prevention of fraud, waste, and abuse of funds.

The regular CDBG performance measurement requirements will not apply to the NSP2 funds. The outcomes described in this Notice will apply to each NSP2 grant. To collect these data elements and to meet its reporting requirements, HUD is requiring each recipient to report on its NSP2 funds to HUD using the online DRGR system. HUD will use recipient reports to monitor for anomalies or performance problems that suggest fraud, waste, and abuse of funds; to reconcile budgets, obligations, fund draws, and expenditures; to calculate applicable administrative and public service limitations and the overall percent of benefit to LMMI persons; and as a basis for risk analysis in determining a monitoring plan. The recipient must post the NSP2 report on a website for the public when it submits the report to HUD.

A few additional data elements beyond those required by the CDBG program are required by the Recovery Act. After award, HUD will provide additional guidance to all NSP2 recipients on how to report those elements.

Requirements
1. Performance report requirement. Reporting requirements for NSP2 differ from the regular CDBG program in order to comply with Recovery Act reporting provisions. Therefore, the reporting form and timing requirements for NSP2 funds is that:
   a. Each recipient must submit a quarterly performance report, as HUD prescribes, no later than 10 days following the end of each quarter, beginning 10 days after the completion of the first full
calendar quarter after grant award. In addition to this quarterly performance reporting, each recipient will report monthly on its NSP obligations and expenditures beginning 10 days after the end of the 21st month following receipt of funds, and continuing until reported total expenditures are equal to or greater than half the total NSP grant. After HUD has accepted a report from a recipient showing such expenditure of grant funds, the monthly reporting requirement will end and quarterly reports will continue until the 33rd month, when the monthly cycle will repeat until the entire NSP2 grant has been expended or the 36-month deadline reached. Each report will include information about the uses of funds, including, but not limited to, the project name, activity, location, national objective, funds budgeted and expended, the funding source and total amount of any non-NSP funds, numbers of properties and housing units, beginning and ending dates of activities, and numbers of low- and moderate-income persons or households benefiting. Reports must be submitted using HUD’s web-based DRGR system and, at the time of submission, be posted prominently on the recipient’s official website.

b. HUD may require the additional Recovery Act reporting elements to be reported in DRGR or in another system. HUD will provide additional guidance on meeting this statutorily required reporting after awards are announced. Elements will include status of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) reviews.

c. HUD will also require recipients to report on subawards in accordance with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282) and the Recovery Act. At a minimum, grantees will be required to name subrecipients, the amount awarded, the information on the award, the location of the subrecipient, a unique identifier, and other information specified by OMB. HUD will provide additional guidance on meeting the statutory and OMB requirements after awards are announced.
P. Note that FHA properties are eligible for NSP2 acquisition and redevelopment

The Department notes that it is an eligible use of CDBG grant funds to acquire and redevelop FHA foreclosed properties. The Department strongly urges every community to consider and include such properties under their NSP2 programs because the nature and location of many of these homes will make them very compatible with the eligible uses of grant funds, the areas of greatest need, and the income eligibility thresholds and limits. Furthermore, in many areas, FHA foreclosed properties will be available for purchase at below-market value to meet HERA requirements. FHA provides quick access to location, condition, and sales price information; FHA may also offer expedited closing time frames. These factors may help expedite NSP2 fund use.

HUD will provide technical assistance on its website regarding how these programs can effectively interact. Recipients may also contact their local HUD FHA field office for further information.

Q. Purchase discount

Background

Section 2301(d)(1) limits the purchase price of a foreclosed home, as follows:

“Any purchase of a foreclosed upon home or residential property under this section shall be at a discount from the current market appraised value of the home or property, taking into account its current condition, and such discount shall ensure that purchasers are paying below-market value for the home or property.”

To ensure that uncertainty over the meaning of this section does not delay program implementation, HUD is defining “current market appraised value” in this notice. For mortgagee foreclosed properties, HUD is requiring that recipients seek to obtain the “maximum reasonable
discount" from the mortgagee, taking into consideration likely “carrying costs” of the mortgagee if it were to not sell the property to the recipient or subrecipient. HUD has adopted an approach that requires a minimum discount of one percent for each residential property purchased with NSP funds and a minimum average discount of five percent for all residential properties purchased with NSP2 funds during the three year expenditure period.

Requirements

1. Individual purchase transaction. Each foreclosed-upon home or residential property shall be purchased at a discount of at least one percent from the current market-appraised value of the home or property.

2. Purchase transactions in the aggregate. The average purchase discount for all properties purchased with NSP funds during the three year expenditure period shall be at least 5 percent.

3. An NSP2 recipient may not provide NSP funds to another party to finance an acquisition of tax foreclosed (or any other) properties from itself, other than to pay necessary and reasonable costs related to the appraisal and transfer of title. If NSP2 funds are used to pay such costs when property owned by the recipient is conveyed to a subrecipient, homebuyer, developer, or other jurisdiction, the property is NSP-assisted and subject to all program requirements, such as requirements for NSP-eligible use and benefit to income-qualified persons.

4. The address, appraised value, purchase offer amount, and discount amount of each property purchase must be documented in the recipient’s program records. The address of each acquired property must be recorded in DRGR.

R. Removal of annual requirements

Requirement

Throughout 24 CFR 570, all references to “annual” requirements such as submission of
plans and reports are superseded and replaced with the provisions of this notice as applies NSP2 funds, with no recurring annual requirements other than those related to civil rights and fair housing certifications and requirements.

**S. Affirmatively furthering fair housing and accessibility requirements**

1. Successful applicants and subrecipients must affirmatively further fair housing. Nothing in this notice may be construed as affecting each NSP2 recipient’s responsibility to carry out its certification to affirmatively further fair housing. For both successful governmental and nonprofit applicants, a provision on the obligation to affirmatively further fair housing will be incorporated into the NSP2 grant agreement and successful applicants will certify that they will affirmatively further fair housing as described in section (V) of this Appendix.

With respect to governmental applicants, HUD encourages each entitlement community and state CDBG applicant to review its analysis of impediments to fair housing choice to determine whether an update is necessary because of current market conditions or other factors.

Nonprofit applicants are not required to conduct an analysis of impediments to fair housing choice (AI) for the jurisdictions in which they will work, but they are urged to become familiar with the AI prepared by a state or entitlement CDBG community covering the target geography, and they must affirmatively market NSP2 assisted housing and carry out NSP2 activities that further fair housing through innovative housing design or construction to increase access for persons with disabilities, language assistance services to persons with limited English proficiency (on the basis of national origin), or location of new or rehabilitated housing in a manner that provides greater housing choice or mobility for persons in classes protected by the Fair Housing Act. Affirmative marketing consists of taking actions to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons in the housing market to the housing program without regard to...
race, color, national origin, sex, religion, familial status or disability. The requirements and procedures include: methods for informing the public and potential homebuyers about federal fair housing laws; use of the Equal Housing opportunity logo; and procedures to inform and solicit applications from persons in the housing market who are not likely to apply for the program without special outreach. Non-profit entities must also maintain records on such programs or activities and their results and must report annually to HUD the race and ethnicity statistics on persons receiving services funded by NSP2 on form HUD-27061.

2. Successful applicants are subject to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and implementing regulations at 24 CFR 8.

T. Nonprofits and environmental review

All NSP2 assistance is subject to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and related federal environmental authorities and regulations at 24 CFR part 58. Non-profits, and other recipients that are not designated responsible entities under 24 CFR part 58, may not assume environmental review responsibilities and must receive HUD approved environmental reviews under 24 CFR part 50 unless they apply in consortia with states, Indian tribes, or units of general local government with jurisdiction over proposed projects. In the case of consortium applicants, states, Indian tribes or units of general local governments may perform the environmental reviews on behalf of the consortium for projects within their jurisdiction as described under Part 58.

For activities requiring environmental review, non-profits—and consortia without state, Indian tribe, or unit of general local government members with jurisdiction over the projects in question—will need to coordinate with their local HUD office to receive approval for relevant activities in compliance with 24 CFR part 50. States, Indian tribes, and units of general local
government may only conduct environmental reviews within their jurisdiction. Therefore, consortia planning projects that require environmental review outside the jurisdiction of state, Indian tribe, or unit of general local government members must receive environmental reviews from HUD under 24 CFR part 50 for those projects.

Recipients undergoing a Part 50 environmental review will be required to: (1) supply HUD with all available, relevant information necessary for HUD to perform for each property any environmental review required by 24 CFR part 50; (2) carry out mitigating measures required by HUD or select alternate eligible property; and (3) not acquire, rehabilitate, demolish, convert, lease, repair or construct property, nor commit or expend HUD or local funds for these program activities with respect to any eligible property, until HUD approval of the property is received.

Grant applicants are cautioned that no activity or project may be undertaken, or federal or non-federal funds or assistance committed, if the project or activity would limit reasonable choices or could produce an adverse environmental impact, until all required environmental reviews and notifications have been completed by HUD or by a unit of general local government, tribe, or state, and until HUD notifies the recipient that the review under 24 CFR part 50 is completed or HUD or the state approves a recipient's request for release of funds under the environmental provisions contained in 24 CFR part 58. After the environmental review(s) pursuant to 24 CFR part 50 or 58 are completed and, as applicable, the grantee receives notice of completion from HUD or approval from HUD or the state of the request for release of funds and certification, the grantee may draw down funds from the line of credit.

U. Consortia and for-profit partners

Background
The Recovery Act provides that eligible entities for the NSP2 competition shall include “States, units of general government, and nonprofit entities or consortia of non-profit entities,” which all may submit proposals with for profit entities. Applicants may consist of one or more non-profit or governmental entities applying as a consortium. Applicants that apply as part of consortium will submit an agreement committing members at the time of submission. Applicants HUD determines to be in the fundable range must sign a consortium funding agreement with the lead applicant specifying the distribution of funds and the responsibilities of each party by the date specified in the Notice.

Those applicants shall similarly submit a firm commitment binding the for-profit to performance with its application. Applications with a for-profit partner will demonstrate the relevant expertise of the for-profit partner (e.g., media company, financier, developer, consultant/contractor), the nature of the relationship demonstrated by a firm commitment, and the specific contribution of the for-profit entity (e.g., air time, marketing, full operating partner, etc.). For the purposes of NSP2, HUD considers a for-profit partner to be an entity that carries out NSP2 activities or provides some service to the program other than, or in addition to, financing.

Requirements

1. Grant agreements must be signed by a governmental or non-profit entity as the lead applicant and recipient.

2. Consortia agreements must be signed by all members at the time of submission. To be funded in accordance II.B.1(3) of this Notice, members must provide HUD with a consortia funding agreement that specifies the contributions and responsibilities of each consortia member, the division of NSP2 program funds, and binds each member to NSP2 program requirements.
3. The recipient, prior to authorizing payment for NSP2 activities carried out by the for-profit partner, shall (1) conduct a cost or price analysis as required under 24 CFR part 84 or 85, as applicable, that demonstrates how the recipient determined necessary and reasonable costs for such payment; (2) provide a firm commitment from the for-profit entity at the time of application submission; and (3) enter into a written agreement setting forth the partner’s responsibilities for performance and agreement to comply with NSP2 program requirements in accordance with this Notice.

V. Certifications

Certifications for NSP2 applicants, alternative requirement. HUD is providing alternative certifications for states, local governments, nonprofits and Indian tribes as provided in Appendix 4.

W. Note on statutory limitation on distribution of funds

Section 2304 of HERA states that none of the funds made available under this Title or title IV shall be distributed to an organization that has been indicted for a violation under federal law relating to an election for federal office; or an organization that employs applicable individuals. Section 2304 defines applicable individuals.

X. Information collection approval note

The information collection requirements contained in this document have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520) and assigned OMB control numbers 2506-0185. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless the collection displays a valid control number.

Y. Duration of funding
The Recovery Act makes funds available to HUD to obligate to NSP recipients until September 30, 2010. The Recovery Act makes NSP2 grants available to recipients for up to three years after receipt of the grant from HUD.
Appendix 2
NSP2 Recommended Energy Efficient and Environmentally-Friendly Green Elements

HUD strongly recommends that your proposed project(s) incorporate the following additional energy efficient and environmentally-friendly Green elements into the design. No specific element is required and your scoring under Factor 5 will not be based on the use of any specific element. For the scoring, HUD is looking for thoughtful, achievable consideration and implementation of energy efficient and environmentally friendly elements inside your NSP2 program.

HUD is providing the guidance below because the Department has become aware during the implementation of NSP1 that many grantees are not aware that many of their common community development practices, such as trying to help police and teachers live in the neighborhood in which they work, are also considered sustainable and environmentally friendly. Similarly, most affordable housing units are also smaller and can easily be made more energy efficient than larger units. The increased energy efficiency then serves to increase the long-term affordability of the units.

Renewable Energy

1. Passive Solar. Orient the building to make the greatest use of passive solar heating and cooling.

2. Photovoltaic-ready. Site, design, engineer and wire the development to accommodate installation of photovoltaic panels in the future.

Sustainable Site Design
1. **Transportation Choices.** Locate projects within a one-quarter mile of at least two, or one-half mile of at least four community and retail facilities.

2. **Connections to Surrounding Neighborhoods.** Provide three separate connections from the development to sidewalks or pathways in surrounding neighborhoods.

3. **Protecting Environmental Resources.** Do not locate the project within 100 feet of wetlands; 1,000 feet of a critical habitat; or on steep slopes, prime farmland or park land.


5. **Sustainable Landscaping.** Select native trees and plants that are appropriate to the site’s soils and microclimate.

6. **Energy Efficient Landscaping.** Locate trees and plants to provide shading in the summer and allow for heat gain in the winter.

**Water Conservation**

1. **Efficient Irrigation.** Install low volume, non-spray irrigation system (such as drip irrigation, bubblers, or soaker hose).

**Energy Efficient Materials**

1. **Durable Materials.** Use materials that last longer than conventional counterparts such as stone, brick or concrete.

2. **Resource Efficient Materials.** Use layouts and advanced building techniques that reduce the amount of homebuilding material required.

3. **Heat Absorbing Materials.** Use materials that retain solar heat in winter and remain cool in summer.
4. Solar-reflective Paving. Use light-colored/high-albedo materials and/or open-grid pavement with a minimum Solar Reflective index of 0.6 over at least 30 percent of the site’s hardscaped areas.

5. Local Source Materials. Use materials from local sources that are close to the job site.

6. Green Roofing. Use Energy Star-compliant and high-emissive roofing, and/or install a Green (vegetated) roof for at least 50 percent of the roof area; or a combination of high-albedo and vegetated roof covering 75 percent of the roof area.

Healthy Homes

1. Green Label Certified Floor Covering. Do not install carpets in basements, entryways, laundry rooms, bathrooms or kitchens; if using carpet, use the Carpet and Rug Institute’s Green Label certified carpet and pad.


3. Healthy Flooring Materials: Reducing Dust. Install a whole-house vacuum system with high-efficiency particulate air filtration.

4. Sealing Joints. Seal all wall, floor and joint penetrations to prevent pest entry; provide rodent and corrosion proof screens (e.g., copper or stainless steel mesh) for large openings.

5. Termite-resistant Materials. Use termite-resistant materials in areas known to be infested.

6. Tub and shower Enclosures: Moisture Prevention. Use one-piece fiberglass or similar enclosure or, if using any form of grouted material, use backing materials such as cement board, fiber cement board, fiber-glass reinforced board or cement plaster.
7. *Green Maintenance Guide.* Provide a guide for homeowners and renters that explains the intent, benefits, use and maintenance of Green building features, and encourages additional Green activities such as recycling, gardening and use of healthy cleaning materials.

8. *Resident Orientation.* Provide a walk-through and orientation to the homeowner or new tenants.
This notice advises the public of the allocation formula and allocation amounts, the list of grantees, alternative requirements, and the waivers of regulations granted to grantees under Section 2301(b) of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (Pub. L. 110–289, approved July 30, 2008) (HERA), as amended, and an additional allocation of funds provided under Section 1497 of the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111–203, approved July 21, 2010) (Dodd-Frank Act) for additional assistance in accordance with the second undesignated paragraph under the heading Community Planning and Development—Community Development Fund in Title XII of Division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111–5, approved February 17, 2009) (Recovery Act), as amended, for the purpose of assisting in the redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed homes. Except where provided for otherwise, these amounts are distributed based on funding formulas for such amounts established by the Secretary in accordance with HERA.

The additional allocation represents the third round of Neighborhood Stabilization Program funding and is referred to throughout this notice as NSP3. HERA provided a first round of formula funding to States and units of general local government, and is referred to herein as NSP1. The Recovery Act provided a second round of funds awarded by competition and is referred to herein as NSP2. The three rounds of funding are collectively referred to as NSP. As described in the Supplementary Information section of this notice, HUD is authorized by statute to specify alternative requirements and make regulatory waivers for this purpose. This notice also notes statutory issues affecting program design and implementation.

Note: This notice is intended to provide unified program requirements for grantees of the two formula NSP grant programs, NSP1 and NSP3. The allocation and application information under Section I.A and Section II.B below is only applicable to NSP3 grants. For NSP1, HUD awarded grants to a total of 309 grantees including the 55 states and territories and selected local governments to stabilize communities hardest hit by foreclosures and delinquencies. For the allocation formula and application process for NSP1, please see the October 6, 2008 Federal Register Notice (73 FR 58330), as amended by the June 19, 2009 “Bridge” Notice (74 FR 29223), and Appendix A attached hereto. For NSP2, HUD awarded a combined total $1.93 billion in NSP2 grants to 56 grantees nationwide on January 14, 2010. Funds under NSP2 were distributed by competition under criteria described in the May 4, 2009 Notice of Funding Availability. Where requirements differ between the rounds of funding, it is so noted.

DATES: Effective Date: October 19, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley Gimont, Director, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Room 7206, Washington, DC 20410, telephone number 202–708–3587. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at 800–877–8339. FAX inquiries may be sent to Mr. Gimont at 202–401–2044. (Except for the “800” number, these telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Program Background and Purpose

Recipients will use the funds awarded under this notice to stabilize neighborhoods whose viability has been, and continues to be, damaged by the economic effects of properties that have been foreclosed upon and abandoned. In 2008, Congress appropriated funds for neighborhood stabilization under HERA. In 2009, Congress appropriated additional neighborhood stabilization funds under the Recovery Act. In 2010, Congress appropriated a third round of neighborhood stabilization funds in the Dodd-Frank Act.

When referring to a provision of the first appropriations statute, this notice will refer to HERA; when referring to a provision of the second appropriations statute, this notice will refer to the Recovery Act; and when referring to the third appropriations statute this notice will refer to the Dodd-Frank Act. When referring to the grants, grantees, assisted activities, and implementation rules under the Dodd-Frank Act, this notice will use the term “NSP3.” When referring to the grants, grantees, assisted activities, and implementation rules under the Recovery Act, this notice will use the term “NSP2.” When referring to the grants, grantees, assisted activities, and implementation rules under HERA, this notice will use the term “NSP1.” Collectively, the grants, grantees, assisted activities, and implementation rules under these three rounds of funding is referred to as NSP. NSP is a component of the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program (authorized under Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5301 et seq.) (HCD Act)).
Program Principles

Programs under NSP should aim to integrate the following principles:

- Retain CDBG distinctive requirements. Congress gave HUD broad waiver and alternative requirement authority, which HUD used in designing NSP program requirements. However, distinctive characteristics of the CDBG program including the objectives of the HCD Act, financial accountability, local citizen participation and information, grantee selection of activities within broad Federal policy parameters, and income targeting of beneficiaries were retained. All of these elements are required in NSP1, NSP2, and NSP3.

- Target and reconnect neighborhoods. Invest funds in programs and projects that will revitalize targeted neighborhood(s) and reconnect those targeted neighborhoods with the economy, housing market, and social networks of the community and metropolitan area as a whole.

- Rapidly arrest decline. Support NSP uses and activities that will rapidly arrest the decline of a targeted neighborhood(s) that has been negatively affected by abandoned or foreclosed properties.

- Ensure compliance with the NSP “deep targeting” requirement. No less than 25 percent of the funds shall be used to house individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

- Ensure longest feasible continued affordability. Invest in affordable housing that will remain desirable and affordable for the longest feasible period.

- Support projects that optimize economic activity, and the number of jobs created or retained or that will provide other long-term economic benefits.

- Build inclusive and sustainable communities free from discrimination.

- Coordinate planning and resources. Integrate neighborhood stabilization programs with other Federal policy priorities and investments, including energy conservation and efficiency, sustainable and transit-oriented development, integrated metropolitan area-wide planning and coordination, improvements in public education, and access to healthcare.

- Leverage resources and remove destabilizing influences. Augment neighborhood stabilization programs with other Federal, public and private resources. Eliminate destabilizing influences, such as blighted homes, that can prevent programs from producing results.

- Set goals. Set aggressive, but achievable, goals for outputs and outcomes.

- Ensure accountability. Ensure accountability for all programs, keep citizens actively informed, and provide all required NSP reporting elements.

Objectives and Outcomes

1. Objectives. The primary objective of the CDBG program is the development of viable urban communities, by providing decent housing, a suitable living environment, and economic opportunity, principally for persons of low- and moderate-income. NSP grantees must strive to meet this objective in neighborhoods that are in decline (or further decline) due to the negative effects of a high number and percentage of homes that have been foreclosed upon. The first goal is to arrest the decline. Then the grantee must stabilize the neighborhood and position it for a sustainable role in a revitalized community.

2. Outcomes. Measurable NSP short term program outcomes may include, but are not limited to:

- Arresting decline in home values based on average sales price in targeted neighborhoods, and

- Reduction or elimination of vacant and abandoned residential property in targeted neighborhoods.

The long term outcomes may include, but are not limited to:

- Increased sales of residential property in targeted neighborhoods, and

- Increased median market values of real estate in targeted neighborhoods.

Authority To Provide Alternative Requirements and Grant Regulatory Waivers

The Dodd-Frank Act states that, except where provided for otherwise, assistance shall be provided in accordance with the same provisions applicable under the NSP2 authorization. In turn, the Recovery Act provides that assistance shall be made available as authorized under HERA. The Recovery Act authorizes the Secretary to specify waivers and alternative requirements for any provision of any statute or regulation in connection with the obligation by the Secretary or the use of funds except for requirements related to fair housing, nondiscrimination, labor standards, and the environment (including lead-based paint), upon a finding that such a waiver is necessary to expedite or facilitate the use of such funds.

The Secretary finds that the following alternative requirements are necessary to expedite the use of these funds for their required purposes.

Except as described in this notice, statutory and regulatory provisions governing the CDBG program, including those at 24 CFR part 570 subpart I for states, and those at 24 CFR part 570 subparts A, C, D, J, K, and O for CDBG entitlement communities, as appropriate, shall apply to the use of these funds. The State of Hawaii will be allocated funds and will be subject to part 570, subpart I, as modified by this notice. Other sections of the notice provide further details of the changes, the majority of which deal with adjustments necessitated by statutory provisions, simplify program rules to expedite administration, or relate to the ability of state grantees to act directly instead of solely through distribution to local governments. Additional guidance and technical assistance will be available at http://www.hud.gov/nspta.
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I. Allocations

A. Formula: Allocation. Grants awarded under NSP1 were allocated to States and local governments according to the formula described in Attachment A. The Dodd-Frank Act makes available an additional $1 billion that is generally to be construed as CDBG program funds (NSP3) for the communities and in the amounts listed in Attachment B to this notice.

B. Formula: Reallocation. 1.a. Failure to Apply (NSP3). To expedite the use of NSP3 funds, the Department is specifying alternative requirements to 42 U.S.C. 5306(c). If a unit of general local government receiving an allocation of NSP3 funds under this notice (as designated in Attachment B) fails to submit a substantially complete application for its grant allocation by March 1, 2011, or submits an application for less than the total allocation amount, HUD will notify the jurisdiction of the cancellation of all or part of its allocation amount and proceed to reallocate the funds to the state in which the jurisdiction is located.

b. If a state or insular area receiving an allocation of funds under this notice fails to submit a substantially complete application for its allocation by March 1, 2011, or submits an application for less than the total allocation amount, HUD will notify the state or insular area of the reduction in its allocation amount and proceed to reallocate the funds to the 10 highest-need states based on original rankings of need.

2.a. Failure to Meet 18-Month Obligation Deadline (NSP1). Consistent with the August 23, 2010 Notice of NSP Reallocation Process Changes (Docket No. FR–5435–N–01), HUD will block each grantee’s ability to obligate NSP1 grant funds in the Disaster Recovery Grant Reporting System (DRGR) on the first business day after the statutory 18-month deadline for use of funds. HUD will notify the grantee of this action by electronic mail. Grantees will not be able to obligate grant funds after the deadline without requesting and receiving permission from HUD, and HUD determines that the grantee is not high risk consistent with this notice. The grantee will still be able to expend grant funds obligated before the deadline. Receipt and use of any program income will also be unaffected.

b. Grantees that fail to obligate an amount equal to or greater than its initial grant amount may submit information to HUD, for up to 30 days following its 18-month deadline, documenting any additional obligation of funds not already recorded in the DRGR system and demonstrating to HUD that the obligation occurred on or before the 18-month deadline. Before the 18-month deadline, each grantee should also review its recorded obligations and notify HUD within 30 days following the deadline of any necessary adjustments to the amount and the reason for such an adjustment. For example, the grantee has become aware that an obligation amount that was previously recorded for an acquisition will not proceed, therefore a downward adjustment is necessary.

c. After the deadline, if a grantee needs to decrease or increase the amount of grant funds obligated to an activity, it must first ask HUD to remove the DRGR block on changing the amount obligated. If the amount of decrease is more than 15 percent of the obligation for any activity, the grantee must submit to HUD a written request that clearly demonstrates with compelling information that factors beyond the grantee’s reasonable control caused the need to adjust after the deadline. If HUD agrees to grant the request, it will restore the grantee’s ability to obligate grant funds in DRGR. If HUD does not grant the request, the grantee must either complete the activity as originally obligated or the amount previously obligated for that activity will be recaptured. HUD may also remove the obligations block following risk assessment of the grantee or a review of some or all of a grantee’s obligation documentation.

d. Before HUD determines the appropriate corrective action or recaptures grant funds, HUD will review the submitted information, consider the grantee’s capacity as described in 24 CFR 570.905 and 24 CFR 570.493, and the grantee’s continuing need for the funds.

e. Following the review and consistent with the procedures described in 24 CFR 570.900(b), HUD will proceed to notify the grantee of the selected corrective action it is required to undertake.

f. HUD will recapture and reallocate up to $19.6 million from any state grantee with unused NSP1 grant funds. Additional corrective actions may be taken related to any amount of unused funds greater than $19.6 million.

g. HUD will reallocate recaptured NSP1 grant funds in accordance with the reallocation formula described in a separate reallocation notice. A grantee receiving a reallocation must apply for the grant in accordance with the NSP1 Notice or this notice, as applicable. A nonentitlement grantee that is not required to submit a consolidated plan to HUD under the CDBG program will prepare an abbreviated plan. The substance of an abbreviated plan must include all the required elements that entitlement communities provide as part of an NSP Action Plan substantial amendment as described under Section II.B.2 of the NSP1 Notice or this Notice, as applicable.

h. Each grantee must meet the statutory requirement to expend 25 percent of its grant amount for activities that will provide housing for households whose income is at or under 50 percent of area median income. HUD will require as a corrective action that the grantee either adjust its remaining NSP1 planned activities to ensure that 25 percent of the original NSP1 formula grant amount and program income supports activities providing housing to households with incomes at or under 50 percent of area median income, or make a firm commitment to provide such housing with nonfederal funds in an amount sufficient to offset any deficiency to comply with the requirement before the expenditure deadline for the NSP1 grant.

i. The NSP1 Notice allows each grantee to use up to 10 percent of its NSP1 grant for general administration and planning activities. If HUD recaptures funds from a grant, this percentage limitation will still apply to the remaining grant funds, reducing the amount available for administration activities.

3. Failure to Meet Expenditure Deadline for NSP3. NSP3 grantees must expend 50 percent of their grants within 2 years and 100 percent of their grants within 3 years. HUD will recapture and reallocate the amount of funds not expended by those deadlines or provide for other corrective action(s) or sanction. Further guidance will be issued prior to the deadline.

II. Alternative Requirements and Regulatory Waivers

This section of the notice briefly provides a justification for alternative requirements, where additional explanation is necessary, and describes
the necessary basis for each regulatory waiver. This section also highlights some of the statutory requirements applicable to the grants. This background narrative is followed by the NSP requirements. While program requirements across the three rounds of NSP funding are similar, certain requirements differ in accordance to statutory provisions.

Each grantee eligible for an NSP grant that already receives annual CDBG allocations has carried out needs hearings, has a consolidated plan, an annual action plan, a citizen participation plan, a monitoring plan, an analysis of impediments to fair housing choice, and has made CDBG certifications. The consolidated plan already discusses housing needs related to up to four major grant programs: CDBG, HOME, Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). A grantee’s annual action plan describes the activities budgeted under each of those annual programs. HUD is treating a state and entitlement grantee’s use of its NSP grant to be a substantial amendment to its current approved consolidated plan and 2010 annual action plan. The NSP grant is a special CDBG allocation to address the problem of abandoned and foreclosed homes. Treating NSP3 as a substantial amendment will expedite the distribution of NSP3 funds, while ensuring citizen participation on the specific use of the funds. HUD is waiving the consolidated plan regulations to the extent necessary to meet the congressionally identified needs of abandoned and foreclosed homes in the targeted areas set forth in the grantee's substantial amendment. In addition, HUD is waiving the consolidated plan regulations to the extent necessary to adjust reporting to fit the requirements of HERA and the use of DRGR.

Non-entitlement local government grantees receiving NSP3 funds that are not required to submit a consolidated plan to HUD under the CDBG program will prepare an abbreviated plan. The substance of an abbreviated plan must include all the required elements that entitlement communities provide as part of an NSP Action Plan substantial amendment as described under Section II.B.2.

The waivers, alternative requirements, and statutory changes apply only to the grant funds appropriated under NSP and not to the regular formula allocations of CDBG, even if they are used in conjunction with NSP funds for a project. They provide expedited program implementation and implement statutory requirements unique to the covered NSP appropriations.

A. Definitions for Purposes of the Neighborhood Stabilization Program

Background

Certain terms are used in HERA that are not used in the regular CDBG program, or the terms are used differently in HERA and the HCD Act. In the interest of clarity of administration, HUD is defining these terms in this notice for all grantees, including states. For the same reason, HUD is also defining eligible fund uses for all grantees, including states. States may define other program terms under the authority of 24 CFR 570.481(a), and will be given maximum feasible deference in accordance with 24 CFR 570.480(c) in matters related to the administration of their NSP programs.

Requirement

Abandoned. A home or residential property is abandoned if either (a) mortgage, tribal leasehold, or tax payments are at least 90 days delinquent, or (b) a code enforcement inspection has determined that the property is not habitable and the owner has taken no corrective actions within 90 days of notification of the deficiencies, or (c) the property is subject to a court-ordered receivership or nuisance abatement related to abandonment pursuant to state or local law or otherwise meets a state definition of an abandoned home or residential property.

Blighted structure. A structure is blighted when it exhibits objectively determinable signs of deterioration sufficient to constitute a threat to human health, safety, and public welfare.

CDBG funds. CDBG funds means, in addition to the definition at 24 CFR 570.3, grant funds distributed under this notice.

Current market appraised value. The current market appraised value means the value of a foreclosed upon home or residential property that is established through an appraisal made in conformity with either: (1) The appraisal requirements of the URA at 24 CFR 24.103, or (2) the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), or (3) the appraisal requirements of the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or a government sponsored enterprise (GSE); and the appraisal must be completed or updated within 60 days of a final offer made for the property by a grantee, subrecipient, developer, or individual homeowner. However, if the anticipated value of the proposed acquisition is estimated at $25,000 or less, the current market appraised value of the property may be established by a valuation of the property that is based on a review of available data and is made by a person the grantee determines is qualified to make the valuation.

Date of Notice of Foreclosure. For purposes of the NSP3 tenant protection provisions described at Section K, the date of notice of foreclosure shall be deemed to be the date on which complete title to a property is transferred to a successor entity or person as a result of an order of a court or pursuant to provisions in a mortgage, deed of trust, or security deed. If none of these events occur in the acquisition of a foreclosed property (e.g. in a short sale), in order to ensure fair and equitable treatment of bona fide tenants and consistency with the NSP definition of foreclosure, the date of notice of foreclosure shall be deemed to be the date on which the property is acquired for the NSP-assisted project. Note: This definition does not affect or otherwise alter the definition of “foreclosed” as provided in this notice.

Foreclosed. A home or residential property has been foreclosed upon if any of the following conditions apply:

(a) The property’s current delinquency status is at least 60 days delinquent under the Mortgage Bankers of America delinquency calculation and the owner has been notified; (b) the property owner is 90 days or more delinquent on tax payments; (c) under state, local, or tribal law, foreclosure proceedings have been initiated or completed; or (d) foreclosure proceedings have been completed and title has been transferred to an intermediary aggregator or servicer that is not an NSP grantee, contractor, subrecipient, developer, or end user.

Land bank. A land bank is a governmental or nongovernmental nonprofit entity established, at least in part, to assemble, temporarily manage, and dispose of vacant land for the purpose of stabilizing neighborhoods and encouraging re-use or redevelopment of urban property. For the purposes of NSP, a land bank will operate in a specific, defined geographic area. It will purchase properties that have been foreclosed upon and maintain, assemble, facilitate redevelopment of, market, and dispose of the land-banked properties. If the land bank is a governmental entity, it may also maintain foreclosed property that it does not own, provided it charges the owner of the property the full cost of
of the service or places a lien on the property for the full cost of the service. Subrecipient. Subrecipient shall have the same meaning as at the first sentence of 24 CFR 570.500(c). This includes any nonprofit organization (including a unit of general local government) that a state awards funds to.

Use (for the purposes of HERA section 2301(c)(1)). Funds are used when they are obligated by a state, unit of general local government, or any subrecipient thereof, for a specific NSP activity; for example, for acquisition of a specific property. Funds are obligated for an activity when orders are placed, contracts are awarded, services are received, and similar transactions have occurred that require payment by the state, unit of general local government, or subrecipient during the same or a future period. Note that funds are not obligated for an activity when subawards (e.g., grants to subrecipients or to units of local government) are made.

Vicinity. For the purposes of NSP3, HUD defines “vicinity” as each neighborhood identified by the NSP3 grantees as being the areas of greatest need.

B. NSP3 Pre-Grant Process

Background

With this notice, HUD is establishing the NSP3 allocation formula, including reallocation provisions, and announcing the distribution of funds. CDBG grantees receiving NSP3 allocations may immediately begin to prepare and submit action plan substantial amendments for NSP3 funds, in accordance with this notice. Insular areas should follow the requirements for entitlement communities. Non-entitlement local government grantees will follow entitlement requirements except for the submission of an abbreviated plan rather than a substantial amendment or as otherwise explained in this notice.

To receive NSP3 funding, each grantee listed in Attachment B must submit an action plan substantial amendment or abbreviated plan to HUD in accordance with this notice by March 1, 2011.

HUD encourages each grantee to carry out its NSP activities in the context of a comprehensive plan for the community’s vision of how it can make its neighborhoods not only more stable, but also more sustainable, inclusive, competitive, and integrated into the overall metropolitan fabric, including access to transit, affordable housing, employers, and services. HUD also encourages grantees to incorporate green and sustainable development practices, such as the examples in Attachment C. HUD encourages each local jurisdiction receiving an allocation to carefully consider its administrative capacity to use the funds within the statutory deadline. Jurisdictions may cooperate to carry out their grant programs through a joint request to HUD. HUD is providing regulatory waivers and alternative requirements to allow joint requests among units of general local government and to allow joint requests between units of general local government and a state. Any two or more contiguous units of general local government that are in the same metropolitan area and that are eligible to receive an NSP grant may instead make a joint request to HUD to implement a joint NSP program. A jurisdiction need not have a joint agreement with an urban county under the regular CDBG entitlement program to request a joint program for NSP funding. Similarly, any community eligible to receive an NSP grant may instead make a joint request for a joint NSP program with its state. An NSP joint request under a cooperation agreement results in a single combined grant and a single action plan substantial amendment. Potential requestors should contact HUD as soon as possible (as far as possible in advance of publishing a proposed NSP substantial amendment) for technical guidance. The requestors will specify which jurisdiction will receive the funds and administer the combined grant on behalf of the requestors; in the case of a joint request between a local government jurisdiction and a state, the state will administer the combined grant. (Grantees choosing this option should consider the Consolidated Plan and citizen participation implications of this approach. The lead entity’s substantial amendment or abbreviated plan will cover any participating members. The citizen participation process must include citizens of all jurisdictions participating in the joint NSP program, not just those of the lead entity.)

Given the rule of construction in HERA that NSP funds generally are construed as CDBG program funds, subject to CDBG program requirements, HUD generally is treating NSP3 funds as a special allocation of Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 CDBG funding. This has important consequences for local governments presently participating in an existing urban county program, and for metropolitan cities that have joint agreements with urban counties. HUD will consider any existing cooperation agreements between a local government and an urban county governing FY2010 CDBG funding (for purposes of either an urban county or a joint program) to automatically cover NSP funding as well. These cooperation agreements will continue to apply to the use of NSP funds for the duration of the NSP grant, just as cooperation agreements covering regular CDBG Entitlement program funds continue to apply to any use of the funds appropriated during the 3-year period covered by the agreements.

For example, a local government presently has a cooperation agreement covering a joint program or participation in an urban county for Federal FYs 2009, 2010 and 2011. The local government may choose to discontinue its participation with the county at the end of the applicable qualification period for purposes of regular CDBG entitlement funding. However, the county will still be responsible for any NSP3 projects funded in that community, and for any NSP3 funding the local government receives from the county, until those funds are expended and the funded activities are completed.

A third method of cooperating is also available. A jurisdiction may choose to apply for its entire grant, and then enter into a subrecipient agreement with another jurisdiction or nonprofit entity to administer the grant. In this manner, for example, all of the grantees operating in a single metropolitan area could designate the same land-bank entity (or the state housing finance agency) as a subrecipient for some or all of their NSP activities. Each NSP3 grant will have until March 1, 2011, to complete and submit a substantial amendment to its annual action plan or an abbreviated plan. A grantee that wishes to submit its action plan amendment to HUD electronically in the DRGR system rather than by paper may do so by contacting its local field office for the DRGR submission directions. Paper submissions to HUD also will be allowed, although each grantee must set up its action plan in DRGR prior to the deadline for the first required performance report after receiving a grant. HUD encourages grantees, during development of their action plan amendments or abbreviated plans, to contact HUD field offices for guidance in complying with these requirements, or if they have any questions regarding meeting grant requirements.

Normally, in the CDBG program, a grantee takes at least 30 days soliciting comment from its citizens before it submits an annual action plan to HUD, which then has 45 days to accept or reject the plan. To expedite the process and to ensure that the NSP grants are
awarded in a timely manner, while preserving reasonable citizen participation. HUD is waiving the requirement that the grantee follow its citizen participation plan for this substantial amendment. HUD is shortening the minimum time for citizen comments and requiring the substantial amendment or abbreviated plan to be posted on the grantee’s official Web site as the materials are developed, published, and submitted to HUD.

A grantee will be deemed by HUD to have received its NSP grant at the time HUD signs its NSP grant agreement (or amendment thereof, in the case of a state that later receives reallocated grant funds).

Grantees are cautioned that, despite the expedited application and plan process, they are still responsible for ensuring that all citizens have equal access to information about the programs. Among other things, this means that each grantee must ensure that program information is available in the appropriate languages for the geographic area served by the jurisdiction. This will be a particular issue for states that make grants covering regular CDBG entitlement areas (or to entitlement grantees). Because regular State CDBG funds are not used in entitlement areas, State CDBG staffs may not be aware of limited English proficient (LEP) speaking populations in those metropolitan jurisdictions.

HUD will review each grantee submission for completeness and consistency with the requirements of this notice and will disapprove incomplete and inconsistent action plan amendments or abbreviated plans. HUD will allow revision and resubmission of a disapproved amendment or abbreviated plan in accordance with 24 CFR 91.500(d) so long as any such resubmission is received by HUD 45 days or less following the date of first disapproval.

In combination, the notice alternative requirements provide the following expedited steps for NSP grants:

• Proposed action plan amendment or abbreviated plan published via the usual methods and on the Internet for no less than 15 calendar days of public comment;

• Final action plan amendment or abbreviated plan posted on the Internet and submitted to HUD by March 1, 2011 (grant application includes Standard Form 424 (SF–424) and certifications);

• HUD expedites review;

• HUD accepts the plan and prepares a cover letter, grant agreement, and grant conditions;

• Grant agreement signed by HUD and immediately transmitted to the grantee;

• Grantee signs and returns the grant agreements;

• HUD establishes the line of credit and the grantee requests and receives DRGR access (if it does not already have access);

• After completing the environmental review(s) pursuant to 24 CFR part 58 and, as applicable, receiving from HUD or the state an approved Request for Release of Funds and certification, the grantee may draw down funds from the line of credit.

In consideration of the shortened comment period, it is essential that grantees ensure that affected parties have sufficient notice of the opportunity to comment. The action plan substantial amendment or abbreviated plan and citizen participation alternative requirement will permit an expedited grant-making process, but one that still provides for public notice, appraisal, examination, and comment on the activities proposed for the use of NSP3 grant funds.

Note: HUD believes an adequate and acceptable substantial amendment or abbreviated plan should be no longer than 25 pages. A plan should provide sufficient detail for citizens and HUD reviewers. Internet address links can be provided to longer elements that may change, such as detailed rehabilitation standards.

Requirement

1. General. Except as described in this notice, statutory and regulatory provisions governing the CDBG program for states and entitlement communities, as applicable, shall apply to the use of these funds. Except as described in this notice, non-entitlement local government grantees receiving a grant directly from HUD shall follow statutory and regulatory provisions governing the CDBG program for entitlement communities.

2. Contents of an NSP Action Plan substantial amendment or abbreviated plan. The elements in the NSP substantial amendment to the Annual Action Plan or an abbreviated plan required for the CDBG program under part 91 are:

a. General information about needs, distribution, use of funds, and definitions:

i. Each grantee must use the HUD Foreclosure Need Web site as linked to from http://www.hud.gov/nsf to submit to HUD the locations of its NSP3 areas of greatest need. On this site, HUD provides estimates of foreclosure need and a foreclosure related needs scores at the Census Tract level. The score rank need from 1 to 20, with 20 being census tracts with the HUD-estimated greatest need.

ii. The neighborhood or neighborhoods identified by the NSP3 grantee as being the areas of greatest need must have an individual or average combined index score for the grantee’s identified target geography that is not less than the lesser of 17 or the twentieth percentile most needy score in an individual state. For example, if a state’s twentieth percentile most needy census tract is 18, the requirement will be a minimum need of 17. If, however, a state’s twentieth percentile most needy census tract is 15, the requirement will be a minimum need of 15. HUD will provide the minimum threshold for each state at its Web site http://www.hud.gov/nsf. If more than one neighborhood is identified in the Action Plan, HUD will average the neighborhood NSP3 scores, weighting the scores by the estimated number of housing units in each identified neighborhood.

iii. A narrative describing how the distribution and uses of the grantee’s NSP funds will meet the requirements of Section 2301(c)(2) of HERA, as amended by the Recovery Act and the Dodd-Frank Act;

iv. For the purposes of the NSP3, the narratives will include:

(A) A definition of “blighted structure” in the context of state or local law;

(B) A definition of “affordable rents;”

(C) A description of how the grantee will ensure continued affordability for NSP-assisted housing; and

(D) A description of housing rehabilitation standards that will apply to NSP-assisted activities.

b. Information by activity describing how the grantee will use the funds, identifying:

i. The eligible use of funds under NSP3;

ii. The eligible CDBG activity or activities;

iii. The areas of greatest need addressed by the activity or activities;

vi. The expected benefit to income-qualified persons or households or areas;

v. Appropriate performance measures for the activity (e.g., units of housing to be acquired, rehabilitated, or demolished for the income levels represented in DRGR, which are currently 50 percent of area median income and below, 51 to 80 percent, and 81 to 120 percent);

vi. Amount of funds budgeted for the activity;
vii. The name and location of the entity that will carry out the activity; and

viii. The expected start and end dates of the activity.

c. A brief description of the general terms under which assistance will be provided, including:
   i. Range of interest rates (if any);
   ii. Duration or term of assistance;
   iii. Tenure of beneficiaries (e.g., renters or homeowners); and
   vi. The procedures used to create preferences for the development of affordable rental housing developed with NSP3 funds; and

vii. Whether the funds used for the activity are to count toward the requirement to provide benefit to low-income persons (earning 50 percent or less of area median income).

d. The action plan narrative should specifically address how the grantee’s program design will address the local housing market conditions.

e. Information on how to contact grantee program administrators, so that citizens and other interested parties know whom to contact for additional information.

3. Continued affordability. Grantees shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable and for the longest feasible term, that the sale, rental, or redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed-upon homes and residential properties under this section remain affordable to individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of area median income or, for units originally assisted with funds under the requirements of section 2301(f)(3)(A)(ii) of HERA, as amended, remain affordable to individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

   a. In its NSP action plan substantial amendment, a grantee will define “affordable rents” and the continued affordability standards and enforcement mechanisms that it will apply for each (or all) of its NSP activities. HUD will consider any grantee adopting the HOME program standards at 24 CFR 92.250(d)(1), and 92.254, to be in minimal compliance with this standard and expects any other standards proposed and applied by a grantee to be enforceable and longer in duration. (Note that HERA’s continued affordability standard is longer than that required of subrecipients and participating units of general local government under 24 CFR 570.503 and 570.501(b).)

   b. The grantee must require each NSP-assisted homebuyer to receive and complete at least 8 hours of homebuyer counseling from a HUD-approved housing counseling agency before obtaining a mortgage loan. If the grantee is unable to meet this requirement for a good cause (e.g., there are no HUD-approved housing counseling agencies within the grantee’s jurisdiction, or there are no HUD-approved housing counseling agencies within the grantee’s jurisdiction that engage in homebuyer counseling), the grantee may submit a request for an exception to this requirement to the responsible HUD field office, and the HUD field office has the authority to grant an exception for good cause. The grantee must ensure that the homebuyer obtains a mortgage loan from a lender who agrees to comply with the bank regulators’ guidance for non-traditional mortgages (see, Statement on Subprime Mortgage Lending issued by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Department of the Treasury, and National Credit Union Administration, available at http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/laws/rules/5000–5160.html). Grantees must design NSP programs to comply with this requirement and must document compliance in the records, for each homebuyer. Grantees are cautioned against providing or permitting homebuyers to obtain subprime mortgages for whom such mortgages are inappropriate, including homebuyers who qualify for traditional mortgage loans.

4. Citizen participation alternative requirement. HUD is providing an alternative requirement to 42 U.S.C. 5304(a)(2) and (3), to expedite distribution of grant funds and to provide for expedited citizen participation for the NSP substantial amendment. Provisions of 24 CFR 91.105(k), 91.115(i), 570.302 and 570.486, with respect to following the citizen participation plan, are waived to the extent necessary to allow the grantee to provide no fewer than 15 calendar days for citizen comment (rather than 30 days) for its initial NSP submission and any subsequent substantial NSP action plan amendment, and to require that, at the time of submission to HUD, each grantee post its approved action plan amendment on its official Web site along with a summary of citizen comments received within the 15-day comment period. After HUD processes and approves the plan amendment and both HUD and the grantee have signed the grant agreement, HUD will establish the grantee’s line of credit in the amount of funds included in the Action Plan amendment, up to the amount.

5. Joint requests. To expedite the use of funds, HUD is providing an alternative requirement to 42 U.S.C. 5304(i) and is waiving 24 CFR 570.308 to the extent necessary to allow for additional joint programs described below.

   a. Unit of General Local Government Joint Agreements. Two or more contiguous jurisdictions that are eligible to receive a NSP3 allocation and are located in the same metropolitan area...
may enter into joint agreements. All members to the joint agreement must be eligible to receive NSP1 or NSP3 funds, and one unit of general local government must be designated as the lead entity. The lead entity must execute the NSP grant agreement with HUD. Consistent with 24 CFR 570.308, the lead entity must assume responsibility for administering the NSP grant on behalf of all members, in compliance with applicable program requirements. The lead entity’s substantial amendment to the action plan or abbreviated plan will include all participating communities.

b. Joint agreements with a state. Any jurisdiction that is eligible to receive an NSP allocation may enter into a joint agreement with its state. The state shall be the lead entity and must assume responsibility for administering the NSP grant on behalf of the local government, in compliance with applicable program requirements. The substantial amendment to the state’s action plan will include any participating unit of general local government.

c. Local jurisdictions receiving reallocation funds may enter into joint agreements in accordance with paragraph B.5.a. or b., regardless of whether the local jurisdiction had a joint agreement for the original NSP allocation.

6. Effect of existing cooperation agreements governing joint programs and urban counties for NSP3 (see NSP1 Notice for parallel language for NSP1 grantees). Any cooperation agreement between a unit of general local government and a county, concerning either a joint program or participation in an urban county under 24 CFR 570.307 or 570.308, and governing CDBG funds appropriated for Federal FY 2010, will be considered to incorporate and apply to NSP3 funding. Any such cooperation agreements will continue to apply to the use of NSP3 funds until the NSP3 funds are expended and the NSP3 grant is closed out. Grantees should note that certain provisions in existing cooperation agreements that govern CDBG funding may be inconsistent with parts of HERA, the Recovery Act, the Dodd-Frank Act or this notice. For instance, set minimum and/or maximum allocation amounts may conflict with priority distributions to areas of greatest need identified in the grantee’s action plan substantial amendment. Conforming amendments should be made to existing cooperation agreements, as necessary, to comply with NSP statutory requirements and this notice.

C. Reimbursement for Pre-Award Costs

Background

NSP grantees will need to move forward rapidly to prepare the NSP substantial amendment or abbreviated plan and to undertake other administrative actions, including environmental reviews, as soon as allocations are known. Therefore, HUD is granting permission to states and jurisdictions receiving a direct allocation of NSP funds to incur pre-award costs as if each was a new grantee preparing to receive its first allocation of CDBG funds.

Requirement

HUD is waiving 24 CFR 570.200(h) to the extent necessary to grant permission to jurisdictions receiving a direct NSP allocation under this notice to incur pre-award costs as if each was a new grantee preparing to receive its first allocation of CDBG funds. Similarly, in accordance with OMB Circular A–87, Attachment B, paragraph 31, HUD is allowing states to incur pre-award costs as if each was a new grantee preparing to receive its first allocation of CDBG funds. NSP grantees will be allowed to incur costs necessary to develop the NSP substantial action plan amendment and undertake other administrative actions necessary to receive its first grant, prior to the costs being included in the final plan, provided that the other conditions of 24 CFR 570.200(h) are met. (For units of general local government applying to the state (including entitlements not receiving a direct NSP allocation under this notice), 24 CFR 570.489(b) applies unmodified. Units of general local government receiving direct NSP allocations may incur pre-award costs as would an entitlement community.)

D. Grantee Capacity and Grant Conditions

Background

In the October 6, 2008 Notice, HUD encouraged each local jurisdiction receiving an allocation to carefully consider its administrative capacity to use the funds within the statutory deadline. To support this consideration, HUD will provide each grantee a self-assessment tool that grantees may find useful in better understanding their capacity to undertake and manage NSP activities. This is essentially the same self-assessment tool that is used for NSP Technical Assistance purposes and it will allow HUD to more rapidly identify capacity gaps and technical assistance needs and to provide appropriate technical assistance. Although HUD suggests that every NSP grantee complete and submit the self-assessment with its substantial amendment or abbreviated plan, HUD will require some grantees to complete and submit such a self-assessment as a special condition of receiving funding.

Requirement

For NSP grantees that HUD determines are high risk in accordance with 24 CFR 85.12(a), HUD will apply additional grant conditions in accordance with 24 CFR 85.12(b).

E. Income Eligibility Requirement Changes

Background

The NSP program includes two low- and moderate-income requirements at HERA section 2301(f)(3)(A) that supersedes existing CDBG income qualification requirements. Under the heading “Low and Moderate Income Requirement,” HERA states that:

all of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this section shall be used with respect to individuals and families whose income does not exceed 120 percent of area median income.

This provision does two main things. First, for the purposes of NSP, it effectively supersedes the overall benefit provisions of the HCD Act and the CDBG regulations, which allow up to 30 percent of a grant to be used for activities that meet a national objective other than low- and moderate-income benefit. Thus, NSP allows the use of only the low- and moderate-income benefit national objective. Activities may not qualify under NSP using the “prevent or eliminate slums and blight” or “address urgent community development needs” objectives.

Second, this provision also redefines and supersedes the definition of “low- and moderate-income,” effectively allowing households whose incomes exceed 80 percent of area median income but do not exceed 120 percent of area median income to qualify as if their incomes did not exceed the published low- and moderate-income levels of the regular CDBG program. To prevent confusion, HUD will refer to this new income group as “middle income,” and keep the regular CDBG definitions of “low-income” and “moderate income” in use. Further, HUD will characterize aggregated households whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of median income as “low-, moderate-, and middle-income households,” abbreviated as LMMH. For the purposes of NSP only, an activity may meet the HERA low- and moderate-income national objective if the assisted activity:
Provides or improves permanent residential structures that will be occupied by a household whose income is at or below 120 percent of area median income (abbreviated as LMMH); Serves an area in which at least 51 percent of the residents have incomes at or below 120 percent of area median income (LMMA); or Serves a limited clientele whose incomes are at or below 120 percent of area median income (LMMC).

HUD will use the parenthetical terms above to refer to NSP national objectives in program implementation, to avoid confusion with the regular HCD Act definitions.

Land banks are not allowed in the regular CDBG program because of the very high risk that the delay between acquiring property and meeting a national objective can be excessively long, attenuating the intended CDBG program benefits by delaying benefits far beyond the 2-year or even the 5-year consolidated plan cycles. In the regular CDBG program (and in NSP other than in an eligible land-bank use), a property acquisition activity is dependent on the subsequent re-use of the property meeting a national objective in order to demonstrate program compliance. Given this, the HERA direction that assistance to land banks is an eligible use of NSP funds requires an alternative requirement and policy clarification.

For grantees choosing to assist land banks or demolition of structures with NSP funds, the change to the income qualification level for low-, moderate- and middle-income areas will likely include most of the neighborhoods where property stabilization is required. If an assisted land bank is not merely acquiring properties, but is also working in an area in which other activities are being carried out that are intended to arrest neighborhood decline, such as maintenance, demolition, and facilitating redevelopment of the properties, HUD will, for NSP-assisted activities only, accept that the acquisition and management activities of the land bank may provide sufficient benefit to an area generally (as described in 24 CFR 570.208(a)(1) and 570.483(b)(1)) to meet a national objective (LMMA) prior to final disposition of the banked property. HUD notes that the grantee must determine the actual service area benefitting from a land bank’s activities, in accordance with the regulations.

However, HUD does not believe the benefits of just holding property are sufficient to stabilize most neighborhoods. While this is the best use of limited NSP funds absent a re-use plan. Therefore, HUD requires that a land bank may not hold a property for more than 10 years without obligating the property for a specific, eligible redevelopment of that property in accordance with NSP requirements.

Note that if a state provides funds to an entitlement community, the entitlement community must apply the area median income levels applicable to its regular CDBG program geography and not the “balance of state” levels. Other than the change in the applicable low- and moderate-income qualification level from 80 percent to 120 percent and this notice’s change to the calculation at 570.483(b)(3), the area benefit, housing, and limited clientele benefit requirements at 24 CFR 570.208(a) and 570.483(b) remain unchanged, as does the required documentation.

The other NSP low- and moderate-income related provision, as modified by the Dodd-Frank Act, states that "not less than 25 percent of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this section shall be used to house individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income."

The Dodd-Frank Act struck language in HERA that specified that funds meeting the 25 percent requirement must be used specifically for the purchase and redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed homes or properties. This means that, as of the effective date of the Dodd-Frank Act, any NSP eligible activity used to house individuals or families at or below 50 percent area medium income may be used to satisfy this requirement (i.e., vacant properties that are not abandoned or foreclosed may be used to meet the requirement as well as eligible commercial properties that are reused to house individuals and families at or below 50% AMI). However, NSP1 and NSP2 funds already obligated or expended prior July 21, 2010, do not retroactively satisfy this requirement.

HUD advises grantees to take note of this threshold as they design NSP activities. This provision does not have a parallel in the regular CDBG program. Grantees must document that an amount equal to at least 25 percent of a grantee’s NSP grant (initial allocation plus any program income) has been budgeted in the initial approved action plan substantial amendment or abbreviated plan for activities that will provide housing for income-qualified individuals or families. Prior to and at grant closeout, HUD will review grantees for compliance with this provision by determining whether at least 25 percent of grant funds have been expended for housing for individual households whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

HUD is providing a waiver and alternative requirement to allow grantees to determine low- and moderate income benefit on a unit basis to allow greater support of mixed-income housing than the structure basis required by 24 CFR 570.483(b)(3).

(Under the cited regulation, the general rule is that at least 51 percent of the residents of an assisted structure must be income eligible.) Under the unit approach, one or more of the units in a structure must house income-eligible families, but the remainder of the units may be market rate, so long as the proportion of assistance provided compared to the overall project budget is no more than the proportion of units that will be occupied by income-eligible households compared to the number of units in the overall project. Under the unit approach, the number of income-eligible units is proportional to the amount of assistance provided. Note that this approach may only be used if the units are generally comparable in size and finishes. Based on HUD experience, this approach is generally more compatible with large-scale development of mixed-income housing than the structure approach under which a dollar of CDBG assistance to a structure means that 51 percent of the units must meet income requirements.

For the purposes of NSP, adopting the unit basis continues to benefit individuals and families whose income does not exceed 120 percent of area median income by limiting the proportion of the funding to the proportion of units that are being assisted with NSP funds. This approach also helps to avoid displacing existing over-income tenants in a building being treated with NSP. Finally, it promotes the type of mixed-income developments that experience shows to be more successful both economically and socially. Therefore, the waiver and alternative requirements allow the grantee a choice. The grantee may measure benefit within a housing development project (1) according to the existing CDBG requirements, (2) according to the HOME program requirements at 24 CFR 92.205(d) or (3) according to the modified CDBG alternative requirements specified in this notice, which extend the CDBG exception noted above. The grantee must select and use just one method for each project.
Requirements

1. Overall benefit supersession and alternative requirement. The requirements at 42 U.S.C. 5301(c), 42 U.S.C. 5304(b)(3)(A), 24 CFR 570.484 (for states), and 24 CFR 570.200(a)(3) that 70 percent of funds are for activities that benefit low- and moderate-income persons are superseded and replaced by section 2301(f)(3)(A) of HERA. One hundred percent of NSP funds must be used to benefit individuals and households whose income does not exceed 120 percent of area median income. NSP shall refer to such households as “low-, moderate-, and middle-income.”

2. National objectives supersession and alternative requirements. The requirements at 42 U.S.C. 5301(c) are superseded and 24 CFR 570.208(a) and 570.483 are waived to the extent necessary to allow the following alternative requirements:
   a. for purposes of NSP only, the term “low- and moderate-income person” as it appears throughout the CDBG regulations at 24 CFR part 570 shall be defined as a member of a low-, moderate-, and middle-income household, and the term “low- and moderate-income household” as it appears throughout the CDBG regulations shall be defined as a household having an income equal to or less than 120 percent of area median income, measured as 2.4 times the current Section 8 income limit for households below 50 percent of median income, adjusted for family size. A state choosing to carry out an activity directly must apply the requirements of 24 CFR 570.208(a) to determine whether the activity has met the low-, moderate-, and middle-income (LMMI) national objective and must maintain the documentation required at 24 CFR 570.506 to demonstrate compliance to HUD.
   b. The national objectives related to prevention and elimination of slums and blight and addressing urgent community development needs (24 CFR 570.208(b) and (c) and 570.483(c) and (d)) are not applicable to NSP-assisted activities.
   c. Each grantee whose plan includes assisting rental housing shall develop and make public its definition of affordable rents for NSP-assisted rental projects.
   d. An NSP-assisted property may not be held in a land bank for more than 10 years without obligating the property for a specific, eligible redevelopment of that property in accordance with NSP requirements.

   e. Not less than 25 percent of any NSP grant shall be used to house individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

   f. HUD will consider assistance for a multi-unit housing project involving new construction, acquisition, reconstruction, or rehabilitation to benefit LMMI households in the following circumstances:
      (i) The NSP assistance defrays the development costs of a housing project providing eligible permanent residential units that, upon completion, will be occupied by income-qualified households; and
      (B) if the project is rental, the units occupied by income-qualified households will be leased at affordable rents. The grantee or unit of general local government shall adopt and make public its standards for determining “affordable rents” for this purpose; and
      (C) The proportion of the total cost of developing the project to be borne by NSP assistance is no greater than the proportion of units in the project that will be occupied by income-qualified households; or
      (ii) When NSP assistance defray the development costs of eligible permanent residential units, such assistance shall be considered to benefit LMMI persons if the grantee follows the provisions of 24 CFR 92.205(d); or
      (iii) The requirements of 24 CFR 570.208(a)(3) or 570.483(b)(3) are met, as applicable.

3. Federal programs.
   a. The alternative requirements at 24 CFR part 570, including 24 CFR 570.480(a), shall be considered superseded and 24 CFR 570.483(b)(3), as applicable, is waived for that project.

4. Federal programs.
   a. The alternative requirements at 24 CFR part 570, including 24 CFR 570.480(a), shall be considered superseded and 24 CFR 570.483(b)(3), as applicable, is waived for that project.

5. In the State CDBG Program, states receiving CDBG funds may not directly use the funds for activities, but must distribute them to units of general local government, which then use the funds for program activities. HUD also notes the language of HERA section 2301(c) that says, in part, that:
   “Any State * * * that receives amounts pursuant to this section shall * * * use such amounts to purchase and redevelop * * *”

This clearly speaks to the states using funds directly for projects and supersedes the HCD Act direction for states to only distribute funds to nonentitlement areas. Direct use of funds by a state may also result in more expeditious use of NSP funds. Therefore, a state receiving NSP funds may carry out NSP activities directly for some or all of its assisted grant activities, just as CDBG entitlement communities do under 24 CFR 570.200(f), including, but not limited to, carrying out activities using its own employees, procuring contractors, private developers, and providing loans and grants through nonprofit subrecipients (including local governments and other public nonprofits such as regional or local planning or development authorities and public housing authorities).
For those activities a state chooses to carry out directly, HUD strongly advises the state to adopt the recordkeeping required for an entitlement community at 24 CFR 570.506 and the subrecipient agreement provisions at 24 CFR 570.503. Also, in such cases, as an alternative requirement to 24 U.S.C. 5304(i), the state may retain and re-use program income as if it were an entitlement community.

HUD is granting regulatory waivers of State CDBG regulations to conform the applicable management, real property change of use, and recordkeeping rules when a state chooses to carry out activities as if it were an entitlement community.

Requirements

1. Responsibility for state review and handling of noncompliance. This change conforms NSP requirements with the waiver allowing the state to carry out activities directly. 24 CFR 570.492 is waived and the following alternative requirement applies: The state shall make reviews and audits, including on-site reviews of any subrecipients, designated public agencies, and units of general local government as may be necessary or appropriate to meet the requirements of 42 U.S.C. 5304(e)(2), as amended, as modified by this notice. In the case of noncompliance with these requirements, the state shall take such actions as may be appropriate to prevent a continuance of the deficiency, mitigate any adverse effects or consequences, and prevent a recurrence. The state shall establish remedies for noncompliance by any designated public agencies or units of general local governments and for its subrecipients.

2. Change of use for real property for state grantees acting directly. This waiver conforms the change of use of real property rule to the waiver allowing a state to carry out activities directly. For purposes of this program, in 24 CFR 570.489(j), (j)(1), and the last sentence of (j)(2), “unit of general local government” shall be read as “unit of general local government or state.”

3. Recordkeeping for a state grantee acting directly. Recognizing that the state may carry out activities directly, 24 CFR 570.490(b) is waived in such a case and the following alternative provision shall apply:

State Records. The state shall establish and maintain such records as may be necessary to facilitate review and audit by HUD of the state’s administration of NSP funds under 24 CFR 570.492 is waived and with applicable statutes, regulations, waivers and alternative requirements, and other Federal requirements, the content of records maintained by the state shall be sufficient to: (1) Enable HUD to make the applicable determinations described at 24 CFR 570.493; (2) make compliance determinations for activities carried out directly by the state; and (3) show how activities funded are consistent with the descriptions of activities proposed for funding in the action plan. For fair housing and equal opportunity purposes, and as applicable, such records shall include data on the racial, ethnic, and gender characteristics of persons who are applicants for, participants in, or beneficiaries of the program.

4. State compliance with certifications for state grantees acting directly. This is a conforming change related to the waiver to allow a state to act directly. Because a state grantee under this appropriation may carry out activities directly, HUD is applying the regulations at 24 CFR 570.480(c) with respect to the basis for HUD determining whether the state has failed to carry out its certifications, so that such basis shall be that the state has failed to carry out its certifications in compliance with applicable program requirements.

5. Clarifying note on the process for environmental release of funds when a state carries out activities directly. Usually, a state distributes CDBG funds to units of local government and takes on HUD’s role in receiving environmental certifications from the grantees and approving releases of funds. For NSP, HUD allows a state grantee to also carry out activities directly instead of distributing them to other governments. According to the environmental regulations at 24 CFR 58.4, when a state carries out activities directly, the state must submit the certification and request for release of funds to HUD for approval.

H. Eligibility and Allowable Costs

Background

Most of the activities eligible under NSP are correlated with CDBG-eligible activities under 42 U.S.C. 5305(a). This correlation reduces implementation risks, because it ensures that the NSP grants are administered largely in accordance with long-established CDBG rules and controls. The table in the requirements paragraph below shows the eligible uses under NSP and the eligible activities from the regulations for the regular CDBG entitlement program that HUD has determined best correspond to those uses. If a grantee creates a program design that includes a CDBG-eligible activity that is not shown in the table to support an NSP-eligible use, the Department is providing an alternative requirement to 42 U.S.C. 5305(a) that HUD may allow a grantee an additional eligible-activity category if HUD finds the activity to be in compliance with NSP statutory requirements. As under the regular CDBG program, grantees may fund costs, such as reasonable developer’s fees, related to NSP-assisted housing rehabilitation or construction activities. Only NSP1 funds may be used to redevelop acquired property for nonresidential uses, such as public parks, commercial uses, or mixed residential and commercial uses. Redevelopment activities using NSP2 and NSP3 funds must be for housing. The annual entitlement CDBG program allows up to 20 percent of any grant amount plus program income may be used for general administration and planning costs. The State CDBG Program is also subject to the 20 percent limitation, but within that cap up to 3 percent may be used by the state for state administrative costs and technical assistance to potential local government program grantees, with the remainder available to be granted to local government grantees for their administrative costs. Because some of the costs usually allocated under these caps are not applicable to NSP grants (for example, the costs of completing the entire consolidated plan process), these amounts seem excessive to HUD in the context of the NSP program. On the other hand, HUD wants to encourage and support expenditure appropriate and compliant use of grant funds, and to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse of funds. Therefore, HUD is providing an alternative requirement that an amount of up to 10 percent of an NSP grant provided to a jurisdiction and of up to 10 percent of program income earned may be used for general administration and planning activities as those are defined at 24 CFR 570.205 and 206. For all grantees, including states, the 10 percent limitation applies to the grant as a whole.

The regulatory and statutory requirements for state match for program administration at 24 CFR 570.489(a)(i) are superseded by the statutory direction at section 2301(e)(2) of HERA that no matching funds shall be required for a state or unit of general local government to receive a grant.

Requirements

1. Use of grant funds must constitute an eligible use under HERA.

2. In addition to being an eligible NSP use of funds, each activity funded under NSP must also be CDBG-eligible under
42 U.S.C. 5305(a) and meet a CDBG national objective. 3a. Certain CDBG-eligible activities correlate to specific NSP-eligible uses and vice versa. 42 U.S.C. 5305(a) and 24 CFR 570.201–207 and 570.482(a) through (d) are superseded to the extent necessary to allow the eligible uses described under section 2301(c)(4) of HERA in accordance with this paragraph (including the table and subparagraphs below) or with permission granted, in writing, by HUD upon a written request by the grantee that demonstrates that the proposed activity constitutes an eligible use under NSP. All NSP grantees, including states, will use the NSP categories and CDBG entitlement regulations listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NSP-eligible uses</th>
<th>Correlated eligible activities from the CDBG entitlement regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Establish financing mechanisms for purchase and redevelopment of</td>
<td>• As part of an activity delivery cost for an eligible activity as defined in 24 CFR 570.206.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreclosed upon homes and residential properties, including such</td>
<td>• Also, the eligible activities listed below to the extent financing mechanisms are used to carry them out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mechanisms as soft-seconds, loan loss reserves, and shared-equity loans for</td>
<td>• 24 CFR 570.201(a) Acquisition (b) Disposition, (i) Relocation, and (n) Direct homeownership assistance (as modified below);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low- and moderate-income homebuyers.</td>
<td>• 24 CFR 570.202 eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for homes and other residential properties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Purchase and rehabilitate homes and residential properties that have</td>
<td>• HUD notes that any of the activities listed above may include required homebuyer counseling as an activity delivery cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>been abandoned or foreclosed upon, in order to sell, rent, or redevelop</td>
<td>• 24 CFR 570.201(a) Acquisition and (b) Disposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>such homes and properties.</td>
<td>• 24 CFR 570.201(d) Clearance for blighted structures only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Establish and operate land banks for homes and residential properties that</td>
<td>• 24 CFR 570.201(a) Acquisition, (b) Disposition, (c) Public facilities and improvements, (e) Public services for housing counseling,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>have been foreclosed upon.</td>
<td>but only to the extent that counseling beneficiaries are limited to prospective purchasers or tenants of the redeveloped properties,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) Demolish blighted structures</td>
<td>(i) Relocation, and (n) Direct homeownership assistance (as modified below).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) Redevelop demolished or vacant properties as housing.*</td>
<td>• 24 CFR 570.202 Eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for demolished or vacant properties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 24 CFR 570.204 Community based development organizations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• HUD notes that any of the activities listed above may include required homebuyer counseling as an activity delivery cost.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NSP1 funds used under eligible use (E) may be used for nonresidential purposes, while NSP2 and NSP3 funds must be used for housing.

b. HUD will not consider requests to allow foreclosure prevention activities, or to allow demolition of structures that are not blighted. Neither will it allow purchase of residential properties and homes that have not been abandoned or foreclosed upon, except under paragraph (E) of the eligible use chart above. HUD does not have the authority to permit uses or activities not authorized by HERA.

c. New construction of housing is eligible as part of the redevelopment of demolished or vacant properties as provided in paragraph (E) of the eligible use chart above.

d. 24 CFR 570.201(n) is waived and an alternative requirement provided for 42 U.S.C. 5305(a) to the extent necessary to allow provision of NSP-assisted homeownership assistance to persons whose income does not exceed 120 percent of median income.

e. No NSP2 or NSP3 funds may be used to demolish any public housing (as defined by Section 3 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437a)).

f. For NSP2 and NSP3, a grantee may not use more than 10 percent of its grant for demolition activities under HERA in accordance with this paragraph (including the table and subparagraphs below) or with permission granted, in writing, by HUD to permit uses or activities not required by HERA. HERA defines rehabilitation to include improvements to increase the energy efficiency or conservation of such homes and properties or to provide a renewable energy source or sources for such homes and properties. Such improvements are also eligible under the regular CDBG program. HERA strongly encourages grantees to use NSP funds not only to stabilize neighborhoods in the short-term, but to strategically incorporate modern, green

I. Rehabilitation Standards

Background

HERA provides that any NSP-assisted rehabilitation of a foreclosed-upon home or residential property shall be to the extent necessary to comply with applicable laws, codes, and other requirements relating to housing safety, quality, and habitability, in order to sell, rent, or redevelop such homes and properties. HUD is also imposing this requirement for NSP3-assisted new construction. This imposes a requirement that does not exist in the CDBG program. This means that each grantee must describe or reference in its NSP action plan amendment what rehabilitation standards it will apply for NSP-assisted rehabilitation. As a reminder, grantees are subject to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Fair Housing Act, including their respective provisions related to physical accessibility standards for persons with disabilities. See 24 CFR part 8; 24 CFR 100.205. See also 24 CFR 570.487 and 24 CFR 570.602. HUD will monitor to ensure the standards are implemented.

HERA defines rehabilitation to include improvements to increase the energy efficiency or conservation of such homes and properties or to provide a renewable energy source or sources for such homes and properties. Such improvements are also eligible under the regular CDBG program. HUD strongly encourages grantees to use NSP funds not only to stabilize neighborhoods in the short-term, but to strategically incorporate modern, green

I. Rehabilitation Standards

Background

HERA provides that any NSP-assisted rehabilitation of a foreclosed-upon home or residential property shall be to the extent necessary to comply with applicable laws, codes, and other requirements relating to housing safety, quality, and habitability, in order to sell, rent, or redevelop such homes and properties. HUD is also imposing this requirement for NSP3-assisted new construction. This imposes a requirement that does not exist in the CDBG program. This means that each grantee must describe or reference in its NSP action plan amendment what rehabilitation standards it will apply for NSP-assisted rehabilitation. As a reminder, grantees are subject to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Fair Housing Act, including their respective provisions related to physical accessibility standards for persons with disabilities. See 24 CFR part 8; 24 CFR 100.205. See also 24 CFR 570.487 and 24 CFR 570.602. HUD will monitor to ensure the standards are implemented.

HERA defines rehabilitation to include improvements to increase the energy efficiency or conservation of such homes and properties or to provide a renewable energy source or sources for such homes and properties. Such improvements are also eligible under the regular CDBG program. HUD strongly encourages grantees to use NSP funds not only to stabilize neighborhoods in the short-term, but to strategically incorporate modern, green
building and energy-efficiency improvements in all NSP activities to provide for long-term affordability and increased sustainability and attractiveness of housing and neighborhoods. At minimum, NSP grantees must have the rehabilitation standards required below. See Appendix C for examples of green and energy-efficiency actions. Additional resources related to sustainable and energy-efficient construction are available on the NSP Resource Exchange Web site (http://www.hud.gov/nspta).

Requirement. For NSP3, HUD is requiring that all gut rehabilitation (i.e., general replacement of the interior of a building that may or may not include changes to structural elements such as flooring systems, columns or load bearing interior or exterior walls) or new construction of residential buildings up to three stories must be designed to meet the standard for Energy Star Qualified New Homes. All gut rehabilitation or new construction of mid- or high-rise multifamily housing must be designed to meet American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) Standard 90.1–2004, Appendix G plus 20 percent (which is the Energy Star standard for multifamily buildings piloted by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Energy). Other rehabilitation must meet these standards to the extent applicable to the rehabilitation work undertaken, e.g., replace older obsolete products and appliances (such as windows, doors, lighting, hot water heaters, furnaces, boilers, air conditioning units, refrigerators, clothes washers and dishwashers) with Energy Star-labeled products. Water efficient toilets, showers, and faucets, such as those with the WaterSense label, must be installed. Where relevant, the housing should be improved to mitigate the impact of disasters (e.g., earthquake, hurricane, flooding, fires).

J. Sale of Homes

Background

Section 2301(d)(3) of HERA directs that, if an abandoned or foreclosed-upon home or residential property is purchased, redeveloped, or otherwise sold to an individual as a primary residence, then such sale shall be in an amount equal to or less than the cost to acquire and redevelop or rehabilitate such home or property up to a decent, safe, and habitable condition. (Sales and closing costs are eligible NSP redevelopment or rehabilitation costs). Note that the maximum sales price for a property is determined by aggregating all costs of acquisition, rehabilitation, and redevelopment (including related activity delivery costs, which generally may include, among other items, costs related to the sale of the property).

Requirements

1. In its records, each grantee must maintain sufficient documentation about the purchase and sale amounts of each property and the sources and uses of funds for each activity so that HUD can determine whether the grantee is in compliance with this requirement. A grantee will be expected to provide this documentation individually for each activity.

2. In determining the sales price limitation, HUD will not consider the costs of boarding up, lawn mowing, simply maintaining the property in a static condition, or, in the absence of NSP-assisted rehabilitation or redevelopment of the property, the costs of completing a sales transaction or other disposition to be redevelopment or rehabilitation costs. These costs may not be included by the grantee in the determination of the sales price for an NSP-assisted property.

3. With respect to requiring a housing program involving multiple single-family structures under the management of a single entity, HUD will permit reporting the aggregation of activity delivery costs across the total portfolio of projects until completion of the program or closeout of the grant with HUD, whichever comes earlier.

K. Acquisition and Relocation

Background

Acquisition of Foreclosed-Upon Properties. HUD notes that section 2301(d)(1) of HERA conflicts with section 301(3) of the URA (42 U.S.C. 4651) and related regulatory requirements at 49 CFR 24.102(d). As discussed further, section 2301(d)(1) of HERA requires that any acquisition of a foreclosed-upon home or residential property under NSP be at a discount from the current market-appraised value of the home or property and that such discount shall ensure that purchasers are paying below-market value for the home or property. Section 301(3) of the URA, as implemented at 49 CFR 24.102(d), provides that an offer of just compensation shall not be less than the agency’s approved appraisal of the fair market value of such property. These URA acquisition policies apply to any acquisition of real property for a federally funded project, except for acquisitions described in 49 CFR 24.101(b)(1) through (5) (commonly referred to as “voluntary acquisitions”). As the more recent and specific statutory provision, section 2301(d)(1) of HERA prevails over section 301 of the URA for purposes of NSP-assisted acquisitions of foreclosed-upon homes or residential properties.

NSP Appraisal Requirements. Section 2301(d)(1) of HERA requires an appraisal for purposes of determining the statutory purchase discount. This appraisal requirement applies to any NSP-assisted acquisition of a foreclosed-upon home or residential property (including voluntary acquisitions). As noted above, section 301 of the URA does not apply to voluntary acquisitions. While the URA and its regulations do not require appraisals for such acquisitions, the URA acquisition policies do not prohibit acquiring agencies from obtaining appraisals. Appendix A, 49 CFR 24.101(b)(1)(iv) and (2)(ii), acknowledges that acquiring agencies may still obtain an appraisal to support their determination of fair market value.

One-for-One Replacement. HUD is providing an alternative requirement to the one-for-one replacement requirements set forth in 42 U.S.C. 5304(d)(2), as implemented at 24 CFR 42.375. The Department anticipates a large number of requests from grantees for whom the requirements will be onerous given the pressing rush to implement NSP, and several of the major housing markets affected by the foreclosure crisis have a surplus of abandoned and foreclosed-upon residential properties. The additional workload of reviewing requests under 42 U.S.C. 5304(d)(3) and 24 CFR 42.375(d) could cause a substantial backlog at HUD and delay NSP program operations. Therefore, the alternative requirement is that an NSP grantee is not required to meet the requirements of 42 U.S.C. 5304(d), as implemented at 24 CFR 42.375, to provide one-for-one replacement of low- and moderate-income dwelling units demolished or converted in connection with activities assisted with NSP funds. Alternatively, each grantee must submit the information described below relating to its demolition and conversion activities in its action plan substantial amendment or abbreviated plan. The grantee will report to HUD and citizens (via prominent posting of the DRGR reports on the grantee’s official Internet site) on progress related to these measures until the closeout of its grant with HUD. HUD reminds grantees to be aware of the requirement to have and follow a residential antidisplacement and relocation plan for HOPE and HOME programs. This requirement is not waived for those programs and
continues to apply to activities assisted with regular CDBG and HOME funds.  

Relocation Assistance. HUD is not waiving or specifying alternative requirements to the URA’s relocation provisions. Those requirements that do not conflict with HERA continue to apply. HUD is not specifying alternative requirements to the relocation assistance provisions at 42 U.S.C. 5304(d). Guidance on meeting these requirements is available on the HUD Web site and through local HUD field offices. HUD urges grantees to consider URA requirements in designing their programs and to remember that there are URA obligations related to voluntary and involuntary property acquisition activities, even for vacant and abandoned property.  

Tenant Protections. The Recovery Act included tenant protections applicable to NSP grants. First, the Recovery Act included a provision applicable to any foreclosed upon dwelling or residential real property that was acquired by the initial successor in interest pursuant to the foreclosure after February 17, 2009 and was occupied by a "bona fide" tenant at the time of foreclosure. The use of NSP funds for acquisition of such property is subject to a determination by the grantee that the initial successor in interest complied with these requirements. Second, NSP grantees may not refuse to lease a dwelling unit in housing with such loan or grant to a participant under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f) because of the status of the prospective tenant as such a participant.  

Requirements  

One for One Replacement Requirements.  
1. The one-for-one replacement requirements at 24 CFR 570.488, 570.606(c), and 42.375 are waived for low- and moderate-income dwelling units demolished or converted in connection with an activity assisted with NSP funds. As an alternative requirement to 42 U.S.C. 5304(d)(2)(A)(i) and (ii), each grantee planning to demolish or convert any low- and moderate-income dwelling units as a result of an NSP-assisted activity must identify all of the following information in its NSP substantial amendment or abbreviated plan:  
(a) The number of low- and moderate-income dwelling units reasonably expected to be demolished or converted as a direct result of NSP-assisted activities;  
(b) The number of NSP affordable housing units (made available to low-, moderate-, and middle-income households) reasonably expected to be produced, by activity and income level as provided for in DRGR, by each NSP activity providing such housing (including a proposed time schedule for commencement and completion); and  
(c) The number of dwelling units reasonably expected to be made available for households whose income does not exceed 50 percent of area median income.  
The grantee must also report on actual performance for demolitions and production, as required elsewhere in this notice.  

Tenant Protections.  
2. The following requirements apply to any foreclosed upon dwelling or residential real property that was acquired by the initial successor in interest pursuant to the foreclosure after February 17, 2009 and was occupied by a "bona fide" tenant at the time of foreclosure. The use of NSP funds for acquisition of such property is subject to a determination by the grantee that the initial successor in interest complied with these requirements.  

a. The initial successor in interest in a foreclosed upon dwelling or residential real property shall provide a notice to vacate to any "bona fide" tenant at least 90 days before the effective date of such notice. The initial successor in interest shall assume such interest subject to the rights of any "bona fide" tenant, as of the date of such notice of foreclosure: (i) Under any "bona fide" lease entered into before the date of notice of foreclosure to occupy the premises until the end of the remaining term of the lease, except that a successor in interest may terminate a lease effective on the date of sale of the unit to a purchaser who will occupy the unit as a primary residence, subject to the receipt by the tenant of the 90-day notice under this paragraph; or (ii) without a lease or with a lease terminable at will under State law, subject to the receipt by the tenant of the 90-day notice under this paragraph, except that nothing in this section shall affect the requirements for termination of any Federal- or State-subsidized tenancy or of any State or local law that provides longer time periods or other additional protections for tenants.  

b. In the case of any qualified foreclosed housing in which a recipient of assistance under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f) (the “Section 8 Program”) resides at the time of foreclosure, the initial successor in interest shall be subject to the tenancy or of any State or local law that affects the requirements for termination of the tenancy unless the property is unmarketable while occupied or unless the owner or subsequent purchaser desires the unit for personal or family use.  

ii. Vacating the property prior to sale shall not constitute good cause for termination of the tenancy unless the property is unmarketable while occupied or unless the owner or subsequent purchaser desires the unit for personal or family use.  

iii. If a public housing agency is unable to make payments under the contract to the immediate successor in interest after foreclosure, due to (A) an action or inaction by the successor in interest, including the rejection of payments or the failure of the successor to maintain the unit in compliance with the Section 8 Program or (B) an inability to identify the successor, the agency may use funds that would have been used to pay the rental amount on behalf of the family—(1) to pay for utilities that are the responsibility of the owner under the lease or applicable law, after taking reasonable steps to notify the owner that it intends to make payments to a utility provider in lieu of payments to the owner, except prior notification shall not be required in any case in which the unit will be or has been rendered uninhabitable due to the termination or threat of termination of service, in which case the public housing agency shall notify the owner within a reasonable time after making such payment; or (2) for the family’s reasonable moving costs, including security deposit costs.  

c. For purposes of this section, a lease or tenancy shall be considered "bona fide" only if: (i) the mortgagor under the contract is not the tenant; (ii) the lease or tenancy was the result of an arm’s length transaction; and (iii) the lease or tenancy requires the receipt of rent that is not substantially less than fair market rent for the property. See Section II.A for the definition of date of notice of foreclosure.  

d. The grantee shall maintain documentation of its efforts to ensure that the initial successor in interest in a foreclosed upon dwelling or residential real property has complied with the requirements under section K.2.a. and K.2.b. If the grantee determines that the initial successor in interest in such property failed to comply with such requirements, it may not use NSP funds to finance the acquisition of such property unless it assumes the obligations of the initial successor in interest specified in section K.2.a. and K.2.b.  
e. Grantees must provide the relocation assistance required pursuant to 24 CFR 570.606 to tenants displaced as a result of an NSP-assisted activity and maintain records in sufficient detail to demonstrate compliance with the provisions of that section. For purposes
of clarification, grantees need to be aware that the NSP tenant protection requirements under the Recovery Act are separate and apart from the obligations imposed on grantees by the URA. The URA applies to any person displaced as a direct result of acquisition, rehabilitation, and/or demolition of real property for a federally-assisted project. Eligibility determinations under the URA and the required notices and relocation assistance requirements are separate and distinct from the NSP tenant protections in the Recovery Act. Grantees cannot assume that a person entitled to the NSP tenant protections under the Recovery Act is also eligible for assistance under the URA (or vice versa). Any tenant lawfully occupying the property evicted by the owner/mortgagor in order to facilitate an acquisition under the NSP program (including short sales) is most likely eligible for URA relocation assistance and payments as a displaced person.

3. The grantee of any grant or loan made from NSP funds may not refuse to lease a dwelling unit in housing with such loan or grant to a participant under the Section 8 Program because of the status of the prospective tenant as such a participant.

4. This section shall not preempt any Federal, State or local law that provides more protections for tenants.

L. Note on Eminent Domain

Although section 2303 of HERA appears to allow some use of eminent domain for public purposes, HUD cautions grantees that HERA section 2301(d)(1) may effectively ensure that all NSP-assisted property acquisitions must be voluntary acquisitions as the term is defined by the URA and its implementing regulations. Section 2301(d)(1) of HERA directs that any purchase of a foreclosed-upon home or residential property under NSP be at a discount from the current market appraised value of the home or residential property and that such discount shall ensure that purchasers are paying below-market value for the home or property. However, the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides that private property shall not be taken for public use without just compensation. The Supreme Court has ruled that a jurisdiction must pay fair market value for the purchase of property through eminent domain. A grantee contemplating using NSP funds to assist an acquisition involving an eminent domain action is advised to consult appropriate legal counsel before taking action.

M. Timeliness of Use and Expenditure of NSP Funds

Background

One of the most critical NSP1 provisions is the HERA requirement at section 2301(c)(1) that any grantee receiving a grant:

"* * * shall, not later than 18 months after the receipt of such amounts, use such amounts to purchase and redevelop abandoned and foreclosed homes and residential properties."

HUD has defined the term "use" in this notice to include obligation of funds.

A further complication is that HERA clearly expects grantees to earn program income under this grant program. As provided under 24 CFR 85.21, entitlements grantees and subrecipients shall disburse program income before requesting additional cash withdrawals from the U.S. Treasury. States are governed similarly by 24 CFR 570.489(e)(3) and 31 CFR part 205. This requirement is reflected in the regulations governing use of program income by states and units of general local government under the CDBG program. This means that a grantee that successfully and quickly deploys its program and determines program income may obligate, draw down, and expend an amount equal to its NSP1 allocation amount, and still have funds remaining in its line of credit, possibly subject to recapture at the 18-month deadline.

On consideration, the Department chose to implement the NSP1 use test based on whether the state or unit of general local government has expended or obligated the NSP1 grant funds and program income in an aggregate amount at least equal to the NSP1 allocation. HUD also imposed a deadline for expending NSP1 grant funds because the intent of these grants clearly is to quickly address an emergency situation in areas of the greatest need.

NSP2 and NSP3 grants follow the statutory expenditure deadlines described under the Recovery Act, which provides that grantees:

"shall expend at least 50 percent of allocated funds within 2 years of the date funds become available to the [recipient] for obligation, and 100 percent of such funds within 3 years of such date."

NSP2 and NSP3 expenditure timelines are tighter than under NSP1. In the NSP2 NOFA, HUD required NSP2 grantees to expend their entire grant, including program income, within the statutory timeframes. Upon reflection, HUD has determined that the better interpretation would be similar to the NSP1 requirement that requires the expenditure of grant funds and program income in an aggregate amount at least equal to the NSP2 or NSP3 allocation. HUD is therefore including a revision to the NSP2 NOFA program requirements in this Notice. If any NSP grantee fails to meet the requirement to expend an amount equal to its grant within the relevant timelines, HUD, on the first business day after that deadline, will notify the grantee and restrict the amount of unused funds in the grantee’s line of credit. HUD will allow the grantee 30 days to submit information to HUD regarding any additional expenditure of funds not already recorded in DRGR. Then HUD may proceed to recapture the unused funds or provide for other corrective action(s) or sanction.

Requirements

1. Timely use of NSP1 funds. At the end of the statutory 18-month use period, which begins when the NSP grantee receives its funds from HUD, the state or unit of general local government NSP grantee’s accounting records and DRGR information must reflect outlays (expenditures) and unliquidated obligations imposed on grantees by the URA and the requirements under the Recovery Act and the CDBG program. This means that a grantee that successfully and quickly deploys its program and determines program income may obligate, draw down, and expend an amount equal to its NSP1 allocation amount, and still have funds remaining in its line of credit, possibly subject to recapture at the 18-month deadline.

2. Timely expenditure of NSP1 funds. The timely distribution or expenditure requirements of sections 24 CFR 570.494 and 570.902 are waived to the extent necessary to allow the following alternative requirement: All NSP1 grantees must expend on eligible NSP activities an amount equal to or greater than the initial allocation of NSP1 funds within 4 years of receipt of those funds or HUD will recapture and reallocate the amount of funds not expended.

3. Timely expenditure of NSP2 and NSP3 funds. The timely distribution or expenditure requirements of sections 24 CFR 570.494 and 570.902 are waived to the extent necessary to allow the following alternative requirement: NSP2 and NSP3 grantees must expend on eligible NSP activities an amount equal to or greater than the 50 percent of the initial allocation of NSP funds within 3 years of receipt of those funds or HUD will recapture and reallocate the amount of funds not expended.
NSP grant at the time HUD signs its NSP grant agreement.

N. Alternative Requirement for Program Income (Revenue) Generated By Activities Assisted With Grant Funds

Requirement
1. Revenue (i.e., gross income) received by a state, unit of general local government, or subrecipient (as defined at 24 CFR 570.500(c)) that is directly generated from the use of CDBG funds (which term includes NSP grant funds) constitutes CDBG program income. To ensure consistency of treatment of such program income, the definition of program income at 24 CFR 570.500(a) shall be applied to amounts received by states, units of general local government, and subrecipients.

2. Cash management. Substantially all program income must be disbursed for eligible NSP activities before additional cash withdrawals are made from the U.S. Treasury.

3. Agreements with subrecipients. States and units of general local government must incorporate in subrecipient agreements such provisions as are necessary to ensure compliance with the requirements of this section.

O. Reporting

Background
HUD is requiring regular reporting on each NSP grant in the DRGR system to ensure the Department has sufficient management information to follow-up promptly if a grantee lags in implementation and risks recapture of its grant funds. For NSP, HUD is waiving the annual reporting requirements of the consolidated plan to allow HUD to collect more regular information on various aspects of the uses of funds and of the activities funded with these grants. HUD will use the reports to exercise oversight for compliance with the requirements of this notice and for prevention of fraud, waste, and abuse of funds.

The regular CDBG performance measurement requirements will not apply to the NSP funds. HUD has configured DRGR performance measures to fit the NSP activities and will provide additional guidance on NSP performance measures.

To collect these data elements and to meet its reporting requirements, HUD is requiring each grantee to report on its NSP funds to HUD using the online DRGR system, which uses a streamlined, Internet-based format. HUD will use grantee reports to monitor for anomalies or performance problems that suggest fraud, waste, and abuse of funds; to reconcile budgets, obligations, fund draws, and expenditures; to calculate applicable administrative and public service limitations and the overall percent of benefit to LMMI persons; and as a basis for risk analysis in determining a monitoring plan.

The grantee must post the NSP report on a Web site for its citizens when it submits the report to HUD (DRGR generates a version of the report that the grantee can download, save, and post). The Office of Management and Budget has established October 1, 2010 as the deadline for Federal agencies to initiate sub-award reporting in compliance with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (Pub. L. 109–282) (FFATA). NSP3 grantees will be required to comply with this additional reporting requirement. Additional HUD guidance on compliance with the FFATA requirements is forthcoming.

Requirements
1. Performance report alternative requirement. The Secretary may specify the form and timing of reports provided by the grantee under both 42 U.S.C. 5304(e) (the HCD Act) and 42 U.S.C. 12708 (NAHA). Therefore, the consolidated plan regulation at 24 CFR 91.520 is waived and the alternative reporting form and timing for the NSP funds is that:
   a. Each grantee must enter its NSP Action Plan amendment or abbreviated plan into HUD’s web-based DRGR system in sufficient detail to meet the NSP action plan content requirements of this notice and to serve as the basis for acceptable performance reports.
   b. NSP1 and NSP3 grantees must submit a quarterly performance report, as HUD prescribes, no later than 30 days following the end of each quarter, beginning 30 days after the completion of the first full calendar quarter after grant award and continuing until the end of the grant. In addition to this quarterly performance reporting, beginning three months prior to its use or expenditure deadline, as applicable, each grantee will report monthly on its NSP use and expenditure of funds, and continuing monthly until reported total uses or expenditure of funds are equal to or greater than the total NSP grant or the deadline occurs. After HUD has accepted a report from a grantee showing such use or expenditure of funds, the monthly reporting requirement will end. Quarterly reports will continue until all NSP funds (including program income) have been expended and those expenditures are included in a report to HUD, or until HUD issues other instructions. Each report will include information about the uses of funds, including, but not limited to, the project name, activity, location, national objective, funds budgeted and expended, the funding source and total amount of any non-NSP funds, numbers of properties and housing units, beginning and ending dates of activities, beneficiary characteristics, and numbers of low- and moderate-income persons or households benefiting. Reports must be submitted using HUD’s web-based DRGR system and, at the time of submission, be posted prominently on the grantee’s official Web site.
   c. Additional reporting requirements consistent with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act will be required for NSP3 Grantees. HUD guidance on these requirements is forthcoming.

P. FHA First Look Program

The Department notes that it is an eligible use of NSP grant funds to acquire and redevelop FHA foreclosed properties. The Federal Housing Administration’s (FHA) First Look sales method provides NSP grantees exclusive access to review and purchase newly conveyed FHA real estate-owned (REO) properties that are located in their designated areas. Grantees will have the opportunity to make a purchase offer on a property prior to it being made available to other entities. NSP grantees can purchase these properties at up to a 10% discount from the appraised value. Further information about First Look was published in the Federal Register on July 15, 2010 (75 FR 41225), and is also available online at: http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2010/pdf/2010-17353.pdf.

HUD will provide technical assistance on its Web site regarding how these programs can effectively interact. Grantees may also contact their local HUD FHA field office for further information.

Q. Purchase Discount

Background
HERA Section 2301(d)(1) limits the purchase price of a foreclosed home or residential property, as follows:

Any purchase of a foreclosed upon home or residential property under this section shall be at a discount from the current market appraised value of the home or property, taking into account its current condition, and such discount shall ensure that purchasers are paying below-market value for the home or property.

To ensure that uncertainty over the meaning of this section does not delay program implementation, HUD is
defining “current market appraised value” in this notice. For mortgagor foreclosed properties, HUD is requiring that grantees seek to obtain the “maximum reasonable discount” from the mortgagor, taking into consideration likely “carrying costs” of the mortgagor if it were to not sell the property to the grantee or subrecipient. HUD has adopted an approach that requires a minimum discount of one percent for each foreclosed upon home or residential property purchased with NSP funds.

Requirements

1. Individual purchase transaction. Each foreclosed-upon home or residential property shall be purchased at a discount of at least one percent from the current market-appraised value of the home or property.

2. An NSP grantee may not provide NSP funds to another party to finance an acquisition of tax foreclosed (or any other) properties from itself, other than to pay necessary and reasonable costs related to the appraisal and transfer of title. If NSP funds are used to pay such costs when property owned by the grantee is conveyed to a subrecipient, homeowner, developer, or other jurisdiction, the property is NSP-assisted and subject to all program requirements, such as requirements for NSP-eligible use and benefit to income-qualified persons. This section does not preclude payment of tax liens on property that is not owned by the grantee or payment of current taxes while the property is being redeveloped or held in a land bank.

3. The address, appraised value, purchase offer amount, and discount amount of each property purchased must be documented in the grantee’s program records. The address of each acquired property must be recorded in DGR.

R. Removal of Annual Requirements Requirement

Throughout 24 CFR parts 91 and 570, all references to “annual” requirements such as submission of plans and reports are waived to the extent necessary to allow the provisions of this notice to apply to NSP funds, with no recurring annual requirements other than those related to civil rights and fair housing certifications and requirements.

S. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

Nothing in this notice may be construed as affecting each grantee’s responsibility to affirmatively further fair housing. HUD encourages each grantee to review its analysis of impediments to fair housing choice to determine whether an update is necessary because of current market conditions or other factors. Non-entitlement local government grantees must affirmatively further fair housing by adopting and following procedures and requirements to affirmatively market NSP3-assisted housing opportunities. This means that they will affirmatively market NSP3 assisted units and carry out NSP3 activities that further fair housing through innovative housing design or construction to increase access for persons with disabilities, language assistance services to persons with limited English proficiency (on the basis of national origin), or location of new or rehabilitated housing in a manner that provides greater housing choice or mobility for persons in classes protected by the Fair Housing Act, and maintain records reflecting the actions in this regard.

T. Certifications

Background

HUD is substituting alternative certifications. The alternative certifications are tailored to NSP3 grants and remove certifications and references that are appropriate only to the annual CDBG formula program. NSP1 and NSP2 certifications have already been submitted to HUD in accordance with the requirements of the NSP1 Notice and the NSP2 NOFA.

Requirements

1. Certifications for states and for entitlement communities. Alternative requirement. Although the NSP3 is being implemented as a substantial amendment to the current annual action plan, HUD is requiring submission of this alternative set of certifications as a conforming change, reflecting alternative requirements and waivers under this notice. Each jurisdiction will submit the following certifications:

1. Affirmatively furthering fair housing. The jurisdiction certifies that it will affirmatively further fair housing, which means that it will conduct an analysis to identify impediments to fair housing choice within the jurisdiction, take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis, and maintain records reflecting the analysis and actions in this regard.

2. Anti-displacement and relocation plan. The applicant certifies that it has in effect and is following a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan.

3. Anti-lobbying. The jurisdiction must submit a certification with regard to compliance with restrictions on lobbying required by 24 CFR part 87, together with disclosure forms, if required by that part.

4. Authority of jurisdiction. The jurisdiction certifies that the consolidated plan or abbreviated plan, as applicable, is authorized under state and local law (as applicable) and that the jurisdiction possesses the legal authority to carry out the programs for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations and other program requirements.

5. Consistency with plan. The jurisdiction certifies that the housing activities to be undertaken with NSP funds are consistent with its consolidated plan or abbreviated plan, as applicable.

6. Acquisition and relocation. The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4601), and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24, except as those provisions are modified by the notice for the NSP program published by HUD.


8. Citizen participation. The jurisdiction certifies that it is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of Sections 24 CFR 91.105 or 91.115, as modified by NSP requirements.

9. Following a plan. The jurisdiction certifies that it is following a current consolidated plan (or Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy) that has been approved by HUD. [Only States and entitlement jurisdictions use this certification.]

10. Use of funds. The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Title XII of Division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 by spending 50 percent of its grant funds within 2 years, and spending 100 percent within 3 years, of receipt of the grant.

11. The jurisdiction certifies:

a. That all of the NSP funds made available to it will be used with respect to individuals and families whose
The jurisdiction will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds, by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low- and moderate-income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements. However, if NSP funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with NSP funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. In addition, with respect to properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (but not low-income) families, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than NSP funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks NSP or CDBG funds to cover the assessment.

12. Excessive force. The jurisdiction certifies that it has adopted and is enforcing:
   a. A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in nonviolent civil rights demonstrations; and
   b. A policy of enforcing applicable state and local laws against physically barring entrance to, or exit from, a facility or location that is the subject of such nonviolent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction.

13. Compliance with anti-discrimination laws. The jurisdiction certifies that the NSP grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 3601–3619), and implementing regulations.

14. Compliance with lead-based paint procedures. The jurisdiction certifies that its activities concerning lead-based paint will comply with the requirements of part 35, subparts A, B, J, K, and R of this title.

15. Compliance with laws. The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with applicable laws.

2. Certifications for Non-Entitlement Local Governments, alternative requirement.

For non-entitlement local government grantees that do not have annual action plans to amend, NSP3 is being implemented through the submission of an abbreviated plan under 25 CFR 91.235. HUD is requiring submission of this alternative set of certifications as a conforming change, reflecting alternative requirements and waivers under this notice. Each jurisdiction will submit the following certifications:

1. Affirmatively furthering fair housing. The jurisdiction certifies that it will affirmatively further fair housing.

2. Anti-displacement and relocation plan. The applicant certifies that it has in effect and is following a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan.

3. Anti-lobbying. The jurisdiction must submit a certification with regard to compliance with restrictions on lobbying required by 24 CFR part 87, together with disclosure forms, if required by that part.

4. Authority of jurisdiction. The jurisdiction certifies that the consolidated plan or abbreviated plan, as applicable, is authorized under state and local law (as applicable) and that the jurisdiction possesses the legal authority to carry out the programs for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations and other program requirements.

5. Consistency with plan. The jurisdiction certifies that the housing activities to be undertaken with NSP funds are consistent with its consolidated plan or abbreviated plan, as applicable.

6. Acquisition and relocation. The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4601), and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24, except as those provisions are modified by the notice for the NSP program published by HUD.

7. Section 3. The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u), and implementing regulations at 24 CFR part 135.

8. Citizen participation. The jurisdiction certifies that it is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of Sections 24 CFR 91.105 or 91.115, as modified by NSP requirements.

9. Use of funds. The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Title XII of Division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 by spending 50 percent of its grant funds within 2 years, and spending 100 percent within 3 years, of receipt of the grant.

10. The jurisdiction certifies:
   a. That all of the NSP funds made available to it will be used with respect to individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of area median income; and
   b. The jurisdiction will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds, by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low- and moderate-income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements. However, if NSP funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with NSP funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. In addition, with respect to properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (but not low-income) families, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than NSP funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks NSP or CDBG funds to cover the assessment.

11. Excessive force. The jurisdiction certifies that it has adopted and is enforcing:
   a. A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in nonviolent civil rights demonstrations; and
   b. A policy of enforcing applicable state and local laws against physically barring entrance to, or exit from, a facility or location that is the subject of such nonviolent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction.

12. Compliance with anti-discrimination laws. The jurisdiction certifies that the NSP grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 3601–3619), and implementing regulations.

13. Compliance with lead-based paint procedures. The jurisdiction certifies that its activities concerning lead-based paint will comply with the requirements of part 35, subparts A, B, J, K, and R of this title.

14. Compliance with laws. The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with applicable laws.
### U. Additional NSP3 Requirements—Preferences for Rental Housing and Local Hiring

The NSP3 allocation included statutory language requiring grantees to "establish procedures to create preferences for the development of affordable rental housing for properties assisted with NSP3 funds." HUD is requiring grantees to describe such procedures as part of their substantial amendments or abbreviated plans as described in Section II.B. above.

Grantees also "shall, to the maximum extent feasible, provide for the hiring of employees who reside in the vicinity, as such term is defined by the Secretary, of projects funded under this section or contract with small businesses that are owned and operated by persons residing in the vicinity of such projects." For the purposes of administering this requirement, HUD is adopting the Section 3 applicability thresholds for community development assistance at 24 CFR 135.3(a)(3)(ii).

**Note:** The NSP3 local hiring requirement does not replace the responsibilities of grantees under Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u), and implementing regulations at 24 CFR part 135, except to the extent the obligations may be in direct conflict.

For the purposes of NSP3, HUD defines "vicinity" as each neighborhood identified by the NSP3 grantee as being the areas of greatest need. See section II.B.2. Small business means a business that meets the criteria set forth in section 3(a) of the Small Business Act. See 42 U.S.C. 5302(a)(23).

### V. Note on Statutory Limitation on Distribution of Funds

Section 2304 of HERA and 1479(a)(7)(A) of the Dodd-Frank Act states that none of the funds made available under this Title or title IV shall be distributed to an organization that has been convicted of a violation under Federal law relating to an election for Federal office; or an organization that employs applicable individuals. Section 1479(a)(7)(B) defines applicable individuals.

### W. Information Collection Approval Note

HUD has approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). OMB approval is under OMB control number 2506–0165. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless the collection displays a valid control number.

### X. Duration of Funding


### Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance numbers for grants made under NSP are as follows: 14.218; 14.225; and 14.226.

### Finding of No Significant Impact

A Finding of No Significant Impact with respect to the environment has been made in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 50, which implement section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(C)(2)). The Finding of No Significant Impact is available for public inspection between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. weekdays in the Office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410–0500.

### Establishment of Formula

The funding formula set out in Attachment B to this notice was established by HUD on August 18, 2010.


Mercedes M. Márquez,
Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

### Attachments

A—Formula Allocation
B—NSP3 Formula and Allocation of Funds
C—Recommended Green and Sustainable Practices

### Attachment A

HUD's Methodology for Allocating the Funds for Neighborhood Stabilization Program 1 (NSP1)

HERA calls for allocating funds to "States and units of general local government with the greatest need, as such need is determined in the discretion of the Secretary based on—

(A) The number and percentage of home foreclosures in each State or unit of general local government;

(B) the number and percentage of homes financed by a subprime mortgage related loan in each State or unit of general local government; and

(C) the number and percentage of homes in default or delinquency in each State or unit of general local government.

It further directs that "each State shall receive not less than 0.5 percent of funds". The allocation formula operates as follows. In this formula, the primary data on foreclosure rates, subprime loan rates, and rates of loans delinquent or in default come from the Mortgage Bankers Association National Delinquency Survey (MBA–NDS). Because the MBA–NDS may have uneven coverage from state-to-state in respect to the total number of mortgages reported, the total count of mortgages is calculated as the number of owner-occupied mortgages from the 2006 American Community Survey increased with data from the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act to capture the proportion of total mortgages made within a state made to investors between 2004 and 2006. The first step of the allocation is to make a "statewide" allocation using the following formula:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statewide Allocation = $3.92 billion *</th>
<th>(Percent of all loans in state to enter foreclosure last 6 quarters) +</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([0.70 * (State’s number of foreclosure starts in last 6 quarters)] * .........</td>
<td>Percent of all loans in state to enter foreclosure last 6 quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15 * (State’s number of subprime loans) * ........................................</td>
<td>(Percent of all loans in state subprime) +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National number of subprime loans ..................................................................</td>
<td>Percent of all loans in nation subprime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10 * (State’s number of loans in default (90+ days delinquent).*</td>
<td>........................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National number of loans in default ..................................................................</td>
<td>(Percent of all loans in state in default) +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05 * (State’s number of loans 60 to 89 days delinquent).*</td>
<td>........................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National number of loans 60 to 89 days delinquent ........................................</td>
<td>Percent of all loans in state 60 to 89 days delinquent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Pct of all addresses in state vacant in Census Tracts where more than 40% of the 2004 to 2006 loans were high cost)
This formula allocates 70 percent of the funds based on the number and percent of foreclosures, 15 percent for subprime loans, 10 percent for loans in default (delinquent 90 days or longer), and 5 percent for loans delinquent 60 to 90 days. The higher weight on foreclosures is based on the emphasis the statute places on targeting foreclosed homes. The percentage adjustments, the rate of a problem in a state relative to the national rate of a problem, are restricted such that a state’s allocation based on its proportional share of a problem cannot be increased or decreased by more than 30 percent.

Because HERA specifically indicates that the funds are needed for the “redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed upon homes and residential properties,” HUD has included a variable to proxy where abandonment of homes due to foreclosure is more likely, specifically each state’s rate of vacant residential addresses in neighborhoods with a high proportion (more than 40 percent) of loans in 2004 to 2006 that were high cost. Information on vacant addresses is based on United States Postal Service data as of June 30, 2008 aggregated by HUD to the Census Tract level. The residential vacancy adjustment factor reflects a state’s vacancy rate relative to the national average and cannot increase or decrease a state’s proportional share of the allocation based on foreclosures, subprime loans, and delinquencies and defaults by more than 10 percent.

Finally, if a statewide allocation is less than $19.6 million, the statewide grant is increased to $19.6 million. Because this approach will result in a total allocation in excess of appropriation, all grant amounts above $19.6 million are reduced pro-rata to make the total allocation equal to the total appropriation.

From each statewide allocation, a substate allocation is made as follows:

- Each state government is allocated $19.6 million.
- If the statewide allocation is more than $19.6 million, the remaining funds are allocated to FY 2008 CDBG entitlement cities, urban counties, and non-entitlement balance of state proportional to relative need.

For the amount of funds above each state’s $19.6 million, the remaining funds are allocated among the entitlement communities and non-entitlement balances using the following formula:

\[
\text{Local Allocation} = (\text{Statewide Allocation} - \$19,600,000) \times \left(\frac{\text{Local estimated number of foreclosure starts in last 6 quarters}}{\text{State total number of foreclosure starts in last 6 quarters}}\right) \times \text{Local vacancy rate in Census Tracts with more than 40% of the loans High-cost}
\]

Where: The residential vacancy rate adjustment cannot increase or reduce a local jurisdiction’s allocation by more than 30 percent and the estimated number of foreclosures is calculated based on a predicted foreclosure rate times the estimated number of mortgages in a community.

HUD analysis shows that 75 percent of the variance between states on foreclosures can be explained by three variables available from public data:

- Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight (OFHEO) data on change in home values as of June 2008 compared to peak home value since 2000.
- Percent of all loans made between 2004 and 2006 that are high cost as reported in the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA).

Because these three variables are publicly available for all CDBG eligible communities and they are good predictors of foreclosure risk, they are used in a model to calculate the estimated number of foreclosures in each jurisdiction within a state. The formula used is as follows:

\[
\text{Predicted Foreclosure Rate} = 2.211 - (0.131 \times \text{Percent change in MSA OFHEO current price relative to the maximum in past 8 years}) + (0.152 \times \text{Percent of total loans made between 2004 and 2006 that are high cost}) + (0.392 \times \text{Unemployed in the place our county in June 2008}).
\]

This predicted foreclosure rate is then multiplied times the estimated number of mortgages within a jurisdiction (number of HMDA loans made between 2004 and 2006 times the ratio of ACS 2006 data on total mortgages in state/HMDA loans in state). This “estimated number of mortgages in the jurisdiction” is further adjusted such that the estimated number of foreclosures from the model will equal the total foreclosure starts in the state from the Mortgage Bankers Association National Delinquency Survey.

### NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION PROGRAM (NSP3) FUNDING UNDER DODD-FRANK WALL STREET REFORM AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Grantee</th>
<th>NSP3 Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>State of Alaska</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>State of Alabama</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>2,576,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>State of Arkansas</td>
<td>7,576,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>Avondale City</td>
<td>1,224,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Arizona</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As noted above, for entitlement cities and urban counties that would receive an NSP allocation of less than $2 million, the funds are allocated to the state grantees. The District of Columbia and the four Insular Areas receive direct allocations and are not subject to the minimum grant threshold. Because this funding is one-time funding and the eligible activities under the program are different enough from the regular program, HUD believes that a grantee must receive a minimum amount of $2 million to have adequate staffing to properly administer the program effectively. In addition, fewer grants will allow HUD staff to more effectively monitor grantees to ensure proper implementation of the program and reduce the risk for fraud, waste, and abuse.

**Attachment B**

HUD’s Methodology for Allocating the Funds for Neighborhood Stabilization Program 3 (NSP3)
### Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP3) Funding Under Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Grantee</th>
<th>NSP3 Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chandler</td>
<td>1,332,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glendale</td>
<td>3,718,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maricopa County</td>
<td>4,267,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mesa</td>
<td>4,019,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mohave County</td>
<td>1,990,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peoria City</td>
<td>1,198,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>16,053,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pinal County</td>
<td>3,168,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surprise City</td>
<td>3,129,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>2,083,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arizona Total</td>
<td>45,377,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apple Valley</td>
<td>1,463,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bakersfield</td>
<td>3,320,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of California</td>
<td>7,777,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compton</td>
<td>1,436,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contra Costa County</td>
<td>1,871,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corona</td>
<td>1,317,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>2,695,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>3,547,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fresno County</td>
<td>2,739,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemet</td>
<td>1,360,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hesperia</td>
<td>1,785,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imperial County</td>
<td>1,708,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indio City</td>
<td>1,092,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kern County</td>
<td>5,202,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lancaster</td>
<td>2,364,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long Beach</td>
<td>1,567,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>9,875,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>9,532,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madera County</td>
<td>1,659,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Merced</td>
<td>1,196,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Merced County</td>
<td>2,705,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modesto</td>
<td>2,951,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td>1,284,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moreno Valley</td>
<td>3,687,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>2,070,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>1,872,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>1,004,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Palmdale</td>
<td>2,310,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perris City</td>
<td>1,342,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pomona</td>
<td>1,235,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rialto</td>
<td>1,936,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>1,153,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>3,202,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
<td>14,272,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>3,762,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacramento County</td>
<td>4,595,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>3,277,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Bernardino County</td>
<td>10,438,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Joaquin County</td>
<td>4,398,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Ana</td>
<td>1,464,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solano County</td>
<td>1,622,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stanislaus County</td>
<td>4,175,947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stockton</td>
<td>4,280,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tulare County</td>
<td>2,845,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vallejo</td>
<td>1,744,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Victorville</td>
<td>2,159,937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>California Total</td>
<td>149,308,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Adams County</td>
<td>1,997,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>2,445,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>State of Colorado</td>
<td>5,098,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Colorado Springs</td>
<td>1,420,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>2,700,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Greeley</td>
<td>1,203,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Pueblo</td>
<td>1,460,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Weld County</td>
<td>1,023,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colorado Total</td>
<td>17,349,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Bridgeport</td>
<td>1,215,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP3) Funding Under Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Grantee</th>
<th>NSP3 Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida, State of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,029,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,041,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,036,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,322,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Delaware</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boynton Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,168,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brevard County</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,032,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broward County</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,457,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Coral</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,048,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte County</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,022,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrus County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,005,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,385,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collier County</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,884,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Springs</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,657,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davie</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,171,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytona Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,127,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deerfield Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,183,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltona</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,964,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escambia County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,210,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,511,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft Lauderdale</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,145,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft Myers</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,539,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernando County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,953,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hialeah</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,198,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsborough County</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,083,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollywood</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,433,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian River County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville-Duval County</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,102,937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kissimmee</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,042,299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,199,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeland</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,303,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee County</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,639,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manatee County</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,321,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,148,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion County</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,569,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,563,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,257,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,558,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,475,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami Gardens City</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,940,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami-Dade County</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,036,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miramar</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,321,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Miami</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,173,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,551,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,095,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osceola County</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,239,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Bay</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,764,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach County</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,254,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Coast City</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,375,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasco County</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,158,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pembroke Pines</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,330,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinellas County</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,697,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantation</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,216,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk County</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,443,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pompano Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port St Lucie</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,515,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanford</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,037,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarasota</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,038,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarasota County</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,949,541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminole County</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,995,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Petersburg</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,709,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lucie County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,947,657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,775,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamarac</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,427,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,691,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titusville</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,005,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volusia County</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,670,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grantee</td>
<td>NSP3 Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>West Palm Beach</td>
<td>2,147,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Florida Total</td>
<td>208,437,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Augusta-Richmond County</td>
<td>1,161,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carroll County</td>
<td>1,190,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clayton County</td>
<td>3,796,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cobb County</td>
<td>2,415,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbus-Muscogee County</td>
<td>1,128,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dekalb County</td>
<td>5,233,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Douglas County</td>
<td>1,628,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fulton County</td>
<td>3,094,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Georgia</td>
<td>18,679,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gwinnett County</td>
<td>2,065,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henry County</td>
<td>1,217,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macon</td>
<td>1,503,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paulding County</td>
<td>1,372,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Savannah</td>
<td>1,027,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgia Total</td>
<td>50,421,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>State of Hawaii</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>State of Iowa</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>State of Idaho</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>15,996,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cook County</td>
<td>7,776,324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Illinois</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>1,370,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illinois Total</td>
<td>30,143,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>1,219,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elkhart</td>
<td>1,022,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elkhart County</td>
<td>1,193,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fort Wayne</td>
<td>2,374,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>2,717,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>1,243,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Indiana</td>
<td>8,235,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>8,017,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kokomo</td>
<td>1,014,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>1,613,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muncie</td>
<td>1,148,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Bend</td>
<td>1,708,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indiana Total</td>
<td>31,509,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1,137,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Kansas</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>Commonwealth of Kentucky</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>State of Louisiana</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Commonwealth of Massachusetts</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>1,197,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Worcester County</td>
<td>1,190,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massachusetts Total</td>
<td>7,387,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>State of Maryland</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prince George’s County</td>
<td>1,802,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maryland Total</td>
<td>6,802,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>State of Maine</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Dearborn</td>
<td>1,027,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detroit</td>
<td>21,922,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flint</td>
<td>3,076,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Genesee County</td>
<td>2,663,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Rapids</td>
<td>1,378,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jackson County</td>
<td>1,162,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>1,162,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macomb County</td>
<td>2,536,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Michigan</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muskegon County</td>
<td>1,071,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oakland County</td>
<td>2,080,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pontiac</td>
<td>1,410,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grantee</td>
<td>NSP3 Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td>57,524,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td></td>
<td>62,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flint</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,469,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,671,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Paul</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,059,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,427,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,823,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Louis</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,472,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Louis County</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,813,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,110,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Paul</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,059,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,472,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Paul</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,059,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,110,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Nevada</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omaha</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,183,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,183,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of New Hampshire</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essex County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,851,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,018,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paterson</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,196,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,574,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,641,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of New Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark County</td>
<td></td>
<td>16,156,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Las Vegas</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,097,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,901,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,450,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Nevada</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reno</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,973,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washoe County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,735,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>43,314,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islip Town</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,429,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau County</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,116,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,787,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of New York</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,501,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,834,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,795,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,145,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,795,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richland County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,022,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,591,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trumbull County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,143,889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 provided an additional $1 billion for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) that was originally established under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008.

The statute calls for allocating funds to States and local governments with the greatest need, as determined by:

(A) “The number and percentage of home foreclosures in each State or unit of general local government;

(B) “The number and percentage of homes financed by a subprime mortgage in each State or unit of general local government; and

(C) “The number and percentage of homes in default or delinquency in each State or unit of general local government.”

The statute also requires that a minimum of 0.5 percent of the appropriation, $5 million be provided to each state.

The Department has determined that for NSP3, the states and local governments with the greatest need for neighborhood stabilization funding are those communities that have high numbers of foreclosed and/or vacant properties in the neighborhoods with the highest concentrations of foreclosures, delinquent loans, and subprime loans. The basic formula allocates funds based on the number of foreclosures and vacancies in the 20 percent of U.S. neighborhoods (Census Tracts) with the highest rates of homes financed by a subprime mortgage, are delinquent, or are in foreclosure. This basic allocation is adjusted to ensure that every state receives a minimum of $5 million. The net result is that these funds are highly targeted to communities with the most severe neighborhood problems associated with the foreclosure crisis.

Estimating Greatest Need

To target the funds to States and local communities with the greatest need, HUD estimated the number of loans 90 days delinquent or in foreclosure for each Census Tract in America. This estimate was based on a model that was comprised of three factors that explain most foreclosures and delinquent loans (see note 1):

- Rate of Subprime Loans. This is measured with HMDA data on high cost and high leverage loans made between 2004 and 2007. These data are available at the Census Tract (neighborhood) level.
- Increase in Unemployment Rate between March 2005 and March 2010. These data are from the BLS Local Area Unemployment Statistics, at the city and county level.
- Fall in Home Value from Peak to Trough. Home value data at the Metropolitan Area level is available quarterly through March 2010 from the Federal Housing Finance Agency Home Price Index.

In addition to wanting to capture loans that are currently delinquent or in the foreclosure process, HUD sought to capture the aggregate impact of the foreclosure crisis on individual neighborhoods between 2007 and 2010. To do this, HUD estimated for each neighborhood the number of foreclosure starts between January 2007 and March 2010 as well as the number of foreclosure

NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION PROGRAM (NSP3) FUNDING UNDER DODD-FRANK WALL STREET REFORM AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Grantee</th>
<th>NSP3 Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>51,789,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Oklahoma</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>1,309,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>6,309,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>5,615,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>10,195,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Tennessee</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>2,356,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dallas County</td>
<td>1,364,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harris County</td>
<td>1,925,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hidalgo County</td>
<td>1,716,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>3,389,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Texas</td>
<td>7,284,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Utah</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>1,254,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commonwealth of Virginia</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Vermont</td>
<td>6,254,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Washington</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>2,687,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Wisconsin</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of West Virginia</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State of Wyoming</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insular Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>970,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total

970,000,000
completions between January 2007 and June 2010 (see note 2). Each neighborhood was assigned the larger of the two estimates.

Finally, HUD has administrative data from the United States Postal Service on addresses not picking up mail for 90 days or longer. These data are very good current indicators of neighborhoods stressed from vacant housing. This number is adjusted using Census 2000 tract level data to remove vacant vacation properties from the count.

The Formula
Using the estimated rate of loans in foreclosure or delinquent, HUD identified the 20 percent of neighborhoods likely to be most distressed. This equates to an estimated serious delinquency rate (90 days delinquent or in foreclosure) of greater than 17.8 percent. Using the methodology described above, the national rate was estimated at 8.9 percent. ¹

For each place and balance of county in the United States we add up only from the 20 percent of neighborhoods with the greatest need the number of foreclosed homes between 2007 and 2010 and separately the number units 90 days or more vacant in March 2010. This “jurisdiction level” file is then used to run a formula to allocate the funds available, $969,700,000. Sixty percent of these funds are allocated based on each jurisdiction’s share of foreclosures and 40 percent of the funds are allocated based on each jurisdiction’s share of vacancies.

Minimum Grant Threshold
If a place gets less than HUD’s established minimum grant threshold of $1 million, its grant is rolled up into the county grant. If the county grant is less than the minimum grant threshold of $1 million, its grant is rolled up into the state grant.

State Minimum Grant of $5 million
For any state government that would receive less than $5 million, its grant is increased to $5 million with all grant amounts above the minimum grant threshold reduced on a pro-rata basis to only allocate the amounts available.

Note 1: Identifying Census Tracts with High Rates of Foreclosures, Delinquencies, and Subprime Loans:
To estimate which neighborhoods are likely to have high rates of foreclosures, delinquencies, and subprime loans, HUD used a July 2010 extract of county level serious delinquency rates from McDash Analytics to develop a predictive model using public data that was available for every Census Tract in the United States. The predictive model, which was weighted on number of mortgages in each county, was able to predict most of the variance between counties in their serious delinquency rate (R-square of 0.82). The model used is as follows:

\[Y = 0.523 \text{( intercept) } -0.476 \text{ Unemployment Change 3/2005 to 3/2010 (BLS LAUS)} \]

1 This less than the Mortgage Bankers Association National Delinquency Survey rate of 9.54 percent for March 2010 and slightly more than the McDash Analytics rate of 8.39 percent as of July 2010.

The predictive rate of seriously delinquent mortgages was multiplied times the number of loans made between 2004 and 2007 in a Census Tract to estimate the number of seriously delinquent loans in a Census Tract.

Note 2: Calculating Number of Foreclosures at the Neighborhood Level:
To estimate the number of homes in a neighborhood that have completed, or are at risk of becoming Real Estate Owned in a Census Tract, was done by allocating the statewide total of the greater of the sum of all foreclosure completions between January 2007 and June 2010 (from RealtyTrac) or the sum of all foreclosure starts between January 2007 and March 2010 (from the Mortgage Bankers Association) based on each Tracts share of a states estimated number of seriously delinquent loans. The estimated number of seriously delinquent loans was calculated by multiplying the estimated rate of seriously delinquent loans times the number of mortgages made between 2004 and 2007 (from Home Mortgage Disclosure Act data).

Attachment C

NSP Recommended Energy Efficient and Environmentally-Friendly Green Elements
HUD strongly recommends that your proposed NSP3 program incorporate the following energy efficient and environmentally-friendly Green elements. No specific element is required. HUD encourages thoughtful, achievable consideration and implementation of energy efficient and environmentally friendly elements inside your NSP3 program.

HUD is providing the guidance below because the Department has become aware during the implementation of NSP1 that many grantees are not aware that many of their common community development practices, such as trying to help police and teachers live in the neighborhood in which they work, are also considered sustainable and environmentally friendly. Similarly, most affordable housing units are also smaller and can easily be made more energy efficient than larger units. The increased energy efficiency then serves to increase the long-term affordability of the units.

Transit Accessibility
Select NSP target areas that are transit accessible, for example those that are in a census tract with convenient bus service (local bus service every 20 minutes during rush hour or an express commuter bus); or bordering a census tract with a passenger rail stop or station (including, for example, commuter rail, subway, light rail, and streetcars).

Green Building Standards
Comply with the required NSP rehabilitation standards and also fund new construction and gut rehabilitation activities that will exceed the Energy Star for New Homes standard. Ensure that moderate rehabilitation or energy retrofits will purchase only Energy Star products and appliances. You may go further and require NSP homes to achieve an established environmental or energy efficiency standard such as Green Communities or equivalent.

Re-Use Cleared Sites
Re-use cleared sites in accordance with a comprehensive or neighborhood plan. Plan to re-use all demolition sites within the term of your NSP grant as replacement housing, for use as a community resource, or to provide an environmental function. Examples include community gardens, pocket parks, or floodplain improvement areas.

Deconstruction
Deconstruction means salvaging and reusing materials resulting from demolition activities. It recycles building materials, and provides employment.

Renewable Energy
1. Passive Solar. Orient the building to make the greatest use of passive solar heating and cooling.
2. Photovoltaic-ready. Site, design, engineer and wire the development to accommodate installation of photovoltaic panels in the future.

Sustainable Site Design
1. Transportation Choices. Locate projects within a one-quarter mile of at least two, or one-half mile of at least four community and retail facilities.
2. Connections to Surrounding Neighborhoods. Provide three separate connections from the development to sidewalks or pathways in surrounding neighborhoods.
3. Protecting Environmental Resources. Do not locate the project within 100 feet of wetlands; 1,000 feet of a critical habitat; or on steep slopes, prime farmland or park land.
5. Sustainable Landscaping. Select native trees and plants that are appropriate to the site’s soils and microclimate.
6. Energy Efficient Landscaping. Locate trees and plants to provide shading in the summer and allow for heat gain in the winter.

Water Conservation
1. Efficient Irrigation. Install low volume, non-spray irrigation system (such as drip irrigation, bubblers, or soaker hose).

Energy Efficient Materials
1. Durable Materials. Use materials that last longer than conventional counterparts such as stone, brick or concrete.
2. Resource Efficient Materials. Use layouts and advanced building techniques that reduce the amount of homebuilding material required.
3. Heat Absorbing Materials. Use materials that retain solar heat in winter and remain cool in summer.
4. Solar-Reflective Paving. Use light-colored/high-albedo materials and/or open-
grid pavement with a minimum Solar Reflective index of 0.6 over at least 30 percent of the site’s hardscaped areas.

5. Local Source Materials. Use materials from local sources that are close to the job site.

6. Green Roofing. Use Energy Star-compliant and high-emissive roofing, and/or install a Green (vegetated) roof for at least 50 percent of the roof area; or a combination of high-albedo and vegetated roof covering 75 percent of the roof area.

Healthy Homes

1. Green Label Certified Floor Covering. Do not install carpets in basements, entryways, laundry rooms, bathrooms or kitchens; if using carpet, use the Carpet and Rug Institute’s Green Label certified carpet and pad.


3. Healthy Flooring Materials: Reducing Dust. Install a whole-house vacuum system with high-efficiency particulate air filtration.

4. Sealing Joints. Seal all wall, floor, and joint penetrations to prevent pest entry; provide rodent and corrosion proof screens (e.g., copper or stainless steel mesh) for large openings.

5. Termite-Resistant Materials. Use termite-resistant materials in areas known to be infested.

6. Tub and Shower Enclosures: Moisture Prevention. Use one-piece fiberglass or similar enclosure or, if using any form of grouted material, use backing materials such as cement board, fiber cement board, fiberglass reinforced board or cement plaster.

7. Green Maintenance Guide. Provide a guide for homeowners and renters that explains the intent, benefits, use and maintenance of Green building features, and encourages additional Green activities such as recycling, gardening and use of healthy cleaning materials.

8. Resident Orientation. Provide a walkthrough and orientation to the homeowner or new tenants.

PRA, BOEMRE is inviting comments on a collection of information that we will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The information collection request (ICR) concerns the paperwork requirements in Notice to Lessees and Operators (NTL) “No. 2010–N05, Increased Safety Measures for Energy Development on the OCS.”

DATES: Submit written comments by December 20, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cheryl Blundon, Regulations and Standards Branch at (703) 787–1607. You may also contact Cheryl Blundon to obtain a copy, at no cost, of NTL No. 2010–N05 that requires the subject collection of information.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by either of the following methods listed below.

• Electronically: go to http://www.regulations.gov. In the entry titled “Enter Keyword or ID,” enter docket ID BOEM–2010–0052 then click search. Follow the instructions to submit public comments and view supporting and related materials available for this collection. BOEMRE will post all comments.

• E-mail cheryl.blundon@boemre.gov. Mail or hand-carry comments to the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement; Attention: Cheryl Blundon; 381 Elden Street, MS–4024; Herndon, Virginia 20170–4817. Please reference ICR 1010–0182 in your comment and include your name and return address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Increased Safety Measures for Energy Development on the OCS, NTL No. 2010–N05.

OMB Control Number: 1010–0182.

Abstract: The Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Lands Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq. and 43 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), authorizes the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) to prescribe rules and regulations to manage the mineral resources of the OCS. Such rules and regulations will apply to all operations conducted under a lease, right-of-use and easement, and pipeline right-of-way. Operations on the OCS must preserve, protect, and develop oil and natural gas resources in a manner that is consistent with the need to make such resources available to meet the Nation’s energy needs as rapidly as possible; to balance orderly energy resource development with protection of human, marine, and coastal environments; to ensure the public a fair and equitable return on the resources of the OCS; preserve and maintain free enterprise competition; and ensure that the extent of oil and natural gas resources of the OCS is assessed at the earliest practicable time. 43 U.S.C. 1332(6) states that “operations in the outer Continental Shelf should be conducted in a safe manner by well-trained personnel using technology, precautions, and techniques sufficient to prevent or minimize the likelihood of blowouts, loss of well control, fires, spillages, physical obstruction to other users of the waters or subsoil and seabed, or other occurrences which may cause damage to the environment or to property, or endanger life or health.”

To carry out these responsibilities, BOEMRE issues regulations to ensure that operations in the OCS will meet statutory requirements; provide for safety and protect the environment; and result in diligent exploration, development, and production of OCS leases. In addition, we also issue NTLs that provide clarification, explanation, and interpretation of our regulations. These NTLs are also used to convey purely informational material and to cover situations that might not be adequately addressed in our regulations. The latter is the case for the information collection required in the NTL. Because of the unusual nature of this information collection, issuing an NTL is the appropriate means to collect the information at the time of the event.

The subject of this ICR is an NTL based on the recommendations in the May 27, 2010, Report from the Secretary of the Interior to the President of the United States, Increased Safety Measures for Energy Development on the Outer Continental Shelf (Report). BOEMRE issued NTLs for operators to comply with the requirements and recommendations of the report as a result of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico. This collection pertains to one NTL, covered under the regulations at 30 CFR part 250, subparts A, D, E, and F. The primary information collections for these regulations are approved under the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Control Numbers 1010–0114, 1010–0141, 1010–0067, and 1010–0043, respectively. However, BOEMRE believes that the paperwork burdens in the NTL are in addition to those currently approved. Only one of the requirements in the NTL has not yet been fully met; therefore, we are renewing that requirement in this collection to allow operators and/or lessees more response time than allowed by the original emergency OMB request.

BOEMRE issued this NTL for lessees and operators to comply with the requirements and recommendations of
NSP Policy Alert!

Congress Eliminates Restrictions On Properties For Low-Income Set-Aside

QUESTION: How does the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Publ. L 111-203) amend the 25 percent set-aside requirement?

The recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Publ. L 111-203) includes amendments to and a third appropriation for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program. HUD will establish the funding formula for new NSP funding of one billion dollars within 30 days of the law’s enactment (July 21, 2010).

Public Law 111-203 amends a requirement that has caused some difficulties for NSP grantees. Previously, the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA) required NSP grantees to use not less than 25 percent of their NSP grant for the purchase and redevelopment of abandoned or foreclosed upon homes or residential properties that will be used to house individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income. Public Law 111-203 amends this requirement by removing the restriction that allows only abandoned or foreclosed upon homes or residential properties to be used to meet this requirement. Grantees may now use vacant or demolished property to meet the set-aside as well. This language also allows non-residential property to be used if the project is undertaken under Eligible Use E, though such sites might require rezoning to allow the development of housing.

In addition, the new law states that this new flexibility “shall apply with respect to any unexpended or unobligated balances, including recaptured and reallocated funds made available” under the NSP1 and NSP2 enabling legislation. Current grantees that are developing housing for families with incomes below 50% of area median income on land that was not foreclosed or abandoned residential property may now be able to claim set-aside credit for some or all of those costs. There are three possibilities:

1. Projects for which funds have not been obligated or for which funds have been obligated with no expenditures will be able to apply all of the costs toward the set-aside.

2. Projects for which funds have been obligated and fully expended will not be able to claim this credit retroactively.

3. For projects for which funds have been obligated, but only partially expended, HUD will allow credit for any expenditures made after July 21, 2010. This option is voluntary, not required. This option will require the grantee to make some changes in the DRGR accounting for the project.

For each project under implementation option 3 for which a grantee wants to get 25% Low-income set-aside credit in DRGR, the grantee must create a duplicate of the activity in DRGR. The original activity, which will have an LMMI national objective, must be adjusted to show the share of obligations that relate
to all expenditures made before July 21, 2010, plus those disbursements and expenditures. The duplicate activity, which will have an LH25 national objective, will show the share of obligations related to all expenditures made on or after July 21, 2010, plus the counterpart disbursements and expenditures. Any grantee choosing to make such an adjustment should contact its local HUD field representative first, as this will entail an Action Plan change. The DRGR Help Desk is also available to help, as needed.
Notice of Definition Revision to Notice of Fund Availability (NOFA) for Fiscal Year 2009: Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 (NSP2) under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009; Change in Definitions

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On May 4, 2009, HUD posted on its website a notice of funding availability (NOFA) for the NSP2. The NOFA announced the availability of up to $1.93 billion appropriated by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act for NSP2. The purpose of the program is to stabilize communities by providing funds for the purchase and redevelopment of foreclosed and abandoned homes and residential properties.

This notice advises of changes to the Appendix 1 definitions for "abandoned" and "foreclosed" property to assist in better targeting NSP2 assistance for the purchase, rehabilitation, or redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed properties. This notice only affects NSP2 grants already awarded by HUD. This notice does not reopen the application period.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley Gimont, Director, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW, Room 7286, Washington, DC 20410, telephone number 202-708-3587. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at 800-877-8339. FAX inquiries may be sent to Mr. Gimont at 202-401-2044. (Except for the "800" number, these telephone numbers are not toll-free.)
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On May 4, 2009, HUD posted its NSP2 NOFA at www.hud.gov/nsf. The posting of the NOFA was announced through a Federal Register notice published on May 7, 2009 (74 FR 21377). The NSP2 NOFA announced the availability of approximately $1.93 billion available in competitive grants authorized under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5, approved February 17, 2009). The purpose of the program is to stabilize communities by providing funds for the purchase and redevelopment of foreclosed and abandoned homes and residential properties. The NSP program (NSP1) was authorized by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (Public Law 110-289, approved July 30, 2008) (HERA), and NSP2 was authorized by the amendment to HERA made by Title XII of Division A of the Recovery Act.

Following issuance of the NSP2 NOFA, the NOFA underwent certain revisions. A notice issued on June 11, 2009 clarified, among other things, how applicants are to meet the geographic targeting requirements. A notice issued November 9, 2009, revised the NSP2 NOFA to: (1) correct an inconsistency in the NSP2 NOFA regarding when the lead member of a consortium must enter into consortium funding agreements with consortium members; and (2) extend the deadline for submission of such agreements to January 29, 2010. A notice issued on January 21, 2010, specified the NSP2 NOFA deadline date for submission of consortium funding agreements. (See Federal Register notices at 74 FR 28715, 74 FR 58973 and 75 FR 4410, and HUD’s website at http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/communitydevelopment/programs/neighborhoodspg/arrafactshe et.cfm.)
II. This Notice - Changes to Definitions of Abandoned and Foreclosed

This notice announces that HUD is revising the definitions of “Abandoned” and “Foreclosed.”

HUD determined that the definition of “Abandoned” on pages 41 and 42 of the NSP2 NOFA is too restrictive such that NSP2 funds are in some cases prevented from being employed as contemplated by the Recovery Act and HERA. HUD has received many comments from grantees and other interested parties that the current definition limits the opportunities to acquire properties in a strategic and timely manner. For example, the requirement that the property has been vacant for at least 90 days leaves out properties abandoned by owners, but where tenants are still in place. This precludes grantees from the opportunity and ability to assist these properties with NSP funds, which would in fact protect the tenants that may be occupying such properties. This limitation has been determined to be a substantial barrier to preservation of existing affordable housing. Some comments received by HUD pointed out that abandonment predictably occurs when code enforcement in a high risk market is not followed up with a property acquisition strategy, and that abandonment is a function of a weak housing market in which residential units sell for substantially less than their replacement value. To provide grantees with greater flexibility in determining which properties to acquire, and greater opportunity to acquire properties in a strategically timely manner, HUD is amending the definition of “Abandoned” in Appendix 1. HUD’s amendments are directed only to identifying program-specific eligibility criteria for using NSP2 funds to assist abandoned properties. These amendments should not be construed to supersede any state or local legal proceedings that may govern abandoned properties, as such term may be defined under state or local law, or any protection rights available to property owners or tenants under federal, state, or local law.
The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (42 U.S.C.4601)(URA) applies to the acquisition of real property for a Federally-funded program or project and also when persons are displaced as a direct result of acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition for a Federally-funded program or project. Property acquisitions which satisfy the applicable requirements of the URA regulations at 49 CFR 24.101(b)(1)-(5), may be considered voluntary, whereas acquisitions subject to the threat and use of eminent domain are considered involuntary and the acquisitions are subject to the full real property acquisition requirements of 49 CFR part 24, subpart B. Typically tenant-occupants displaced in connection with voluntary acquisitions are eligible for URA relocation assistance, whereas owner-occupants are not. In cases of an involuntary acquisition, both owner-occupants and tenant-occupants are eligible for URA relocation assistance. NSP2 grantees and subrecipients should ensure their activities are in compliance with all applicable URA acquisition and relocation requirements. NSP2 funds may be used to provide relocation assistance as provided in 24 CFR 570.201(i). This includes permanent and temporary relocation assistance for eligible persons displaced by projects assisted with NSP2 funding.

Grantees need to be particularly careful when acquiring properties within the newly expanded definition of abandoned which now includes properties subject to code-enforcement actions. For instance, if a grantee has the power of eminent domain and a governmental subrecipient or contractor of that grantee uses NSP funds to acquire a property with a serious code enforcement deficiency, the grantee will likely need to approach the acquisition as an involuntary acquisition under the URA, subject to the full real property acquisition requirements of 49 CFR part 24 subpart B. For property acquisitions by other NSP-assisted entities, such as a non-governmental subrecipient, private developer, or homebuyer, the grantee is advised to carry
out due diligence to ensure that prohibited coercion of the seller is in no way involved in the transaction. For example, a unit of government that has the power of condemnation and code enforcement, and provides funds to a non-profit to purchase properties condemned or deemed uninhabitable by that unit of government may give the property owner the perception that condemnation or eminent domain action might be used coercively to enable a subrecipient to buy the property. Also illustratively, a case in which a city initiates a redevelopment project, selects the developer, controls the developer's activities by contract, commits itself to acquire by eminent domain any property that the developer fails to acquire through negotiation, and provides financing for the acquisitions, may be viewed as jointly “undertaken” by the city and the developer for acquisition and relocation purposes under the URA. The URA regulations at 49 CFR 24.102(h) prohibit agencies from advancing the time of condemnation, deferring negotiations, or condemnation or the deposit of funds with the court, or from taking any other coercive action to induce an agreement on the price to be paid for a property.

According to commenters, the definition of “Foreclosed” on page 42 is very clear, but not a good match for market conditions in many areas. HUD has received numerous expressions of concern from grantees and other interested parties that the current definition needs to be modified to permit greater flexibility in addressing local market conditions. The definition limits a grantee’s ability to intervene strategically when a lender initiates but does not complete foreclosure, or where a default is allowed to linger. Further, many lenders are transferring properties to aggregators or servicers, which then arrange for final disposition. In some of these cases, current policy does not consider the properties to retain their foreclosed status after title is transferred to the aggregator or servicer. (By “intermediary aggregators and servicers” HUD does not mean “investors”. An aggregator or servicer will typically limit the resale price to
acquisition plus a modest servicing fee; such organizations are not investors seeking to maximize the return on their capital.) For the same reasons that HUD is amending the definition of “Abandoned,” it is amending the definition of “Foreclosed.” To wait until foreclosure has been completed, as “foreclosed” was originally defined in the NSP2 NOFA, only allows the properties to further deteriorate and the neighborhoods in which such properties are located to further suffer from these deteriorating conditions, making redevelopment harder and more time consuming to do. As is the case with the amendments to the definition of “Abandoned,” the amendments to “Foreclosed” should not be construed to supersede or impact in any way state or local laws governing foreclosures or any protection rights available to property owners and tenants under federal, state, local or tribal law. An NSP2 grantee may apply these new definitions as of the effective date of its grant agreement, provided all NSP2 program requirements are met for assisted activities.

The new definition of foreclosed applies the term “current delinquency status”. This indicates the number of days (e.g., 30, 60, 90) the borrower is contractually past due. NSP grantees will use the Mortgage Banker Association (MBA) Delinquency Calculation Method to determine the current delinquency status of a mortgage. Under the MBA method, a loan would be considered delinquent if the payment had not been received by the end of the day immediately preceding the loan’s next due date (generally the last day of the month which the payment was due). Using the example above, a loan with a due date of August 1, 2009, with no payment received by the close of business on August 31, 2009, would have been reported as delinquent in September. From September 1 to September 30, 2009, the mortgage’s current delinquency status would be 30 days. On October 1, 2009, the mortgage’s current delinquency status would become 60 days.
Note that an NSP2 grantee must consult HUD prior to making an amendment to the program proposed in its application, as no amendment to an approved application may be made unless HUD rates the approved application as amended and it scores high enough to have been selected for funding under the NSP2 competition.

III. NSP2 NOFA Amendments.

For the reasons provided in this notice, HUD is making the following changes to the NSP2 NOFA:

1. The definition of “Abandoned” on page 41 is revised to read as follows: “Abandoned. A home or residential property is abandoned if either a) mortgage, tribal leasehold, or tax payments are at least 90 days delinquent, or b) a code enforcement inspection has determined that the property is not habitable and the owner has taken no corrective actions within 90 days of notification of the deficiencies, or c) the property is subject to a court-ordered receivership or nuisance abatement related to abandonment pursuant to state or local law or otherwise meets a state definition of an abandoned home or residential property.”

2. The definition of “Foreclosed” on page 42 is revised to read as follows: “Foreclosed. A home or residential property has been foreclosed upon if any of the following conditions apply: a) the property’s current delinquency status is at least 60 days delinquent under the Mortgage Bankers of America delinquency calculation and the owner has been notified of this delinquency, or b) the property owner is 90 days or more delinquent on tax payments, or c) under state, local, or tribal law, foreclosure proceedings have been initiated or completed, or d) foreclosure proceedings have been completed and title has been transferred to an intermediary aggregator or servicer that is not an NSP grantee, subrecipient, contractor, developer, or end user.”
Finding of No Significant Impact

A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) with respect to the environment has been made in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 50, which implement section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332). The FONSI is available for public inspection between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. weekdays in the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Room 10276, 451 7th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410-0500. Due to security measures at the HUD Headquarters building, an advance appointment to review the docket file must be scheduled by calling the Regulations Division at 202-708-3055 (this is not a toll-free number). Hearing or speech-impaired individuals may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 800-877-8339.

Dated: 2011-02-23

Mercedes M. Márquez
Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development
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Notice of Fund Availability (NOFA) for Fiscal Year 2009 Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009; Correction

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Development and Planning, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009 Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (NSP2); correction.

SUMMARY: On May 4, 2009, HUD posted its NSP2 NOFA at www.hud.gov/nsp. This document makes corrections to the NSP2 NOFA in order to: (1) allow NSP2 applicants to use a combined index score to determine whether they meet the geographic targeting threshold requirement; (2) make a technical correction to the eligible activities table to activity (C) in accordance with the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Public Law 111-005, enacted February 17, 2009 (Recovery Act); (3) rescind the aggregate 5 percent purchase discount while leaving the 1 percent discount on individual purchases intact; and (4) make corrections for omitted items in the Application Checklist at Appendix 3 of the NSP2 NOFA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley Gimont, Director, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Room 7286, Washington, DC 20410, telephone number 202-708-3587. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at 800-877-8339. FAX inquiries may be sent to Mr. Gimont at 202-401-2044. (Except for the "800" number, these telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 4, 2009, HUD posted the NSP2 NOFA
(Docket No. FR-5321-N-01) on its website at www.hud.gov/nsp. The NSP2 NOFA announced the availability of up to $1.93 billion for HUD’s FY2009 NSP2 Program. The purpose of the program is to stabilize communities that have suffered from foreclosures and abandonment through the purchase and redevelopment of foreclosed and abandoned homes and residential properties. NSP2 is authorized by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act, Public Law 110-289 (HERA), as amended by Title XII of Division A of the Recovery Act.

SUMMARY OF CORRECTIONS

A. Geographic Need Threshold Requirement

Background

The NSP2 NOFA requires NSP2 applicants to choose between one of two geographic need indices for their entire program to determine threshold eligibility. On consideration, HUD determined that requiring applicants to choose between the scores unnecessarily hinders flexibility in the design of programs that may operate in diverse geographies that face different challenges. Therefore, HUD will develop and provide on the foreclosure need map website a combined index score that weighs the higher of the two indices for each census tract in the program geography to determine an applicant’s overall score. In addition, HUD is clarifying its intent not to bias scoring in any way related to the geographic scope—whether national, regional, or local—of an application.

Correction

Section II.B.8.a at page 14 of the NSP2 NOFA is revised to reflect the following:

“a. HUD is providing two foreclosure related needs scores at the Census Tract level, one that is based on the estimated number and percentage of foreclosures and another that combines estimated foreclosure rate with vacancy rate. Both scores rank need from 1 to
20, with 20 being census tracts with the HUD-estimated greatest need. For each census tract, the higher of the two index scores will be used to compute an average combined index score.”

Section II.B.8.b at pages 14-15 of the NSP2 NOFA is revised to reflect the following:

“b. For applicants proposing to carry out NSP2 activities, the neighborhoods identified must have an average combined index score for the identified target geography of 18 or greater, as indicated by the index.”

In Section II.B.8.c on page 15 of the NSP2 NOFA, the following is sentence is added to the end of the paragraph:

“HUD will score applicants proposing to work in target geographies of different scopes (such as national, statewide, or single neighborhood) in a manner than does not inherently advantage or disadvantage one scope over another.”

**B. Eligible Use Table**

**Background**

The Recovery Act amended section 2301(c)(3)(C) (land banks) of HERA. HUD inadvertently omitted the amended statutory language in its table describing eligible use by not including the words “and operate.”

**Correction**

The third row under “NSP-Eligible Uses” in the first column of the table on pages 57-58 of the NSP2 NOFA is amended to read as follows:

“(C) Establish and operate land banks for homes and residential properties that have been foreclosed upon”

**C. Purchase Discount**
Background

In the first round of NSP, HUD initially set a requirement of a minimum 5 percent discount on individual purchases and 15 percent on aggregate purchases to comply with the statutory requirement that NSP-funded acquisitions be purchased at a discount. In response to feedback from grantees and other interested parties concerned with the inflexibility of the requirement and possible negative effects on neighborhood home values, HUD lowered the requirement for NSP2 to a minimum one percent individual purchase discount and a five percent aggregate discount for NSP2-funded properties.

Upon further consideration, HUD is removing the aggregate purchase discount requirement and leaving in place the minimum one percent individual purchase discount. The aggregate discount requirement was originally designed so that recipients would have the flexibility to make purchases at a lesser discount as long as they set it off in the aggregate. In practice, HUD recognizes that incentivizing these set offs may distort program priorities and flexibility and may exacerbate problems as expressed above related to the mandatory minimum discount. Therefore, to maintain statutory compliance while providing for maximum flexibility, HUD will require only a minimum individual purchase discount of one percent. At the same time, HUD reminds applicants that they are to seek the maximum feasible discount in the case of mortgagee foreclosed properties taking into account the carrying cost that the mortgagee would otherwise bear.

Requirement

Section Q on pages 72-73 of the NSP2 NOFA is amended to read as follows:

“Background

Section 2301(d)(1) limits the purchase price of a foreclosed home, as follows:
“Any purchase of a foreclosed upon home or residential property under this section shall be at a discount from the current market appraised value of the home or property, taking into account its current condition, and such discount shall ensure that purchasers are paying below-market value for the home or property.”

To ensure that uncertainty over the meaning of this section does not delay program implementation, HUD is defining “current market appraised value” in this notice. For mortgagee foreclosed properties, HUD is requiring that recipients seek to obtain the "maximum reasonable discount" from the mortgagee, taking into consideration likely “carrying costs” of the mortgagee if it were to not sell the property to the recipient or subrecipient. HUD has adopted an approach that requires a minimum discount of one percent for each foreclosed upon home or residential property purchased with NSP funds.

Requirements

1. Individual purchase transaction. Each foreclosed-upon home or residential property shall be purchased at a discount of at least one percent from the current market-appraised value of the home or property.

2. An NSP2 recipient may not provide NSP funds to another party to finance an acquisition of tax foreclosed (or any other) properties from itself, other than to pay necessary and reasonable costs related to the appraisal and transfer of title. If NSP2 funds are used to pay such costs when property owned by the recipient is conveyed to a subrecipient, homebuyer, developer, or other jurisdiction, the property is NSP-assisted and subject to all program requirements, such as requirements for NSP-eligible use and benefit to income-qualified persons.

3. The address, appraised value, purchase offer amount, and discount amount of each
property purchase must be documented in the recipient’s program records. The address of
each acquired property must be recorded in DRGR."

D. Code of Conduct Appendix

Background

In accordance with regulations at 24 CFR 84.42 and 85.36(b)(3), applicants are required
to develop and maintain a written code of conduct. HUD included a reference to the code of
conduct as a required component of a complete NSP2 in Appendix 3 of the NSP2 NOFA
application, but did provide applicants with any reference to the requirement. This correction
refers applicants to the relevant guidance in the Notice of HUD’s FY2009 Notice of Funding
Availability, Policy Requirements and General Section (73 FR 79548) (Dec. 29, 2008) (General
Section). Except where stated otherwise in the NSP2 NOFA, the provisions of the General
Section apply to all NSP2 applicants.

Correction

In Appendix 3, d. (“Appendices”) on page 85 of the NSP2 NOFA, include the following after “A
copy of your code of conduct”:

“(see Section III.C.2.d. of the General Section)”

E. Firm Commitment Appendix

Background

The NSP2 NOFA requires applicants that apply partnership with for-profits to provide a
“firm commitment executed and dated by each for-profit partner with your application.” See
NSP2 NOFA, Sec. II.A.5. However, the requirement was not included in the Application
Checklist at Appendix 3. Applicants with for-profit partners must provide firm commitment
documentation as appendices to their applications.
Correction

In Appendix 3, d. ("Appendices") on page 85 of the NSP2 NOFA add a line to include the following:

“_____ Documentation of firm commitment executed and dated by each for-profit partner”

F. Definitions Appendix

Background

Section II.B.6 on page 13 of the NSP2 NOFA requires applicants to include the definitions of "blighted structure" and "affordable rents," as well as a description of housing rehabilitation standards, as appendices to their applications. This requirement was inadvertently omitted from the checklist in Appendix 3.
Correction

In Appendix 3, d. ("Appendices") on page 85 of the NSP2 NOFA add a line to include the following:

"_____ Definitions of "blighted structure" and "affordable rents" and description of housing rehabilitation standards. (See Section II.B.6.)"

Dated: JUN 11 2009

Nelson R. Bregón, General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development
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Notice of Fund Availability (NOFA) for Fiscal Year 2009 Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009; Correction

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009 Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 (NSP2) under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act); correction.

SUMMARY: On May 4, 2009, HUD posted its NSP2 NOFA at www.hud.gov/nsp and announced the availability of the NOFA on May 7, 2009 (74 FR 21377). The NSP2 NOFA announced the availability of approximately $1.93 billion available in competitive grants authorized under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111-5, approved February 17, 2009). The NSP2 NOFA was corrected by Notice posted on the HUD website and announced on June 11, 2009 (74 FR 28715). Today’s Notice makes further corrections to the NSP2 NOFA to: (1) correct an inconsistency in the NSP2 NOFA regarding when the lead member of a consortium must enter into consortium funding agreements with consortium members; and (2) extend the deadline for submission of such agreements to January 29, 2010. This notice only affects applications for funding that have already been submitted to HUD by consortium applicants. HUD notes that the deadline for applications was July 17, 2009, and, as a result, will not accept new applications for funding.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley Gimont, Director, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Room 7286, Washington, DC 20410, telephone number 202-708-3587. Persons with hearing or
speech impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at 800-877-8339. FAX inquiries may be sent to Mr. Gimont at 202-401-2044. (Except for the "800" number, these telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 4, 2009, HUD posted the NSP2 NOFA (FR-5321-N-01) on its website at www.hud.gov/nsp. The NSP2 NOFA announced the availability of up to $1.93 billion appropriated by the Recovery Act for NSP2 Program. The purpose of the program is to stabilize communities by providing funds for the purchase and redevelopment of foreclosed and abandoned homes and residential properties. NSP was authorized by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act, Public Law 110-289 (HERA), as amended by Title XII of Division A of the Recovery Act.

SUMMARY OF CORRECTIONS

Timing and Applicability of Requirement for Submission of Consortium Funding

Agreements

Background

The NSP2 NOFA requires that consortium applicants provide a consortium agreement with their initial application and later provide a consortium funding agreement providing greater detail about each member’s role in the consortium. The NSP2 NOFA provides inconsistent instructions regarding when the lead member of the consortium must enter into consortium funding agreements with consortium members. At page 11, the NSP2 NOFA states that: “... after selection, but before the grant is awarded, the lead member (of the consortium) must enter into a separate consortium funding agreement with each member of the consortium.” The NSP2 NOFA also provides at page 32 that: “After HUD has rated all applications and has identified fundable applications receiving at least 115 points, HUD will notify each consortium with an
application in the fundable range that the lead applicant has until December 1, 2009, to enter into a separate consortium funding agreement with each individual consortium member and to submit each executed agreement to HUD.”

Since these instructions have created confusion and are not consistent, HUD is providing this correction. To facilitate implementation of this correction, HUD is extending the date for submission of consortium funding agreements by a selected consortium from December 1, 2009, to January 29, 2010. This correction does not affect the ratability or standing of any application submitted in response to this competition. The correction eliminates the requirement printed on page 32 of the NOFA that any consortium applicant in the fundable range execute consortium funding agreements prior to announcement of results of the NSP2 competition; instead consortium funding agreements must only be submitted by those consortia selected for funding. HUD notes that this clarification eliminates an administrative burden for those applicants in the fundable range who are not ultimately selected for funding. At the time of selection, HUD will contact the selected consortia and provide instructions on making this submission. It is HUD’s intent to announce results of the NSP2 competition in a timely manner that will enable consortium applicants selected for funding to execute and submit their consortium funding agreements in advance of January 29, 2010.

Corrections

1. Section II.A.6, the last sentence of the paragraph at page 11 is deleted.
2. Section IV.B.4. at page 31 is revised by revising the date in the fourth sentence from “December 1, 2009”, to “January 29, 2010”. This sentence now reads, “If an applicant turns down an award offer or fails to submit executed consortium funding agreements by January 29, 2010, HUD will make an offer of funding to the next highest-ranking application.”
3. Section V.A. on page 32 is removed and replaced with the following language:

"HUD will make selections from the fundable applications based on ranked order based on ratings scores (after any adjustment for past performance) and funds availability. After HUD makes selections, HUD will notify each selected consortium that the lead applicant has until January 29, 2010, to enter into a separate consortium funding agreement with each individual consortium member and to submit each executed agreement to HUD. The agreement must set forth the individual consortium member’s responsibilities for carrying out the consortium’s NSP2 activities in a timely manner, including the specific activities to be carried out, timetables for completion, and applicable requirements from Appendix 1 to ensure compliance with NSP2 requirements.

"After HUD makes selections, and, if applicable, receives consortium funding agreements, HUD will provide a grant agreement together with any grant conditions to each selected applicant. The applicant shall return the executed grant agreement within 30 days to HUD.”

Dated: NOV 09 2009

Mercedes M. Márquez
Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development

[FR-5321-C-03]
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Notice of Fund Availability (NOFA) for Fiscal Year 2009 Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009; Correction

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009 Neighborhood Stabilization Program 2 (NSP2) under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5)(Recovery Act); correction.

SUMMARY: On May 4, 2009, HUD posted its NSP2 NOFA at www.hud.gov/nsp and announced the availability of the NOFA on May 7, 2009 (74 FR 21377). HUD corrected the NSP2 NOFA by Notices posted on the HUD website on June 11, 2009 and November 9, 2009, and announced by Federal Register publications published on June 17, 2009 (74 FR 28715) and November 16, 2009 (74 FR 58973), respectively. Today’s Notice corrects the NSP2 NOFA to permit HUD to specify the deadline date for submission of consortium funding agreements in the transmittal letter for the NSP2 grant agreement, which allows the submission deadline to occur after obligation of grant funds.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley Gimont, Director, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Room 7286, Washington, DC 20410, telephone number 202-708-3587. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at 800-877-8339. FAX inquiries may be sent to Mr. Gimont at 202-401-2044. (Except for the "800" number, these telephone numbers are not toll-free.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 4, 2009, HUD posted the NSP2 NOFA
(Docket No. FR-5321-N-01) on its website at www.hud.gov/nsp and announced the availability of the NOFA on May 7, 2009 (74 FR 21377). The NSP2 NOFA announced the availability of approximately $1.93 billion in competitive grants authorized under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111-5, approved February 17, 2009). HUD corrected the NSP2 NOFA by Notices posted on the HUD website on June 11, 2009 and November 9, 2009, and announced the corrections by Federal Register publications published on June 17, 2009 (74 FR 28715) and November 16, 2009 (74 FR 58973), respectively. The purpose of the NSP2 program is to stabilize communities that have suffered from foreclosures and abandonment through the purchase and redevelopment of foreclosed and abandoned homes and residential properties. NSP2 is authorized by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act, Public Law 110-289 (HERA), as amended by Title XII of Division A of the Recovery Act.

SUMMARY OF CORRECTIONS

Timing and Applicability of Requirement for Submission of Consortium Funding Agreements

Background

The NSP2 NOFA requires that consortium applicants provide a consortium agreement with their initial application and later provide a consortium funding agreement providing greater detail about each member’s role in the consortium. These consortium funding agreements must describe the consortium member’s specific activities under the NSP2 program, including timetables for completion, and applicable requirements in Appendix 1, Section U.2. of the NOFA.

As a result of HUD’s November 9, 2009, correction, the date by which consortium applicants were required to provide consortium funding agreements to HUD was January 29, 2010. Today’s Notice extends the deadline for submitting such agreements to HUD to the date
specified by HUD in the transmittal/award letter for the NSP2 grant agreement. HUD will provide selected consortiums with instructions for submitting the consortium funding agreements by January 29, 2010. This extension will permit HUD to establish the deadline for the submission of consortium agreements to occur after obligation of grant funds.

This notice only affects applications for funding that have already been submitted to HUD by consortium applicants. HUD notes that the deadline for applications was July 17, 2009, and, as a result, will not accept new applications for funding. The notice correcting the NSP2 NOFA is available on the HUD website at http://www.hud.gov/recovery.

Corrections

1. Section II.A.6., the last sentence is removed and replaced with the following language:
   “Second, by the date included in the transmittal letter, the lead member must enter into a separate consortium funding agreement with each member of the consortium and submit each executed consortium funding agreement to HUD.”

2. Section IV.B.4. at page 31 of the NSP2 NOFA is revised by changing the date in the fourth sentence from “January 29, 2010” to “a date specified by HUD in the transmittal letter.”

3. The text at Section V.A. on page 32 of the NSP2 NOFA is removed and replaced with the following language:
   “HUD will make selections from the fundable applications based on ranked order based on ratings scores (after any adjustment for past performance) and funds availability. After HUD makes selections, HUD will notify each selected consortium that the lead applicant has until a date specified in the transmittal letter to enter into a separate consortium funding agreement with each individual consortium member and to submit each executed agreement to HUD. The agreements
must set forth the individual consortium member’s responsibilities for carrying out the 
consortium’s NSP2 activities in a timely manner, including the specific activities to be carried 
out, timetables for completion, and applicable requirements from Appendix 1 to ensure 
compliance with NSP2 requirements.

“After HUD makes selections, HUD will provide a grant agreement together with any 
grant conditions to each selected applicant. The applicant shall return the executed grant 
agreement within 30 days to HUD and return the consortium funding agreements in accordance 
with the instructions and by the date specified in the award letter.”

Dated: JAN 21 2010

Mercedes M. Márquez
Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development

[FR-5321-C--04]